



# भारत का राजपत्र

## The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 49] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, दिसम्बर 9, 1967/अग्रहायण 18, 1889  
 No. 49] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 9, 1967/AGRAHAYANA 18, 1889

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र 8 नवम्बर 1967 तक प्रकाशित किये गये :—

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published up to the 8th November 1967.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
509	S.O. 3883, dated 27th October, 1967.	Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation.	Constitution of the Wage Board and the procedure adopted by it.
510	S.O. 3884, dated 28th October, 1967	Ministry of Finance.	Appointment of the Commissioner of Income-tax, Madras to be the Tax Recovery Commissioner.
	S.O. 3885, dated 28th October, 1967.	Do.	Appointment of the Commissioner of Income-tax, Madras II, Madras to be the Tax Recovery Commissioner.
	S.O. 3886, dated 28th October, 1967.	Do.	Authorising Shri T. Giriraj <sup>n</sup> to act as a Tax Recovery Office for certain areas specified therein in the State of Madras.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	S.O. 3887, dated 28th October, 1967.	Central Board of Direct Taxes.	The Commissioner of Income-tax, Madras I, Madras to act as the Tax Recovery Commissioner for certain areas specified there in in the State of Madras.
	S.O. 3888, dated 28th October, 1967. ]	Do.	The Commissioner of Income-tax, Madras II, Madras to act as the Tax Recovery Commissioner for certain area specified therein in the State of Madras.
11	एम० ओ० 3954, दिनांक 28 अक्टूबर, 1967 ।	वाणिज्य मंत्रालय	राज्य सरकारों द्वारा बनाये गये आदेश ।
	एम० ओ० 3955, दिनांक 28 अक्टूबर, 1967 ।	तद्वै	राज्य सरकारों द्वारा बनाये गये आदेश ।
512	S. O. 3956, dated 30th October, 1967. ]	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of the films as specified therein.
513	S. O. 3957, dated 31st October, 1967.	Ministry of Commerce.	Granting recognition to the Madhya Pradesh Commercial Exchange Ltd., Akola.
514	S. O. 3958, dated 31st October, 1967.	Do.	Amendments in the notification No. S.O. 1688, dated 3rd June, 1966.
515	S. O. 3959, dated 31st October, 1967.	Do.	Issue of a duplicate Custom Clearance Permit.
516	S. O. 3960, dated 1st November, 1967.	Ministry of Home Affairs.	Appointment of a Commission of Inquiry.
517	S. O. 3961, dated 2nd November, 1967.	Ministry of Commerce.	Suspension of forward trading in jute goods.
518	S. O. 3962, dated 3rd November, 1967.	Do.	Amendments in the notification No. S. O. 2425, dated 2nd August, 1965.
519	S. O. 3963, dated 4th November, 1967.	Election Commission, India.	Amendment in the notification No. 508/AS/66, dated 1st January, 1967.
520	S. O. 3964, dated 5th November, 1967.	Ministry of Labour, Employment & Rehabilitation.	Referring an industrial dispute, existing between the management of the Atr-India and its workmen for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay.

Sl. No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
521	S. O. 3965, dated 6th November, 1967.	Ministry of Commerce.	The Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Amendment Rules, 1967.
521A	S.O. 3955-A dated 6th November, 1967.	Election Commission, India.	Designating the District Magistrate of each district in the State of Uttar Pradesh to be the District Election Officer for that district.
522	S. O. 3966, dated 7th November, 1967.	Ministry of Commerce.	Amendments in the Notification No. S. O. 3030, dated 20th September, 1965.
523	S. O. 4049, dated 8th November, 1967.	Do.	Amendment to the Exports (Control) Order, 1962.

ऊपर लिखे असाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियाँ प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुँच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

## भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

### PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किए गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ।

1. Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories)

### ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 9th November 1967

S.O. 4204.—In pursuance of section 111 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, the Election Commission hereby publishes the Order, pronounced on the 21st August, 1967, by the High Court of Mysore at Bangalore in Election Petition No. 12 of 1967.

### IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE

Dated the 21st day of August, 1967

BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G. K. GOVINDA BHAT

ELECTION PETITION No. 12 of 1967

Sri C. R. Basappa, son of Ujjini Revana Siddappa aged about 54 years, Gandhinagar, Tumkur—Petitioner.

(By Sri V. S. Mallimath)

Versus

1. Sri K. Lakkappa, son of Thopegowda, age 40 years, Advocate, Someswarapuram Extension, Tumkur—Respondent.

(By Sri K. R. Subbannachar)

2. Sri V. M. Dev, son of Nettegunte Veerappa, age 45 years, Landlord of Chikke Nettegunte, post Mookanayakankote, taluk Gubbi, District Tumkur
3. Shri K. V. Subramanyaswami, son of Ventakatappa, aged 55 years, Advocate, Someswarapuram Extension, Tumkur—*Respondents*,

Election Petition filed by the Petitioner under Section 81 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 challenging the election of the Respondent No. 1 to the House of the People from the Tumkur Parliamentary Constituency in the General Elections held in February 1967 and seeking an order that the election of the Respondent No. 1 to the Parliament (House of People) in the 1967 General Election be declared as void and that the petitioner may be declared as duly elected member of the Parliament from the Tumkur Parliamentary Constituency in the 1967 General Election and to grant the costs of this petition. Read with IA No. I for withdrawal of this petition.

Read I.A. No. I filed by the Petitioner is as follows:—

"The petitioner does not wish to prosecute this Election Petition. Petitioner, therefore prays leave of the Court to withdraw the petition. The Petitioner is withdrawing the petition voluntarily.

Hence, the Petitioner prays that the necessary leave to withdraw may kindly be granted under Section 109 and Section 110 of the Representation of People Act, 1951."

This Election Petition and the said I.A. No. I coming on for orders in the presence of Sri V. S. Malimath, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri K. R. Subbannachar, Advocate for Respondent No. 1 and this Election Petition having stood over for consideration, the Court made the following order this 21st day of August, 1967.

#### ORDER

The election petitioner has filed an application for withdrawal. Notice of the application was published in the Mysore Gazette, dated 3rd August, 1967. No person who might himself have been a petitioner has applied to be substituted as the petitioner in place of the election petitioner.

2. The election petitioner has not alleged any corrupt practice or that the returned candidate was disqualified. He has only sought inspection, scrutiny and recount of the votes. I am satisfied that the application for withdrawal has not been induced by any bargain or consideration. Therefore I grant leave to the petitioner to withdraw his election petition. He is ordered to pay a sum of Rs. 250 as costs of respondent No. 1. Election petition withdrawn.

(Sd.) G. K. GOVINDA BHAT,  
Judge.

[No. 82/MY-12/67.]

New Delhi, the 27th November 1967

S.O. 4203.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13A of the Representation of the People Act, 1950 (43 of 1950), the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Maharashtra hereby nominates Shri M. W. Desai, Additional Secretary to Government as the Chief Electoral Officer for the State of Maharashtra with effect from 14th September, 1967 (A.N) and until further orders *vice* Shri V. S. Tambay, I.A.S.

[No. 154/8/67.]

#### ORDERS

New Delhi, the 30th October 1967

S.O. 4206.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Vishnu Dayal Jhunjhunwala, Village and P.O. Motinagar, District Faizabad, a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Amethi Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses within the time and in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation made by the said candidate, the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Vishnu Dayal Jhunjhunwala to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. UP-HP/24/67.]

**S.O. 4207.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Capt. Ram Garib Singh, c/o Acharya Pratapaditya, Advocate, Avind Avas, Gorakhpur, a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Bangsaon constituency, has failed to lodge any account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the notice issued to the said candidate is received back with the remarks that his present whereabouts are not known;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Capt. Ram Garib Singh to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this Order.

[No. UP-HP/37/67.]

*New Delhi, the 31st October 1967*

**S.O. 4208.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Santa Singh, Village Baliara, P. O. Ratia, Tehsil Fatehabad (Haryana) a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Sirsa constituency has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses within the time and in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation made by the said candidate, the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Santa Singh to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. HN-HP/9/67(1).]

**S.O. 4209.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Sakhi Ram, Village Dhudianwali, Tehsil Sirsa, District Hissar (Haryana) a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Sirsa constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses within the time and in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation made by the said candidate, the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Sakhi Ram to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. HN-HP/9/67(2).]

**S.O. 4210.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Ram Sarup, Dadri Gate, Bhiwani (Haryana) a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Sirsa constituency, has failed to lodge any account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any good reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Ram Sarup to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this Order.

[No. HN-HP/9/67(3).]

**S.O. 4211.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Soran Ram, Village and P.O. Tohana, District Hissar (Haryana) a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Sirsa constituency, has failed to lodge any account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any good reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Soran Ram to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this Order.

[No. HN-HP/9/67(4).]

*New Delhi, the 7th November 1967*

**S.O. 4212.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Ram Das a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Tikamgarh constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Ram Das to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. MP-HP/5/67(1).]

**S.O. 4213.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Bala Prasad, a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Tikamgarh constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And, whereas the said candidate, even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Bala Prasad to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. MP-HP/5/67(2).]

*New Delhi, the 9th November 1967*

**S.O. 4214.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Patel Ramubhai Ravjibhai a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Dadra and Nagar Haveli constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Patel Ramubhai Ravjibhai to be dis-

qualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. DN-HP/67.]

**S.O. 4215.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Ram Narain, Nangla Korat, Mauza Rampur Navali, P.O. Chitbhavan, District Etawah a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Etawah constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any good reason or explanation for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Ram Narain to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this Order.

[No. UP-HP/66/67.]

New Delhi, the 10th November 1967

**S.O. 4216.**—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Yusuf Khan a contesting candidate for election to the House of the People from Shajapur constituency from Madhya Pradesh, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after considering the representation made by the said candidate, the Election Commission is further satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Yusuf Khan to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. MP-HP/30/67.]

By Order,

K. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Secy.

### गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली 8 नवम्बर, 1967

एस० ओ० 4217—नागरिकता, नियम, 1956 के नियम 2 के खंड(ख) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद द्वारा, नगर मजिस्ट्रेट, उदयपुर को उक्त नियमों के अधीन क्लेक्टर के कृत्यों का, राजस्थान राज्य में उदयपुर जिले की बाबत पालन करने के लिये नियुक्त करती है और यह निदेश देती है कि भारत सरकार, गृह-मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना नं० 10-3-56—मा० ना० तारीख 8 नवम्बर, 1956 में निम्नलिखित अतिरिक्त संशोधन किया जाएगा, अर्थात :—

उक्त अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में, स्तम्भ 1 और 2 में आई "उपखंड आफिसर तथा विकास आफिसर, उदयपुर" और "उदयपुर उपखंड" प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर क्रमशः "नगर मजिस्ट्रेट, उदयपुर" और "उदयपुर जिला" प्रविष्टियां रख दी जाएंगी।

[सं० 23/2/67—मा० ना०]

सी० एल० गोयल,  
अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार।

नई दिल्ली, 16 नवम्बर, 1967

एस० नो० 4218.—भारत सरकार को यह अधिसूचित करते हुए हर्ष है कि बीकानेर (राजस्थान) के शासक के पुत्र महाराज कुमार नरेन्द्र सिंह, गृह मंत्रालय की 13 जुलाई 1962 की अधिसूचना संख्या 15/13/59(V)-पी-4 के साथ संलग्न प्रथम अनुसूची की पविष्टि 2 (ख) (भारत के राजपूत भाग—II खण्ड 3 उपखण्ड (II) दिनांक जुलाई, 28 1962 में प्रकाशित जी० एस० आर० संख्या 991) के लिये उक्त शासक द्वारा नामित किये गये हैं।

[संख्या 16/6/67-पी-IV]

जी० एन० बैलूर,

उप सचिव, भारत सरकार

*New Delhi, the 16th November 1967*

**S.O. 4219.**—The Central Government is pleased to notify that Maharaj Kumar Narendra Singh son of the Ruler of Bikaner (Rajasthan) has been nominated by the said Ruler for the purpose of entry 2(b) of Schedule I annexed to the Ministry of Home Affairs Notification No. 5/13/59(V)-P.IV, dated the 13th July 1962 (GSR 991, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 2, Sub-Section (II) dated the 28th July, 1962.)

[No. 16/6/67-P.IV.]

G. L. BAILUR, Dy. Secy.

## MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

*New Delhi, the 8th November 1967*

**S.O. 4220.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules to amend the National Savings Organisation (Class III and Class IV posts) Recruitment Rules, 1960, namely:—

1. (1) These rules may be called the National Savings Organisation (Class III and Class IV Posts) Recruitment (Amendment) Rules, 1967.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Schedule to the National Savings Organisation (Class III and Class IV Posts) Recruitment Rules, 1960, in Part II relating to Regional Offices,—

(i) for the entry in column 12 occurring against serial No. 4, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“By promotion of Lower Division Clerks or by deputation from the grade of Stenographer with the period of such deputation not exceeding two years”.

[No. F. 16(25)-NS/61.]

V. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Under Secy.



(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 10th November 1967

S.O. 4221.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 3rd November 1967.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid Up . . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes . . . . .	13,10,99,000
		Rupee Coin . . . . .	4,00,000
Reserve Fund . . . . .	80,00,00,000	Small Coin . . . . .	3,69,000
		Bills Purchased and Discounted:—	
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund .	131,00,80,000	(a) Internal . . . . .	..
		(b) External . . . . .	..
		(c) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	270,94,13,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	25,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad* . . . . .	14,17,75,000
		Investments** . . . . .	142,88,46,000
		Loans and Advances to:—	
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund .	30,00,00,000	(i) Central Government . . . . .	..
		(ii) State Governments@ . . . . .	104,47,81,000
Deposits:—		Loans and Advances to:—	
(a) Government		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks† . . . . .	9,88,10,000
(i) Central Government . . . . .	64,26,17,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks‡ . . . . .	181,27,41,000
		(iii) Others . . . . .	3,40,05,000

LIABILITIES		ASSETS	
	Rs.		Rs.
(ii) State Governments . . . . .	4,60,76,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	
(b) Banks		(a) Loans and Advances to:—	
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks . . . . .	127,80,69,000	(i) State Governments . . . . .	28,21,66,000
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	4,51,90,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	13,89,15,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	82,41,000	(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks . . . . .	..
(iv) Other Banks . . . . .	9,51,000	(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures . . . . .	7,45,95,000
(c) Others . . . . .	277,07,23,000	Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	
Bills Payable . . . . .	39,68,35,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	8,45,25,000
Other Liabilities . . . . .	48,58,71,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	
		(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank . . . . .	5,28,32,000
		(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank . . . . .	..
		Other Assets . . . . .	34,93,01,000
			<u>838,45,73,000</u>
	Rupees		Rupees
	838,45,73,000		838,45,73,000

\*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

\*\*Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. NIL advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the R.B.I. Act.

‡Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund).

Dated the 8th day of November 1967

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 3rd day of November 1967

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department . . . . .	13,10,99,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in circulation . . . . .	2995,80,05,000		(a) Held in India . . . . .	115,89,25,000	
Total Notes issued . . . . .		3009,00,04,000	(b) Held outside India . . . . .		
			Foreign Securities . . . . .	166,42,00,000	
			TOTAL . . . . .		282,31,25,000
			Rupee Coin . . . . .		76,70,98,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities . . . . .		2649,97,81,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other Com- mercial paper . . . . .		..
TOTAL LIABILITIES . . . . .		3009,00,04,000	TOTAL ASSETS . . . . .		3009,00,04,000

Dated the 8th day of November 1967

L. K. JHA,  
Governor.

[No F. 3 (3)-BC/67.]

*New Delhi, the 20th November 1967*

**S.O. 4222.**—In pursuance of the provisions of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Deposit Insurance Corporation Act, 1961 (47 of 1961), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri D. N. Ghosh, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affairs, New Delhi as director of the Deposit Insurance Corporation *vice* Shri S. S. Shiralkar.

[No. F. 10/13/67-SB.]

New Delhi, the 21st November 1967

S.O. 4223.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India as on the 10th November 1967

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid Up . . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes Rupee Coin . . . . .	14,94,00,000 3,41,000
Reserve Fund . . . . .	80,00,00,000	Small Coin . . . . .	3,00,000
		Bills Purchased and Discounted:—	
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	131,00,00,000	(a) Internal . . . . .	..
		(b) External . . . . .	..
		(c) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	283,06,18,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	25,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad* . . . . .	14,99,09,000
		Investments** . . . . .	159,33,04,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	30,00,00,000	Loans and Advances to:—	
		(i) Central Government . . . . .	..
		(ii) State Governments@ . . . . .	85,76,07,000
		Loans and Advances to:—	
Deposits:—		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks† . . . . .	2,22,70,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Bank ‡ . . . . .	18,77,32,000
(a) Government—		(iii) Others. . . . .	3,42,5,000
(i) Central Government . . . . .	54,84,94,000		

LIABILITIES		ASSETS	
	Rs.		Rs.
(#) State Governments . . . . .	4,49,76,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
		(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
(b) Banks —		(i) State Governments . . . . .	28,20,36,000
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks . . . . .	1,47,55,10,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	13,83,04,000
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	5,29,20,000	(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks . . . . .	..
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	88,11,000	(b) Investments in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures—	
(iv) Other Banks . . . . .	8,90,000	Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	7,45,95,000
		Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks . . . . .	8,42,65,000
(c) Others . . . . .	2,77,84,64,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
Bills Payable . . . . .	27,44,48,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank . . . . .	5,28,32,000
Other Liabilities. . . . .	54,24,53,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank . . . . .	..
		Others Assets . . . . .	34,91,58,000
Rupees . . . . .	843,69,66,000	Rupees . . . . .	843,69,66,000

\*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

\*\*Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary over drafts to State Governments.

† Includes Rs. NIL advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the R.B.I. Act.

† Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 15th day of November, 1967.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 10th day of November, 1967.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department			Gold Coin and Bullion:—		
Notes in circulation . . . .	14,94,00,000		(a) Held in India . . . .	115,89,25,000	
	3032,82,75,000		(b) Held outside India . . . .	..	
Total Notes issued . . . .		3047,76,75,000	Foreign Securities . . . .	166,42,00,000	
			TOTAL . . . .		282,31,25,000
			Rupee Coin . . . .		75,47,39,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		2689,98,11,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper . . . .		..
Total Liabilities: . . . .		3047,76,75,000	Total Assets . . . .		3047,76,75,000

Dated the 15th day of November, 1967

L. K. JHA,  
Governor.  
[No. F. 3(3)-BC/67.]

New Delhi, the 23rd November 1967

S.O. 4224. Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India as on the 17th November, 1967.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid Up . . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes . . . . .	15,18,06,000
		Rupree Coin . . . . .	3,00,000
Reserve Fund . . . . .	80,00,00,000	Small Coin . . . . .	4,12,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	131,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
		(a) Internal . . . . .	..
		(b) External . . . . .	..
		(c) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	263,69,10,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	25,00,00,000	Balances Held Abroad* . . . . .	18,40,49,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	30,00,00,000	Investments** . . . . .	199,38,17,000
		Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Central Government . . . . .	..
		(ii) State Governments @ . . . . .	93,10,40,000



Deposits :—

(a) Government

(i) Central Government

101,79,08,000

(ii) State Governments

3,94,35,000

(b) Banks

(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks

126,27,15,000

(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks

5,11,24,000

(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks

78,82,000

(iv) Other Banks

11,76,000

(c) Others

275,26,65,000

Bills Payable

29,18,03,000

Other Liabilities

58,49,20,000

Rupees

871,96,28,000

Loans and Advances to :—

(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks †

2,14,70,000

(ii) State Co-operative Banks ††

178,47,42,000

(iii) Others

3,53,31,000

Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :—

(a) Loans and Advances to :—

(i) State Governments

28,20,36,00

(ii) State Co-operative Banks

13,75,50,000

(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks

—

(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures

7,45,95,000

Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund :—

Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks

8,38,53,00

Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :—

(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank

5,28,32,000

(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank

Other Assets

34,88,81,000

Rupees

871,96,28,000

\*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

\*\*Excluding investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdraft to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. NIL advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Date : the 22nd day of November, 1967.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 17th day of November, 1967

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department .	15,18,06,000		Gold Coin and Bullion:—		
Notes in circulation . . . .	2994,72,74,000		(a) Held in India . . . .	115,89,25,000	
Total Notes issued . . . .		3009,90,80,000	(b) Held outside India . . . .	..	
			Foreign Securities . . . .	166,42,00,000	
			TOTAL . . . .		282,31,25,000
			Rupee Coin . . . .		77,59,75,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		2649,99,80,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper . . . .		..
TOTAL LIABILITIES . . . .		3009,90,80,000	TOTAL ASSETS . . . .		3009,90,80,000

Dated the 22nd day of November 1967.

L. K. JHA,  
Governor.

[No. F.3(3)-BC/67.]

New Delhi, the 30th November 1967

S.O. 4225.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India as on the 24th November, 1967

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid Up . . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes . . . . .	26,51,33,000
Reserve Fund . . . . .	80,00,00,000	Rupee Coin . . . . .	2,63,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	131,00,00,000	Small Coin . . . . .	3,76,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	25,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted —	
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund . . . . .	30,00,00,000	(a) Internal . . . . .	..
		(b) External . . . . .	..
		(c) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	250,70,43,000
		Balances Held Abroad <sup>a</sup> . . . . .	11,00,26,000
		Investments <sup>b</sup> . . . . .	191,86,49,000
		Loans and Advances to:—	
		(i) Central Government . . . . .	..
		(ii) State Governments <sup>c</sup> . . . . .	111,17,92,000
Deposits—		Loans and Advances to:—	
(a) Government—		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks† . . . . .	2,24,60,000
(i) Central Government . . . . .	95,68,54,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks†† . . . . .	180,06,01,000
		(iii) Others . . . . .	2,69,05,000

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
(ii) State Governments	4,25,22,000	(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) State Governments	28,16,82,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Banks	13,72,04,00
		(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks	..
(b) Banks—		(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures	7,46,99,000
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks	145,59,98,000	Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund—	
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	4,91,73,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	8,38,53,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	94,83,000		
(iv) Other Banks	12,50,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	
(c) Others	270,45,31,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	5,27,83,000
Bills payable	31,68,30,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank	..
Other Liabilities	58,29,44,000	Other Assets	43,61,16,000
Rupees	882,95,85,000	Rupees	882,95,85,000

\*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

\*\*Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@ Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. NIL advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 29th day of November, 1967.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 24th day of November 1967  
**LIABILITIES DEPARTMENT**

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department	26,51,33,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in Circulation	2961,05,46,000		(a) Held in India	115,89,25,000	
Total Notes issued		2987,56,79,000	(b) Held outside India	..	
			Foreign Securities	166,42,00,000	
			TOTAL		282,31,25,000
			Rupee Coin		80,25,16,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		2625,00,38,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper		..
TOTAL LIABILITIES		2987,56,79,000	TOTAL ASSETS		2987,56,79,000

Dated the 29th day of November 1967.

L. K. JHA,  
Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/67.]

*New Delhi, the 1st December 1967*

**S.O. 4226.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 45 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, the Central Government, after considering an application made by the Reserve Bank of India under sub-section (1) of that section, hereby makes an order of moratorium in respect of the Amrit Bank Ltd., Amritsar for the period from the close of business on the 2nd December, 1967 up to and inclusive of the 2nd April, 1968 and hereby stays the commencement or continuance of all actions and proceedings against that banking company during the period of moratorium, subject to the condition that such stay shall not in any manner prejudice the exercise by the Central Government of its powers under clause (b) of sub-section (4) of section 35 of the said Act or the exercise by the Reserve Bank of India of its powers under section 38 of the said Act.

2. The Central Government hereby also directs that, during the period of moratorium granted to it, the Amrit Bank Ltd., Amritsar, shall not, without the permission in writing of the Reserve Bank of India,

(a) grant any loan or advance, incur any liability, make any investment or agree to or disburse any payment, whether in discharge of its liabilities and obligations or otherwise, or enter into any compromise or arrangement, except to the extent and in the manner provided hereunder:—

- (i) a sum not exceeding 10 per cent of the total balance in every savings bank or current account or in any other deposit by whatever name called, provided that the sum total of the amounts paid in respect of the accounts standing in the name of any one person (and not jointly with that of any other person) does not exceed Rs. 250/- and provided further that no amount shall be paid to any depositor who is indebted to the bank in any way;
- (ii) the amounts of any drafts or pay orders issued by the said bank and remaining unpaid on the date on which the order of moratorium comes into force;
- (iii) the amounts of the bills received for collection on or before the 2nd December, 1967 and realised before, on or after that date;
- (iv) any expenditure which has necessarily to be incurred in connection with any suits or appeals filed by or against or decrees obtained by, the said bank or for realising any amounts due to it, provided that if the expenditure in respect of each such suit or appeal or decree or proceeding is in excess of Rs. 250/- the permission in writing of the Reserve Bank of India shall be obtained before it is incurred; and
- (v) any expenditure or any other item, in so far as it is in the opinion of the banking company necessary for carrying on the day-to-day administration of the banking company, provided that where the total expenditure on any item in any calendar month exceeds the average monthly expenditure on account of that item during the six calendar months preceding this order of moratorium, or if no expenditure has been incurred on account of that item in the past exceeds a sum of Rs. 250/-, the permission in writing of the Reserve Bank of India shall be obtained before the additional expenditure is incurred;

(b) sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of any of its immovable properties except in pursuance of any agreement entered into by it prior to the close of business on the 2nd December, 1967.

3. The Central Government hereby also directs that the Amrit Bank Ltd., Amritsar may, during the period of the moratorium granted to it, make the following further payments, namely, the amounts necessary for repaying loans or advances granted against Government securities or other securities to the Amrit Bank Ltd., Amritsar, by the Reserve Bank of India or the State Bank of India or any of its subsidiaries or by any other bank and remaining unpaid on the date on which the order of moratorium comes into force.

4. The Central Government hereby further directs that, during the period of moratorium the Amrit Bank Ltd., Amritsar shall be permitted to operate its accounts with the Reserve Bank of India or with any other bank for the purposes of making the payments aforesaid, provided that nothing in this order shall be deemed to require the Reserve Bank of India or any other bank aforesaid to satisfy itself that the conditions imposed by this order are being observed before any amounts are released in favour of the Amrit Bank Ltd., Amritsar.

5. The Central Government hereby further directs that the Amrit Bank Ltd., Amritsar may, during the period of moratorium, return any bills which have remained unrealised to the persons entitled to receive them on a request being made in this behalf by such persons, if the bank has no right or title to, or interest in, such bills.

6. The Central Government hereby also directs that the Amrit Bank Ltd., Amritsar may release or deliver goods or securities which may be pledged, hypothecated or mortgaged or otherwise charged to it against any loan, cash credit or overdraft,

- (i) in any case in which full payment towards all the amounts due from the borrower or borrowers, as the case may be, has been received by the bank, unconditionally; and
- (ii) in any other case, to such an extent as may be necessary or possible, without reducing the proportions of the margins on the said goods or securities below the stipulated proportions or the proportions which were maintained before the order of moratorium came into force, whichever may be higher.

[No. F.17(13)-BC/67.]

V. SWAMINATHAN, Under Secy.

**(Department of Revenue & Insurance)**

**INCOME-TAX**

*New Delhi, the 6th November 1967*

**S.O. 4227.**—It is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Indian Council of Medical Research, the "prescribed authority", for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961).

*Institution*

B. Y. L. Nair Hospital and T. N. Medical College, Research Society, Bombay.

[No. 149/F. No. 10/57/67-IT(AI).]

**S.O. 4228.**—It is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Indian Council of Medical Research, the "prescribed authority", for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961).

*Institution*

B. J. Medical College, Ahmedabad.

[No. 150/F. No. 10/58/67-IT(AI).]

J. C. KALRA, Dy. Secy.

**(Department of Revenue & Insurance)**

**ESTATE DUTY**

*New Delhi, the 7th November 1967*

**S.O. 4229.**—The Central Government hereby renews the appointment of the undermentioned Valuers whose names were previously published as S.O. 3967 in Part II, Section 3(ii) of the Gazette of India dated 21st November, 1964 for a further period of five years with effect from 13th October, 1967.

2. The scale of charges for the remuneration of Valuers appointed by the Central Government for valuing any property shall be as fixed below and no such Valuer shall charge a fee at a scale higher than the scale so fixed:

Provided that where two or more properties are required to be valued:—

- (i) by a Committee of Arbitration or by a third Valuer in pursuance of a single order, or
- (ii) by a Valuer, in pursuance of a single reference made by a Controller of Estate Duty or at the instance of an accountable person,

all such properties shall be deemed to constitute a single unit of property for the purposes of fixing the fee payable to the Committee or the Valuer, as the case may be:

Provided further that where the same property or properties, required to be valued by the same Committee of Arbitration or, as the case may be, by the same Valuer, is or are common to more than one case and the valuation relates to the same date, the Committee of Arbitration or the Valuer shall be entitled to charge fees at the scale fixed below only in one case and in the remaining case or cases the said Committee of Arbitration or Valuer shall be entitled to charge fees not exceeding rupees one hundred per case.

#### Scale of charges

On the first Rs. 50,000 of the property so valued	... ½% of the value
On the next Rs. 1,00,000 of the property so valued	... ¼% of the value
On the balance of property so valued	... 1/8% of the value

3. Notwithstanding anything contained in paragraph 2, the remuneration payable to a Valuer shall in no case be less than Rs. 50 (Rupees fifty).

#### APPENDIX

Sl. No.	Name	Address
1	2	3
<i>I—Engineers/Surveyors/Architects</i>		
1	Shri Ayer, S. T.	5, Palav Sadan, Jerbai Wadia Road, Parel, Bombay-12.
2	Shri Chhapia, V. K. B.E. (Civil), A.M.I.E.T. (Lond.)	Alli Chambers, Meadows Street, Fort, Bombay.
3	Shri Goregaoker, Vasant K. G.D. (Arch), A.I.I.A., A.I.A.A. & S. (Lond.)	Rajabahadur Bansilal Mansion, 11, Bruce Street, Fort, Bombay-1.
4	Shri Mistry, Manilal C. B.A., B.E. (Civil), A.M.I.E. (Ind.)	Madhu-Malti, Gandhi Bridge, Railway Crossing, Ahmedabad-9.
5	Shri Pancholy, H. M. B.E., A.M.I.E., M.I.S. (Ind.)	13, Saraswati Society, Sarkhej Road, Ahmedabad-7.
6	Shri Patkar, B. B. B.E., M.I.E. (Ind.)	"Prakash", Shivaji Park, Road No. 3. Bombay-28.
7	Shri Shah, Manikchand Raojibhai B.Sc., B.E., A.M.I.E. (Ind.)	Ratan Lodge Block 1, 9, King's Circle, Matunga, Bombay-19.
8	Shri Thakker, M. M. B.E. (Civil), A.M.I.E. (Ind.) L.S.G.D., M.R. San. I.E. (Lond.)	Junction Plot, Street No. 10/1, Rajkot (Gujarat).
9	Shri Virani, T. V. B.E. (Civil), A.M.I.E. (Ind.)	Jahangir Building, 133, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Fort, Bombay.
10	Shri Apte, V. G. B.E., A.M.I.E.	31, Narayan Bagh, Indore.
11	Shri Vaishampayan, V. V., B.Sc., B.E.	135, Tilak Path, Indore.



I	2	3
12	Shri Khanna. P. N. M.I.M.E. (Lond.)	114, Darya Ganj, Delhi-6.
13	Shri Rajagopalan, S., M.I.E. (Ind.)	Guru Vilas, Kutchery Road, Madras-4.
II Accountant		
1	Shri Bal, G. R. G.D.A., F.C.A.	C/o M/s. Bal & Patankar, 50/56, Custom House Road, Nagree Building, Fort, Bombay.

[No. 22/F. No. 5/63/67-E.D.]

E. K. LYALL, Dy. Secy.

## (Department of Revenue and Insurance)

## INSURANCE

New Delhi, the 24th November 1967

**S O. 4230-**In pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (2) of section 64F of the Insurance Act, 1938 (IV of 1938), the Central Government hereby nominates the following persons to the Executive Committee of the General Insurance Council of the Insurance Association of India, namely:—

- |    |  |          |                                       |
|----|--|----------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. | Shri A. Rajagopalan, Officer on Special Duty & Ex-officio Joint Secretary to the Government of India Ministry of Finance Department of Revenue & Insurance, New Delhi. | Chairman | [Under clause (a) of sub-section (2)] |
| 2. | Shri G. S. Anantapadmanabhan, Director (Insurance) Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue and Insurance, New Delhi.  | Member   | [Under Clause (a) of sub-section (2)] |
| 3. | Shri R. Venkatesan, M/s. Fraser & Ross, Chartered Accountants, 12, Mclean Street, Madras-1.  | Member   | [Under clause (c) of sub-section (2)] |
| 4. | Shri D. Hammond Giles, Alliance Assurance Co. Ltd., 25, Brabourn Road, Calcutta.   | Member   | [Under clause (d) of sub-section (2)] |
| 5. | Shri H. A. Whittle, Royal Insurance Co. Ltd., 5 & 7, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta.   | Member   | [Under clause (d) of sub-section (2)] |
| 6. | Shri H. D. R. Edwards Royal Exchange Assurance 6, Lyons Range, Calcutta.   | Member   | [Under clause (d) of sub-section (2)] |
| 7. | Shri G. Venugopal, General Manager, Oriental Fire & General Insurance Company Ltd., Oriental Buildings, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Post Box No. 1989, Bombay-1.              | Member   | [Under clause (d) of sub-section (2)] |
| 8. | Shri M. G. Modi, General Manager, New Great Insurance Company of India, Ltd., 7, Jamshedji Tata Road, Churchgate Reclamation P. O. Box No. 1126, Bombay-1.             | Member   | [Under clause (d) of sub-section (2)] |

[No. F. 51(21)-INS I/67]

RAJ K. NIGAM, Dy. Secy.

**(Department of Revenue and Insurance)****CUSTOMS***New Delhi, the 2nd December 1967*

**S.O. 4231.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. S.O. 865 dated the 18th March, 1967, namely:—

In the said notification, for the words "Laccadive and Aminidivi Islands", the words "Laccadive, Minicoy and Amindivi Islands" shall be substituted.

[No. 118/F. No. 14/7/67-LC. II.]

**S.O. 4232.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. S.O. 866 dated the 18th March, 1967, namely:—

In the said notification, for the words "Laccadive and Aminidivi Islands", the words "Laccadive, Minicoy and Amindivi Islands" shall be substituted.

[No. 119/F. No. 14/7/67-LC. II.]

**S.O. 4233.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of section 7 read with clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 160 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment to the notification of the late Central Board of Revenue No. 85-Customs dated the 9th September, 1950, namely:—

In the Schedule annexed to the said notification Serial No. 4 and the entries relating thereto shall be omitted.

[No. 120/F. No. 14/6/66-LC. II.]

**S.O. 4234.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of section 7 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Government hereby appoints the port of Paradeep in the State of Orissa to be a Customs port for the unloading of imported goods and the loading of export goods or any class of such goods.

[No. 121/F. No. 14/6/66-LC. II.]

M. S. SUBRAMANYAM, Under Secy.

**(Department of Revenue and Insurance)****CUSTOMS***New Delhi, the 9th December 1967*

**S.O. 4235.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. 17/F. No. 22/2/67-Cus. IV, dated 4th March, 1967, namely:—

In the said notification,—

- (i) for the words "Collector of Customs and Central Excise, Goa," the words "at present Collector of Central Excise, Poona," shall be substituted; and
- (ii) for the words and letters "Shri M. A. Rangaswamy", the words and letters "Shri G. S. Sawhney" shall be substituted.

[No. 125/F. No. 22/2/67-Cus. IV.]

D. N. LAL, Dy. Secy.

## CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

### INCOME-TAX

*New Delhi, the 13th November 1967*

**S.O. 4236.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendment in the Schedule appended to its Notification No. 98 (F. No. 50/167/67-ITJ) dated the 11th September, 1967 namely:

In the said Schedule against J-Range, New Delhi under column 2 the following shall be substituted:

J-Range, New Delhi

1. Central Circles II, III, IV and IX, Delhi.

#### *Explanatory Note*

The amendment has become necessary on account of the creation of a new Central Circle IX in the Commissioner's charge.

(The above note does not form part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 151 (F. No. 50/167/67-ITJ).]

*New Delhi, the 20th November 1967*

**S.O. 4237.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendments in the schedule appended to its notification No. 68(50/88/67-ITJ) dated 9th August, 1967, namely:—

(a) Against Poona Range-I, Poona under column 2 the following shall be added:—

14. Income-tax Officer, Collection I, Poona.

(b) Against Poona Range-II, Poona under column 2 the following shall be added:—

15. Income-tax Officer Collection II, Poona.

#### *Explanatory Note*

The amendments have become necessary on account of creation of two new Wards in the Commissioner's charge.

(This note does not form part of the notification, but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 153 (F. No. 50/88/67-ITJ).]

*New Delhi, the 30th November 1967*

**S.O. 4238.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendment in the Schedule appended to its Notification No. 105 (F. No. 50/14/66-ITJ), dated the 24th October, 1966 namely:—

Against Dibrugarh Range under column 2 the following shall be added:

7. Salary Circle, Dibrugarh.

#### *Explanatory Note*

The amendment has become necessary on account of the creation of a new circle known as Salary Circle at Dibrugarh in the CIT's charge.

(The above note does not form part of the notification, but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

[No. 155 (F. No. 50/127/67-ITJ).]

P. G. GANDHI, Under Secy.

## INCOME-TAX

*New Delhi, the 22nd November 1967*

**S.O. 4239.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 121 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendments to the Schedule appended to its Notification No. 20 (F.No. 55/1/62-IT) dated the 30th April, 1963 published as S. O. 1293 on pages 1454—1457 of the Gazette of India Part II Section 3 sub-section (ii) dated the 11th May, 1963 as amended from time to time.

Existing entries under columns (1), (2) and (3) against S. No. 11 and 11-A shall be substituted by the following entries :—

Income-tax Commissioners	Headquarters	Jurisdiction
11. Gujarat I	Ahmedabad	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Circle I, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>2. Circle III, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>3. Circle V, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>4. Circle VI, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>5. Group Circle I (1), Ahmedabad.</li> <li>6. Group Circle I (2), Ahmedabad.</li> <li>7. Group Circle I (3), Ahmedabad.</li> <li>8. Special Investigation Circle B, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>9. Bhavnagar Circle.</li> <li>10. Amreli Circle.</li> <li>11. Mehsana Circle.</li> <li>12. Patan Circle.</li> <li>13. Palanpur Circle.</li> <li>14. Rajkot Circle.</li> <li>15. Morvi Circle.</li> <li>16. Surendranagar Circle.</li> <li>17. Circle I, Jamnagar.</li> <li>18. Circle II, Jamnagar.</li> <li>19. Porbandar Circle.</li> <li>20. Junagadh Circle.</li> <li>21. Bhuj Circle.</li> <li>22. E.D.-cum-I.T. Circle, Rajkot.</li> </ol>
11-A Gujarat II	Ahmedabad	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Circle II, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>2. Circle IV, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>3. Circle VIII, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>4. Circle IX, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>5. Circle X, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>6. Group Circle II (1), Ahmedabad.</li> <li>7. Group Circle II (2) Ahmedabad.</li> <li>8. Group Circle III (1), Ahmedabad.</li> <li>9. Group Circle III (2), Ahmedabad.</li> <li>10. Group Circle III (3), Ahmedabad.</li> <li>11. Nadiad Circle.</li> <li>12. Baroda Circle.</li> <li>13. Broach Circle.</li> <li>14. Godhra Circle.</li> <li>15. Petlad Circle.</li> <li>16. Surat Circle.</li> <li>17. Navsari Circle.</li> <li>18. Bulsar Circle.</li> <li>19. E.D.-cum-I. T. Circle, Ahmedabad.</li> <li>20. E.D.-cum-I.T. Circle, Baroda.</li> </ol>

This Notification shall take effect from the 28th November, 1967.

*New Delhi, the 27th November 1967*

**S.O. 4240.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 126 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes makes the following addition to the Schedule annexed to its Notification No. 1(F.No.55/233/63-IT) dated the 18th May, 1964:

After Serial No. 42 in the said Schedule, the following item shall be added:

43.(i) All Seamen employed in any ship or vessel registered in Bombay or in any ship whose Agents are situate in Bombay.	4th Income Tax Officer, S.B. II, Bombay.	Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax, P. Range, Bombay.	Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, P. Range, Bombay.	Commissioner of Income-tax, Bombay City I, Bombay.
(ii) All Seamen employed in any ship or vessel registered in Madras or in any ship whose Agents are situate in Madras.	Income-tax Officer, Companies Circle I(1), Madras	Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax who has been appointed to perform the function of an Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax in respect of Income Tax Officer referred to in Col. 3.	Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax who has been vested with powers to hear appeals against the decisions of Income Tax Officer referred to in Col. 3.	Commissioner of Income-tax, Madras I, Madras.
(iii) All Seamen employed in any ship or vessel registered in Calcutta or in any ship whose Agents are situate in Calcutta.	Income-tax Officer, G-Ward, Distr. IIIA, Calcutta.	Do.	Do.	Commissioner of Income-tax, West Bengal I, Calcutta.

This notification shall take effect from the 8th December, 1967

[No. 16(F.No. 55/122/67-IT (A. II) ]

### CORRIGENDUM

*New Delhi, the 13th November 1967*

**S.O. 4241.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 126 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendment to the Schedule annexed to its Notification No. 1(F. No. 55/233/63-IT) dated the 18th May, 1964:—

In the said Schedule against Serial No. 41(i), for the existing entries in Columns 4 and 5, the following entries shall be substituted:

Column 4: "Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income tax, Nagpur Range, Nagpur."

Column 5: "Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income tax, 'A' Range, Nagpur."

[No. 14 (F. No. 55/179/63-IT).]

A. RAGHAVENDRA RAO, Under Secy.

### MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS

*New Delhi, the 14th November 1967*

**S.O. 4242.**—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 2802 dated the 7th August, 1967 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central government declared its intention to

acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

State—Gujarat		SCHEDULE	Taluka—Kalol		
		Dist.—Mehasana			
Village	S. Nos.	Hector	Are.	Prati Are.	
V vswani . . . . .	246	0	6	17	
Do. . . . .	242	0	6	57	
Do. . . . .	243	0	16	19	
Do. . . . .	V.P. Road	0	1	1	
Do. . . . .	266	0	20	43	
Do. . . . .	267	0	10	42	
Ambawapura . . . . .	125	0	5	36	

[No. 20/3/67-Prod.]

S.O. 4243.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 2801 dated the 7th August, 1967 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

State—Gujarat		SCHEDULE	Taluka—Kadikalol		
		Dist.—Mahesana			
Village	S. Nos.	Hector	Are.	P. Are.	
Ambavapura . . . . .	1/2	0	5	26	
Do. . . . .	1/1	0	4	65	
Do. . . . .	1/5	0	8	9	

Village	S. Nos.	Hector	Arc.	P. Arc
Ambavapura	On the boundry of 2 &			
Do.	& 211	0	0	50
	211		32	77
	209/1	0	4	95
	209			
	210			
Do.	152/4	0	5	76
Do.	151	0	12	3
Do.	147/1	0	13	45
	147/2			
Do.	130	0	9	51
Do.	135	0	12	44

[No. 20/3/67-Prod.]

**S.O. 4244.**—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 2800 dated the 7th August, 1967 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And Whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, Whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

## SCHEDULE

State—Gujarat	Dist. Mchasana	Taluka—Kalol			
Village	S. Nos.	Hector	Arc.	P. Arc.	
Sartha	696	0	4	55	
Do.	719	1	11	29	
Do.	721	0	27	32	

[No. 20/3/67-Prod.]

**S.O. 4245.**—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 3049 dated the 27th September, 1966 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE				
State—Gujarat		District—Baroda		Taluka—Padra.
Village	S.No.	Hector	Are.	P. Are
Darapura	414/I	0	11	62

[No. 31/38/63-Vol. 5/Prod.].

New Delhi, the 27th November 1967

**S.O. 4246.**—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 2166 dated the 23rd June, 1967 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

And, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil and Natural Gas Commission free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE				
State—Gujarat		District—Baroda		Taluka—Baroda
Village	Survey No.	Hector	Are.	P. Are.
Gorva	558	0	9	13
Do.	549	0	12	26
Do.	548	0	9	29
Do.	545	0	4	45
Do.	403/I	0	4	74

[No. 31/38/63-Prod/Vol. 9.]

B. S. S. RAO, Under Secy.

### Department of Atomic Energy

Bombay, the 11th November 1967

**S.O. 4247.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of rule 9, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 12, and sub-rule (1) of rule 24, read with rule 34 of the Central Civil Services (Classification Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965, the President hereby makes the following further amendments:



in the notification of the Government of India in the Department of Atomic Energy No. S. O. 1913, dated the 14th August, 1959, namely :—

In the Schedule to the said notification,—

(i) in Part I, General Central Service Class II, after item (vi-B) and the entries relating thereto the following item and entries shall be inserted, namely :—

1	2	3	4	5
<hr/>				
(vi-C) Posts in the Power Project Engineering Division.				
(a) Administrative Posts	Internal Financial Adviser	Internal Financial Adviser	All	..
(b) Scientific and Technical Posts.	Head, Design Group	Head, Design Group	All	.. ;

(2) in Part II, General Central Service, Class III, after item (vi-C) and the entries relating thereto the following items and entries shall be inserted namely :—

1	2	3	4	5
<hr/>				
(vi-D) Posts in the Power Project Engineering Division				
(a) Administrative Posts	Internal Financial Adviser	Internal Financial Adviser	All	Director
(b) Scientific and Technical Posts	Head, Design Group	Head, Design Group	All	Do. ;

(3) in Part III, General Central Service, Class IV, after item (vi-C) and entries relating thereto the following item and entries shall be inserted namely :—

1	2	3	4	5
<hr/>				
(vi-D) Posts in the Power Project Engineering Division.	Administrative Officer	Administrative Officer	All	Internal Financial Adviser

[No. 32/3/55—Adm.]

G. L. GARGA, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF WORKS, HOUSING AND SUPPLY

(Deptt. of Works & Housing)

New Delhi, the 29th November 1967

**S.O. 4248.**—In pursuance of clause (b) of section 2 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immoveable Property Act 1952 (30 of 1952), the Central Government hereby authorises each of the officers mentioned in column 1 of the subjoined Table to perform the functions of the competent

authority under the said Act for the areas specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 of the said Table.

THE TABLE

Designation of the officer (1)	Areas (2)
1. The Collector of Goa, Panaji	} in respect of the areas in their respective jurisdictions.
2. The Deputy Collector, North Sub-division, Panaji	
3. The Deputy Collector, South Sub-division, Margao	
4. The Collector of Daman	For the territory of Daman.
5. The Civil Administrator of Diu	For the territory of Diu.

[No. 21011(5)/66 POL]

V. P. AGNIHOTRI, Dy. Secy.

**DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY***New Delhi, the 14th November 1967*

**S.O. 4249.**—In supersession of Notification No. F. 1(14)/64-GA dated 11th July, 1964, conferring the powers, on the Vice-Chairman under Section 52 of the Delhi Development Act, 1957 for cancellation of leases in cases of non-construction and misuse/change of user cases, the Delhi Development Authority hereby delegates to the Vice-Chairman of the Authority its full powers under terms of leases/agreements for any action against breaches of the terms of the leases/agreements.

[No. F. 1(49)/67-GA.]

M. L. MONGIA, Secy.

**MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING***New Delhi, the 14th November 1967*

**S.O. 4250.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 2 of rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri V. Isvaran after consultation with the Central Board of Film Censors, as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Bombay with immediate effect.

[No. 11/4/66-FC.]

**S.O. 4251.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 2 of rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Arun Kau! after consultation with Central Board of Film Censors, as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Bombay with immediate effect.

[No. 11/4/66-FC.]

H. C. KHANNA, Dy. Secy.

**ORDERS***New Delhi, the 9th November 1967*

**S O 4252**—In pursuance of the Directions issued under the provisions of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in all its language versions to be of the description specified against it, in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XI of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film.
1	2	3	4	5	6
(1)	Maharashtra News No. 185—October, 1967 (Hindi and Marathi).	305 M	Director of Publicity Government of Maharashtra. Bombay-34.		Film dealing with news and current events (For release in Maharashtra Circuit only).

[No. F. 24/1/67-FP-APP. 1214]

**S.O. 4253.**—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Films Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Gujarati to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a Scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film.
1	2	3	4	5	6
(1)	Vada Pradhanni Gujarat Yatra.	298.70M	Director of Information, Government of Gujarat, Ahmedabad.		Film dealing with news and current events (For release in Gujarat Circuit only).

[No. F.24/1/67-FP-APP. 1215]

*New Delhi, the 25th November 1967*

S.O.4254:—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactment<sup>s</sup> specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Gujarati to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

#### THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section 4 of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

#### THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a Scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Mahitichitra No.87	265.16M	Director of Information , Government of Gujarat, Ahmedabad.		Film dealing with news & current events (For release in Gujarat Circuit only).

[No. F. 24/1/67-FP App.1218]

BANU RAM AGGARWAL, Under Secy.

### MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT & COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Department of Industrial Development)

(Indian Standards Institution)

*New Delhi, the 10th November 1967*

S.O. 4255.—In licence No. CM/L-228, dated 16th September, 1960, held by M/s. Sulekha Works Ltd., Calcutta, the details of which were published under S.O. 761 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Sub-Section 3(ii) dated 4th March 1967, violet ink has been included with effect from 16th June, 1967.

[No. MD/55:1236.]

S.O. 4256.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 14 of the Indian Standards Institution, (Certification Marks), Regulations, 1955, as subsequently amended, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Licence No. CM/L-1236, particulars of which are given below, has been cancelled with effect from 7 October 1967:

Licence No. and Date	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the Licence Cancelled	Relevant Indian Standard
CM/L-1236 1-4-1966	M/s. Ruby Rubber Works Ltd, Ruby-nagar Post Office, Changanacherry (Kerala)	Bicycle Rubber Tubes	IS: 2415 : 1963 Specification for Bicycle Rubber Tubes

[No. MD/55: 1236.]

---

**S.O. 4257.**—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 5 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that IS:1487-1959 Indian Standard Specification for edible groundnut flour (*expeller pressed*), establishment of which was notified *vide* S.O. 1463 dated 2nd June, 1960 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3(ii) dated 11th June, 1960, is hereby cancelled.

[No. MD/13:7.]

**S.O. 4258.**—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955 subsequently amended, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that twenty-two licences, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been granted authorizing the licensees to use the Standard Mark.

## THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No. and Date	Period From	Validity To	Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the Licence	Relevant Indian Standard
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	CM/L-1534 4-10-1967	16-10-67	15-10-68	M/s. Emcee Engineers, Turbeni Road, Patiala.	Water meters, 15 mm size, dial, inferential type 'B' only.	IS : 775F-1966 Specification for water meters (domestic type) [Third emergency (revision)].
2	CM/L-1535 4-10-1967	16-10-67	15-10-68	M/s. Standard Mineral Products Pvt. Ltd. Subhas Nagar, Jogeshwari (East), Bombay-60 having their Regd. Office at 91, Nagindas Master Road, Bombay-1.	BHC dusting powders.	IS : 561-1962 : Specification for BHC dusting powders (second revision).
3	CM/L-1536 4-10-1967	16-10-67	15-10-68	M/s. Shree Durga Glass Works, Barang, Distt. Cuttack (Orissa).	Glass milk bottles.	IS : 1392-1959 Specification for glass milk bottles.
4	CM/L-1537 5-10-1967	16-10-67	15-10-68	M/s. Indian Crafts & Industries, 14/15, Civil Lines, Kanpur and 17/101, Ram Narain Bazar, Kanpur.	Miner's safety leather boots and shoes.	IS : 1989-1967 : Specification for miner's safety leather boots and shoes.
5	CM/L-1538 5-10-1967	16-10-67	15-10-68	M/s. Hardwyn Industrial Trading Corpn., 308/5A, Shahzada Bagh, Old Rohtak Road, Delhi-7.	Door closers (hydraulically regulated) size 2 only.	IS : 2564-1966 Specification for door closers (hydraulically regulated).
6	CM/L-1539 6-10-1967	16-10-67	15-10-68	M/s. Neiveli Ceramics & Refractories Ltd., Vadalur (Post), South Arcot Distt., Madras State having their office at Saleh Chambers, 312, Thambu Chetty Street, (III Floor), Madras-1.	Flushing cisterns for water closets and urinals (valveless siphonic type). vitrecus china, low-level, 12-litre capacity.	IS : 774-1964 : Specification for flushing cisterns for water closets and urinals valveless siphonic type (second revision).
7	CM/L-1540 6-10-1967	16-10-67	15-10-68	M/s. Neiveli Ceramics & Refractories Ltd., Vadalur (Post), South Arcot Distt., Madras State having their office at Saleh Chambers, 312, Thambu Chetty Street, (III Floor), Madras-1.	Vitreous sanitary appliances (vitrecus china) consisting of: (i) Laboratory sinks (ii) Wash basins 450x300 mm size	IS : 2556-1963 Specification for vitreous sanitary appliances (vitrecus china).

8	CM/L-1541 16-10-67	15-4-68	M/s. Shaw Wallace & Co. Ltd., 84, Industrial Suburb, Bangalore-22 having their office at 8/9, Thambu Chetty Street, Madras-1.	Poultry feed, growing and laying.	IS : 1374-1964 Specification for poultry feeds ( <i>revised</i> ).
9	CM/L-1542 9-10-1967	15-10-68	M/s. Apcech Pvt. Ltd., Farnhati P.O. Dist. Durg, Madhya Pradesh.	Structural steel (standard quality)	IS : 226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard quality) ( <i>third revision</i> ).
10	CM/L-1543 9-10-1967	15-10-68	M/s. Apcech Pvt. Ltd., Kumbhari P.O. Dist. Durg, Madhya Pradesh.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)	IS : 1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality)
11	CM/L-1544 9-10-1967	15-10-68	M/s. Hind Iron Foundry, Railway Road, Batala.	Cast iron soil pipes size 100 mm only.	IS : 1729-1961 Specification for sand cast iron spigot and socket soil, waste and ventilating pipes, fittings and accessories.
12	CM/L-1545 9-10-1967	15-10-68	M/s. Elrex Bugg, Corpn. Pvt. Ltd., Krishnarayapuram Road, Ganapathy Post, Coimbatore-6.	Three phase induction motors 2.2 KW (3 hp) and 3.7 KW (5 hp) with class 'A' insulation.	IS : 325-1961 Specification for three phase induction motors ( <i>second revision</i> ).
13	CM/L-1546 13-10-1967	15-10-68	M/s. Ajitsaria Industries, Futaul, Gauhati (Assam) having their office at Fancy Bazar, Gauhati (Assam).	Tea-chest metal fittings.	IS : 10-1964 Specification for plywood tea-chests ( <i>second revision</i> ).
14	CM/L-1547 19-10-1967	31-10-68	M/s. M. B. Industries, Industrial Area, Jaipur West.	Cast iron flushing cisterns (bell type) high level, 12.5 litre capacity only.	IS : 774-1964 Specification for flushing cisterns for water closets and urinals (valveless siphonic type) ( <i>second revision</i> ).
15	CM/L-1548 19-10-1967	31-10-68	M/s. Krishna Rasayan, Kanival, Dist. Balasore (Orissa).	BHC dusting powders.	IS : 561-1962 Specification for BHC dusting powders ( <i>second revision</i> ).
16	CM/L-1549 24-10-1967	31-10-68	M/s. New Chem Mineral Mills Pvt. Ltd., Chakravati Ashok Road, Kandivli (East), Bombay-67 having their office at 7/A, Dean Lane, Fort, Bombay-1.	Malathion emulsifiable concentrates.	IS : 2567-1963 : Specification for malathion emulsifiable concentrates.
17	CM/L-1550 24-10-1967	31-10-68	M/s. Diamond Rubber Works Pvt. Ltd., Station Road, Brandup, Bombay-78 having their Regd. office at 2/6, Nagdevi Street, Bombay-5.	PVC insulated sheathed cables, single core 250/440 volts grade with aluminium conductors PVC insulated unsheathed cables single core 650/1100 volts grade with aluminium conductors.	IS : 694 (Part II)-1964 Specification for PVC insulated cables for voltages upto 1100 volts with aluminium conductors ( <i>revised</i> ).
18	CM/L-1551 24-10-1967	31-10-68	M/s. Mahabir Steel Rolling Mulk, Qabool Nagar, G.T. Road, Shahdara, Delhi-32.	Roller steel sections, F 7B for doors, windows and ventilators.	IS : 1038-1957 Specification for steel, doors windows and ventilators.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
19	CM/L-1552 24-10-1967	1-11-67	31-10-68	M/s. Industrial Cables (India) Ltd., Industrial Area, Rajpura.	Hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel cold aluminium conductors for overhead power transmission purposes.	IS : 398-1961 Specification for hard-drawn stranded aluminium and steel cored aluminium conductors for over-head pow- ers transmission purposes ( <i>re- vised</i> ).
20	CM/L-1553 25-10-1967	1-11-67	31-10-68	M/s. Devidayal Rolling & Refineries Pvt. Ltd., Pokhran Road, Thana, Bombay having their office at Gupta Mills Estate, Darukhana, Reay Road, Bombay-10.	Structural steel (standard quality)	IS : 226-1962 Specification for structural steel (standard qua- lity) ( <i>third revision</i> ).
21	CM/L-1554 25-10-1967	1-11-67	31-10-68	M/s. Devidayal Rolling & Refineries Pvt. Ltd., Pokhran Road, Thana, Bombay having their office at Gupta Mills Estate, Darukhana, Reay Road, Bombay-10.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)	IS : 1977-1962 Specification for structural steel (ordinary quality).
22	CM/L-1555 31-10-1967	1-11-67	31-10-68	M/s. Venkateswara Agro Chemicals & Minerals, 6/303, Thiruvottiyur High Road, Madras-21.	DDT emulsifiable concentrates.	IS : 632-1956 Specification for DDT emulsifiable concen trates

[No. MD/33:16]



ERRATA

New Delhi, the 3rd November 1967

**S.O. 4259.**—In the Ministry of Industrial Development & Company Affairs (Indian Standards Institution) Notifications published in the Gazettes of India, Part II, Section 3(ii), the following corrections be made:

1. Gazette dated 26 August 1967

- (a) S.O. 2945 dated 8 August 1967, Schedule:  
Col 5, line 3—Read '20 001st' for '2000 1st'
- (b) S.O. 2947 dated 10 August 1967, Schedule:  
Col 4, line 10—Read 'clause 5.1.1' for 'clause 5.11'
- (c) S.O. 2949 dated 16 August 1967, Schedule:  
Sl. No. 4, col 5, line 2—Read 'Mudical' for 'Madical'
- (d) S.O. 2950 dated 16 August 1967, Schedule:
  - (i) Sl. No. 14, col. 4, lines 1 and 2—Read 'requirements' for 'required-ments'
  - (ii) Sl. No. 18, col 4
    - (1) Line 9—Read 'Fe °3' for 'Fe2 °3'
    - (2) Line 10—Read 'Up to 3' for 'Up to 2'
  - (iii) Sl. No. 21, col 4, line 1—Read 'chemical' for 'chemica'

2. Gazette dated 2 September 1967

- (a) S.O. 2990 dated 17 August 1967 Schedule:  
Sl. No. 2, col 3, line 3—Read '1-1/3 inch' for '1½ inch'
- (b) S.O. 2991 dated 18 August 1967, Schedule:
  - (i) Sl. No. 2, col 4, line 4—Read 'conductors' for 'conducts'
  - (ii) Sl. No. 5, col 3, line 1—Read 'spectro' for 'spect'
- (c) S.O. 2992 dated 21 August 1967, Schedule:  
Sl. No. 5, col 2, line 1—Read 'IS:2673-1966' for 'IS:2673-1966'

3. Gazette dated 9 September 1967

- (a) S.O. 3125 dated 24 August 1967 Schedule:
  - (i) Sl. No. 1, col 2—Substitute the following design of the Standard Mark for the existing one:

IS:695



PURE

- (ii) Sl. No. 2, col 4, line 3—Read 'cocoa' for 'cocao'
- (b) S.O. 3126 dated 24th August 1967, Schedule, col 6—The words and letters '16 August 1967' should be read against Sl. No. 2.

4. Gazette dated 23 September 1967

- (a) S.O. 3336 dated 5 September 1967, Schedule:  
Sl. No. 8, col 4, line 12—Read 'radiation' for 'ratiation'
- (b) S.O. 3337 dated 7 September 1967, Schedule:
  - (i) Sl. No. 1, col 5—Lines 9 and 10 should be read against item No. (ii) of col 4.
  - (ii) Sl. No. 2, col 5, line 2—Read '8.2.5' for '82.5'
  - (iii) Sl. No. 5, col 5, line 1—Read '5.2' for '5.3'
- (c) S.O. 3338 dated 8 September 1967, Schedule:
  - (i) Sl. No. 2, col 7, line 2—Read 'containing' for 'containin'
  - (ii) Sl. No. 10, col 5, line 3—Read 'olavakot' for 'clavakot'.

[No. MD/13:8.]  
(DR.) SADGOPAL,  
Dy. Director General, ISI.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS****(P. & T. Board)***New Delhi, the 25th November 1967*

**S.O. 4260.**—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 18th December, 1967, as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Ranipet Telephone Exchange.

[No. 5/55/67-PHB.]

*New Delhi, the 29th November 1967*

**S.O. 4261.**—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960 the Director General, Posts & Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 1st January 1968 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in PANVEL Telephone Exchange.

[No. 5/59/67-PHB.]

D. R. BAHL,

Asstt. Director General (PHB).

तार विभाग

(डाक-तार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 25 नवम्बर 1967

स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 4262.—स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च 1960 द्वारा लागू किये गये 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने रानपेट टेलीफोन केंद्र में 16-12-67 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-55/67-पी० एच० बी०]

नई दिल्ली, 29 नवम्बर 1967

स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 4263.—स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किये गए 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने पानवेल टेलीफोन केंद्र में 1-1-68 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-59/67-पी० एच० बी०]

डी० आर० बहल,

सहायक महानिदेशक (पी० एच० बी०)।

## MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT AND SHIPPING

(Transport Wing)

New Delhi, the 29th November 1967

**S.O. 4264.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 44 of the Road Transport Corporations Act, 1950 (64 of 1950), the President hereby makes the following rules, namely:—

### CHAPTER I—Preliminary

**1. Short title and commencement.**—(1) These rules may be called the Tripura Road Transport Corporation Rules, 1967.

(2) They shall come into force at once.

**2. Definitions.**—In these rules, unless the context otherwise requires—

(a) "Act" means the Road Transport Corporations Act, 1950 (64 of 1950).

(b) "Administrator" means the Administrator the Union Territory of Tripura appointed by the President under article 239.

(c) "Schedule" means a Schedule annexed to these rules.

(d) "Tripura Transport Employees Service Regulations" mean the regulations made by the Corporation under clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 45 of the Act.

### CHAPTER II—Constitution of Corporation

**3. Constitution.**—(1) (i) The Corporation shall consist of six members including the Chairman out of which five shall be nominated by the Administrator as its representatives and one will be nominated by the Central Government in the Ministry of Railways as their representative.

(ii) The Administrator shall appoint one member of the Corporation as Chairman and shall also appoint one other member as Vice-Chairman.

(2) The non-official members shall be part-time members.

(3) The Administrator shall appoint one member of the Corporation as Chairman, and may, if he so thinks fit, appoint one other member as the Vice-Chairman.

**4. Term of office.**—The term of office of a member shall be for a period of three years from the date of his appointment and he shall be eligible for re-appointment.

**5. Emoluments of Members.**—(1) An official member shall hold office in an honorary capacity.

(2) A non-official member shall be entitled to a fee of thirty rupees for attending each meeting of the Corporation and twenty rupees for attending each meeting of a committee of the Corporation appointed under section 12 of Act:

Provided that the fee in respect of only one meeting may be drawn on the same day.

(3) (a) The Chairman, Vice-Chairman and members of the Corporation shall be entitled to draw such conveyance, travelling and daily allowances as may be admissible to them under the rules governing such members, or as may be provided for by a resolution of the Corporation whichever is applicable.

(b) A bill for travelling allowance or conveyance allowance, as the case may be, claimed under these rules shall be countersigned by the member himself before such bill is submitted for audit and payment.

(c) The Corporation may, with the approval of the Administrator sanction such remuneration, allowance or sitting fee as may be provided for by a resolution of the Corporation, to the Chairman, Vice-Chairman and members of the Corporation or such other persons as are associated with the Corporation under section 10 of the Act.

(d) The amount so paid, will be debited to the budget of the Corporation.

**6. Use of Staff Car.**—(1) The Corporation may provide a staff car free of charge for the use of the Chairman or the Vice-Chairman or the members in the discharge of their duties, or for attending a meeting of the Corporation.

(2) If staff-cars are used not for the business of the Corporation then a member shall be liable to pay the Corporation, the cost of such use at a rate to be provided by a resolution of the Corporation.

**7. Casual Vacancy.**—(1) All casual vacancies among the members shall be filled up as soon as it can conveniently be done by the Administrator: Provided that the vacancy among the members, representing the Central Government in the Ministry of Railways shall be filled up by the Central Government.

(2) The person appointed to fill a casual vacancy shall hold office so long as the member in whose place he is appointed would have held if the vacancy had not occurred.

**8. Quorum.**—The number of members necessary to constitute a quorum at a meeting of the Corporation shall be three of whom one shall be the Chairman or the Vice-Chairman, as the case may be.

### CHAPTER III—Financial Structure.

**9. Tripura Road Transport Corporation Fund.**—(1) The Corporation shall have a fund under section 27 of the Act called the Tripura Road Transport Corporation Fund.

(2) The moneys belonging to the said Fund may also be deposited in such Government Treasuries or Sub-Treasuries in the Union Territory of Tripura as the Corporation may deem fit.

**10. Depreciation Fund and other allowances from Gross Receipts.**—(1) The Corporation shall constitute a Depreciation Fund by contributing every year from and out of the revenue receipts of the Corporation an amount calculated on the basis shown in each of the items mentioned in column (3) of the Table on the assets specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) thereof, unless the Administrator requires the contribution to be made at such other rates as may be specified by him.

TABLE

Serial Number (1)	Type of asset (2)	Rate of contribution (3)
1	Heavy duty type diesel vehicles such as Albions, Leyland Lions, Tigers.	Twenty-five per cent of the written down value of the asset.
2	Medium duty type diesel vehicles such as Leyland Comets, Hippon, Guy Otters, Tata Mercedes Benz.	Twenty-five per cent of the written down value of the asset.
3	Light duty type diesel vehicles	Twenty-five per cent of the written down value of the asset.
4	All petrol-engined vehicles	Twenty-five per cent of the written down value of the asset.
5	All buildings, garages, staff quarters	Five per cent of the written down value of the asset.
6	Workshop machinery and equipment—	
	(a) Heavy	Seven per cent of the written down value of the asset.
	(b) Light	Seven per cent of the written down value of the asset.
7	Depot equipment and machinery	Seven per cent of the written down value of the asset.

(2) There shall be established and maintained by the Corporation a Fund to be called the Third Party Liability Fund into which shall be paid every year from and out of the revenues of the Corporation such sum as may be directed by the Administrator from time to time for meeting any liability, arising out of the use of any vehicle of the Corporation, which the Corporation or any person in the employment of the Corporation may incur to third parties.

(3) The Corporation shall constitute a fund to cover risk of or loss or damage to the property of the Corporation other than its motor vehicles by contributing such amount every year out of the gross receipts on revenue account as it may determine.

**11. Reserve Fund.**—(1) The Corporation shall constitute a Reserve Fund by contributing every year a sum equal to four per cent of the gross receipts on revenues account or such sum out of the gross receipts as it available after meeting the working expenses inclusive of the appropriation towards the Depreciation and the Insurance Funds set up under rule 10. The interest and dividend payable under section 28 of the Act and any other liability under the Act and these rules or any other law for the time being in force whichever is less

(2) The Reserve Fund shall be available to meet the commitments of the Corporation prescribed under the Act and these rules or any other law for the time being in force, whenever in any year the receipts of the Corporation are not sufficient for the purpose, and, with the previous approval of the Administrator, for any other purpose.

**12. Stores Obsolescence Fund.**—(1) The Corporation shall constitute a Stores Obsolescence Fund by contributing to it every year the sum realised during that year by the disposal of scrapped material the cost of which had originally been charged to the revenues.

(2) The Corporation may, in addition, contribute to the Fund annually, a sum equal to five per cent of the actual cost of spare parts issued during the year.

(3) The Stores Obsolescence Fund shall be available to meet any loss arising from the disposal of spare parts which have become obsolete or surplus to the requirements of the Corporation as a result of the vehicles to which they pertain having been scrapped or otherwise.

**13. Gratuity Fund.**—(1) The Corporation shall constitute a Gratuity Fund by contributing 1/24 of the amount provided under 'pay' under units 6 and 17 in part II Revenue.

(2) The Gratuity Fund shall be available to meet payments of gratuity on account of retirements, and premature deaths of the employees

**14. Betterment Fund.**—(1) The Corporation shall constitute a Betterment Fund by contributing every year twelve and a half per cent of the net annual profits after making provision for payment of interest and dividend and for Depreciation Reserve and other Funds and the said Fund shall be available to meet the expenditure on the following objects:—

(i) *Amenities to passengers.*—(a) construction of bus stations including waiting rooms, bus shelters and improvements thereto;

(b) water-supply;

(c) refreshment rooms and tea-stalls;

(d) improvements to existing buses;

(e) lighting arrangements at bus station and provision of fans;

(f) improved approaches to bus stations.

(ii) *Works connected with staff welfare.*—(a) provision of new hospitals, dispensaries, additions, alterations and improvements to existing ones;

(b) provision of new institutes, rest houses, sports grounds and reading rooms and improvements and additions to the existing ones;

(c) provision and improvement of health and welfare services, called welfare and maternity centres, canteens and rest rooms for staff;

(d) provision and improvement of sanitation water supply, roads, lighting in staff colonies;

(e) construction of staff quarters and improvements thereto.

(iii) *Operating improvements*.—(1) improvements and alternations to bus depots, works and other buildings.

(2) after appropriation of twelve-and-a-half per cent of the net profit towards the Betterment Fund, as referred to in sub-rule (1), the Corporation may utilise such amount of the balance as may be specified by it, with the previous approval of the Administrator and of the Central Government for financing the expansion programmes of the Corporation and the remainder, if any, shall be made over to the Administrator for road development.

**15. Utilisation of Funds.**—A Fund constituted under rule 10, 11, 12, 13 or 14 shall not be utilised for any purpose other than the purpose for which it was created without the previous approval of the Administrator:

Provided that the Corporation shall be entitled to temporary advances for a period not exceeding 12 months of seventy five per cent of the balances in a Fund excepting the Third Party Liability Fund for ways and means purposes or as working capital.

#### CHAPTER IV—Budget

**16. Form of budget.**—(1) The budget estimate of the Corporation shall consist of the following parts, namely:—

Part I. A detailed estimate of expenditure on capital account;

Part II. A detailed estimate of (a) receipts and (b) expenditure on revenue accounts;

Part III. A detailed estimate of contributions from State Governments Administrator and the Central Government and other receipts on capital account to be exhibited under various heads to show the nature of the receipts; and a summary of the amounts due to and by the State Government and the Central Government.

Part IV. Expenditure statement regarding funds, deposits and advances:

Part V. A detailed statement of the appropriation of net profits;

Part VI. Cash account of the Tripura Road Transport Corporation Fund;

Part VII. Summary of the Financial Results of the working of the Corporation.

(2) (a) The budget estimate shall give the anticipated receipts and expenditure for the financial year to which it pertains under the major, minor, subordinate and detailed heads of Account in the form in Schedule I.

(b) The budget estimate shall also clearly indicate the extent to which amounts from any of the Funds with the Corporation are proposed to be appropriated towards expenditure during the course of the year.

**17. Procedure for forwarding budget to Administrator** (1) (a) The budget estimate for any year shall be laid before the Corporation on or before the 1st December of the previous year and after it is approved by the Corporation shall be forwarded on or before the 15th December to the Administrator for approval.

(b) The Administrator shall approve of the budget before the 15th January after making such amendments and alternations as he considers necessary.

(2) (a) The budget thus amended or altered and approved shall constitute the budget of the Corporation for the ensuing financial year and shall be issued under the seal of the Corporation and signed by the Officer or Officers of the Corporation duly authorised in this behalf.

(b) Authenticated copies of the budget shall be forwarded to the Administrator and to the Central Government on or before the 31st January.

**18. Sanction to expenditure under the grants.**—The sanction to the expenditure shall be accorded under the following grants, namely:—

(1) *Revenue expenditure.*

(The letters in brackets refer to items in Part II of Schedule I)

*Grant 1—Working Expenses.*

This grant will include expenditure towards (a) Traffic (b) Repairs and maintenance including Workshop (c) Power (d) Licences and taxes

on passenger vehicles (e) Welfare and superannuation (f) General Administrative Expansion and Administrative Offices, Accounts Office, Central Stores, Civil Engineering maintenance works (g) Contribution to Stores Obsolescence Fund (a to g).

*Grant 2.—Contribution to Funds.*

This grant includes contributions to various funds set up by the Corporation [except to staff Benefit Fund, Provident Fund, Gratuity Fund, Insurance Fund and Stores Obsolescence Fund contributions which fall under Grant I (H.M. and N)].

*Grant 3.—*Payment of compensation made for the unexpired portion of permits of private operators written back from Capital (I).

*Grant 4.—Debt Charges.*

This grant includes expenditure on Income-tax (if leviable) (L).

*Grant 5.—Interest.*

This grant includes expenditure towards the interest to be paid by the Corporation to the Administrator, the Central Government and the State Governments (K).

*Grant 6.—Income tax (if leviable).*

This grant includes expenditure on Income-tax (if leviable) (L).

(2) *Capital expenditure.*—The figures in brackets refer to item in Part I of Schedule I.

Grant 1.—Land (I).

Grant 2.—Buildings and Fixtures (II).

Grant 3.—Machinery and plant (III).

Grant 4.—Vehicles (IV).

Grant 5.—Project charges (V).

Grant 6.—Suspense (VI).

Grant 7.—Deferred charges—Compensation (VII).

Grant 8.—Deduct expenditure to be transferred to various funds (VIII).

19. **Contingency Fund.**—(1) There shall be established a Contingency Fund in the nature of an imprest entitled the Contingency Fund of the Corporation into which shall be paid from and out of the Tripura Road Transport Corporation Fund, a sum not exceeding 3 lakhs of rupees.

(2) The Contingency Fund so established shall be held by the Corporation and no advances shall be made out of such Fund except for the purpose of meeting unforeseen expenditure pending authorisation of such expenditure by the Administrator to which a report detailing the expenditure incurred from the Fund and the nature of emergency which necessitated such expenditure shall be sent by the Corporation as soon as possible after incurring the expenditure.

20. **Unanticipated expenditure.**—(1) If during the course of a year it becomes necessary to incur expenditure over and above the provisions made in the budget, the Corporation shall immediately forward to the Administrator the details of the proposed expenditure alongwith the manner in which it is proposed to meet the additional expenditure.

(2) No such expenditure shall be incurred until the same is sanctioned by the Administrator and the authenticated copies of such sanction shall be forwarded to the Accountants General of the Central Government and the State Governments concerned.

CHAPTER V—Accounts and Audit

21. **Form and manner of maintenance of Accounts of the Corporation.**—The receipts and expenditure of the Corporation classified under the various major,

minor and subordinate heads of account specified in Schedule I shall be booked under such further detailed heads of accounts as the Corporation may deem necessary for purposes of information and control.

**22. Major heads of accounts.**—The following shall be the major heads of accounts, namely:—

A—Capital:

B—Revenue:

C—Capital Receipts:

4—Funds, Deposits and Advances.

**23. Capital expenditure.**—The capital expenditure shall represent all the expenditure incurred in acquiring assets for the purpose of earning the income or increasing the earning capacity of the Corporation and includes charges in creating and bringing the assets into beneficial use.

**24. Major head "Revenue".**—(1) The major head of account "Revenue" shall cover the recurring transactions and shall be divided into the following four minor heads subject to such modifications or additions as the Corporation may think fit, namely:—

(a) Passenger Bus Service;

(b) Goods Lorry Scheme;

(c) Interest from Depreciation, Reserve and other Funds; and

(d) Net Revenue.

(2) The Corporation shall communicate forthwith to the Administrator for his approval any modifications or additions made by the Corporation in the nomenclature or order of the minor heads referred to in sub-rule (1).

**25. Recording debits and credits under Funds.**—(1) Debits and credits pertaining to the various Funds shall be recorded separately under suitable minor heads under each Fund.

(2) Transactions pertaining to each group of deposits and advances shall be recorded under suitable minor heads.

**26. Major Head "Capital Receipts".**—The major head of account "Capital receipts" shall record all contributions towards capital received from the Administrator and the Central Government as well as share capital, if any, raised under sections 23 and 24, or amounts borrowed under section 26, of the Act.

**27. Mode of payment.**—All payments from the Tripura Road Transport Corporation Fund shall be made through cheques issued by such officer as may be duly authorised in this behalf by the Corporation: Provided that the payments amounting to less than twenty five rupees may be made in cash.

**28. Procedure for payment.**—(1) Subject to such limitations as the Corporation may lay down payments, other than those made from the imprest, shall be made after pre-audit by the Chief Accounts Officer: Provided that the Chief Accounts Officer may, when circumstances justify it and no loss is anticipated to the Corporation, make payments before audit but vouchers in respect of all such payments shall be sent to him for post audit and a monthly statement of such payments together with the reasons therefor shall be submitted to the Corporation for approval.

(2) (a) It shall be the responsibility of the Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager to see that no payment from the Tripura Road Transport Corporation Fund is made which is not authorised by an existing budget grant or by a special sanction given under rule 20 or which cannot be met from the Contingency Fund.

(b) Where any demand for payment is received and it is not possible to make it in view of the provisions of clause (a), the Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager shall place the case before the Corporation with a request to secure the sanction of the Administrator under rule 20 to the payment and shall make the payment only after obtaining the sanction of the Administrator.

**29. Principal Books of Accounts.**—(1) The principal books of account shall consist of the ledger, the journal and cash book.

(2) The principal books of account referred to in sub-rule (1) shall be maintained in accordance with such instructions as may be issued from time to time by the Chief Accounts Officer.



**30. Subsidiary Registers:—**(1) Besides the principal books of account referred to in rule 29 such subsidiary registers as may be specified by the Chief Accounts Officer shall be maintained to record the receipts and expenditure in detail and to keep a proper detailed account of all assets, such as land building, plant and machinery, vehicles and tools, stores, raw materials, spare parts, investments, advances, deposits and the like and also to watch the clearance of suspense transactions.

(2) The subsidiary registers referred to in sub-rule (1) shall be reconciled monthly with the cash book and the ledger.

**31. Investment of surplus money:—**(1) Any moneys lying at the credit of the Corporation and not immediately required by it for the purposes of the business of the Corporation may be invested by the Corporation in securities authorised by the Indian Trusts Act, 1882 (2 of 1882).

(2) The cash balances of Depreciation, Reserve and other Funds which are not immediately required for disbursement may similarly be invested.

**32. Physical verification of assets:—**(1) A physical verification of all assets of Corporation, both movable and immovable, shall be made at least once a year by an officer of the Corporation, who is not the custodian thereof specially authorised in this behalf by the Chairman.

(2) The results of verification indicating shortages or excesses or both shall be recorded in writing and the orders of the Corporation or any officer authorised in this behalf shall be obtained thereon and action shall be taken in accordance with those orders.

**33. Annual accounts:—**(1) The annual accounts for each financial year showing the financial results of the Undertaking shall be drawn up in the form specified by the Administrator in consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General of India within six months from the close of the financial year; Provided that on the application of the Corporation, Administrator may, in consultation, with the Accountant General, Assam and Nagaland, extend the time for drawing up any such accounts by such period as he may deem necessary.

(2) The accounts referred to in sub-rule (1) shall take into account all liabilities for the year incurred but not liquidated as also all expenditure incurred in the year in advance of the period to which it pertains.

(3) The annual accounts shall consist of:—

- (a) Statement of Capital Receipt.—Showing the contributions due and the contributions received from the participating Government.
- (b) Statement of Loan Capital.—Showing the amount of loans borrowed, rate of interest, amount of loans repaid, balance outstanding.
- (c) Statement of Capital Accounts.—Showing on the debit side the amount of capital expenditure on fixed assets at the beginning of the year, expenditure incurred during the year on different assets, sales disposals and write off, balance at the end of the year and on the credit side the receipts on capital accounts.
- (d) Profit and Loss Accounts.—Showing the gross earnings, direct operational cost, administration expenses, other indirect charges and net revenue.
- (e) Net-Revenue Account.—Showing the appropriation of the net revenue income tax and the appropriation of the net revenue after meeting these charges to such of the Funds as are not provided for under 'Working Expenses.'
- (f) Statement of Depreciation Fund, Provident Fund Reserve and Other Funds.—Showing the opening balance appropriation during the year, interest realised from investments, withdrawal from the Fund and balance at the end of the year.
- (g) Statement of investments, Depreciation Fund, Reserve and other Funds.—Showing the investment held at the beginning of the year, investment sold or disposed of and balance of investments held at the end of the year.
- (h) General Balance Sheet
  - (i) Statement of Stores.

**34. Internal Audit.**—The accounts of the Corporation shall be subject to internal (departmental) concurrent audit supplemented by inspections of initial records.

#### CHAPTER VI—Statistics

**35. Statistical returns and reports.**—(1) An operational review shall be compiled at the end of each quarter of every year, namely, June, September, December and March and submitted to the Administrator before the expiry of the following quarter.

(2) (i) The operational review shall contain the following information regarding the general progress and operation of—

- (a) vehicle position and performances statistics;
- (b) statistical analysis of operation (Traffic);
- (c) general statistics showing the position of staff, progress of works, complaints, and the like;
- (d) analysis of revenue;
- (e) analysis of working costs.

(ii) The operational review may consist of statistical statements in the forms indicated in Schedules II to VIII and such other statements as the Administrator may direct.

#### CHAPTER VII—Conditions of service of the Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager and the Chief Accounts Officer of the Corporation

**36. Appointment and Pay and Allowances.**—(1) The Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager and the Chief Accounts Officer shall be appointed by the Administrator either from officers of the Central Government or the State Governments or the Corporation or by direct employment on contract basis and they shall be entitled to:—

- (a) as respects those drafted from Government service, such pay and allowances and other conditions of service as may be mutually agreed upon by the Administrator on behalf of the Corporation on the one hand and the lending Government on the other, in accordance with the rules and orders governing the terms and conditions of transfer of Government servants to foreign service;
- (b) as respects others, such pay and allowances as may be fixed by the Administrator.

(2) (a) The Chief Accounts Officer will have the right to record his views on every proposal involving expenditure from the Fund of the Corporation prior to the consideration of such proposal by the Corporation.

(b) The Chief Accounts Officer will also perform the duties as a Financial Adviser for purposes of effective control over the organisation and he and his establishment will function independently by the Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager.

(3) The Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager and the Chief Accounts Officer, whether drafted from Government service or not, shall be entitled to travelling allowance for the journeys performed in connection with the affairs of the Corporation under the same rules and on the same scales as are applicable to officers of the Government drawing the same pay.

(4) The Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager and the Chief Accounts Officer who are non-Government servants or being Government servants have subsequently ceased to be in such service, shall be entitled to leave and leave salary admissible to other officers of the Corporation under the regulations made by the Corporation:

Provided that it shall be competent for the Corporation to grant leave for a maximum period of three months and for the Administrator to grant leave for a period exceeding three months.

(5) The Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager and the Chief Accounts Officer who are non-Government servants or being Government servants

have ceased to be in Government service, shall be entitled to the benefit of the contributory or other kind of the Provident Fund of the Corporation, if any, that may be set up by the Corporation under the regulations made by the Corporation.

**37. Termination of service.**—Except as otherwise provided in terms of a contract in any individual case, the Administrator may terminate the service of the Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager or the Chief Accounts Officer who is not a Government servant appointed to the Corporation, or who being a Government servant ceased to be in Government service by giving him three months notice or by payment of three months salary in lieu thereof.

**38. Resignation.**—Except as may be otherwise expressly provided in the term of a contract in any individual case, the Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager or the Chief Accounts Officer, who is not a Government servant appointed to the Corporation or who being a Government servant had ceased to be in Government service, may resign his office by giving three months notice in writing to the Administrator:

Provided that if the Administrator so directs the Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager or the Chief Accounts Officer as the case may be shall not vacate his office after the period of three months until such time as he is relieved from his post by his successor.

**39. Disciplinary action.**—The Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager or the Chief Accounts Officer, who is—

- (a) a Government servant appointed to the Corporation shall, so long as he is in Government service, be subject to the disciplinary action by the Government according to the rules applicable to the Government officers;
- (b) not a Government servant, or who being a Government servant has ceased to be in Government service, shall be subject to disciplinary action by the Corporation under the regulations made by the Corporation.

**40. Other conditions of service.**—All other matters relating to the conditions of service of the Chief Executive Officer or the General Manager or the Chief Accounts Officer who is—

- (a) a Government servant shall be regulated in accordance with the provisions of the State Civil Services Rules applicable to him; or
- (b) not a Government servant, or who being a Government servant has ceased to be in Government service shall be regulated by such regulations as may be made framed by the Corporation.

## SCHEDULE I

(See Rule 16 and 18)

## Part I—Capital Expenditure

	Actuals 19 -19	Actuals 19 -19	Actuals 19 -19	Revised Estimate 19 -19	Budget Estimate 19 -19	Estimate approved by Administrative Department	Estimate approved by Finance Department
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
I. Land—							
II. Buildings and Fixtures : .....							
(a) Workshops . . . . .							
(b) Garages . . . . .							
(c) Offices . . . . .							
(d) Residential Quarters . . . . .							
(e) Other Buildings . . . . .							
TOTAL Buildings and fixtures . . . . .							
III. Machinery and Plant :							
(a) Workshop garage, machinery and plant . . . . .							
(b) Tools . . . . .							
(c) Miscellaneous equipment (furniture etc.) . . . . .							
TOTAL Machinery and plant . . . . .							
IV. Vehicles :							
(a) Buses . . . . .							
(b) Trucks and Lorries . . . . .							
(c) Taxis and cars . . . . .							
(d) Other vehicles . . . . .							
(e) Trailors . . . . .							
(f) Major Assembly spares . . . . .							
TOTAL Vehicles . . . . .							
V. Project charges . . . . .							

VI. Suspense :

(a) Stores on hand	.	.	.	.	.	.
(b) London Stores under check	.	.	.	.	.	.
(c) London Invoices	.	.	.	.	.	.
(d) Indian stores in transit	.	.	.	.	.	.
(e) Capital Miscellaneous advances	.	.	.	.	.	.
(f) Purchase	.	.	.	.	.	.
(g) Sales	.	.	.	.	.	.
(h) Sales tax on stores	.	.	.	.	.	.

TOTAL Suspense . . . . .

VII. Deferred charges (Compensation) . . . . .

VIII. Deduct amount transferred from Funds. . . . .

(i) Depreciation Fund	.	.	.	.	.	.
(ii) Betterment Fund	.	.	.	.	.	.
(iii) Reserve Fund	.	.	.	.	.	.

TOTAL Amount transferred from funds. . . . .

Net charges on Capital . . . . .

## \*Part II—Revenue

	Actuals 19 -19	Actuals 19 -19 ]	Actuals 19 -19	Revised Estimate 19 -19	Budget ' Estimate 19 -19	Estimate approved by ad- ministrative Department	Estimate approved by Fin- ance De- partment
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
A. Income :							
1. Traffic Revenue Passenger bus service :							
(a) Revenue from passenger from—							
(i) Fares on sale of tickets.							
(ii) Season tickets (concession tickets to students and others)							
(iii) Reservation charges less refunds							
(b) Contract services							
(c) Passengers' Luggage							
(d) Parcel service (unaccompanied luggage)							
(e) Postal mail services							
(f) Out agency services							
2. Other Revenue :							
(a) Advertisement fees							
(b) Rent							
(c) Miscellaneous receipts							
(i) Receipts from lost property.							
(ii) Sale of Time Table							
(iii) Workshop income (for work done to outside parties).							
(iv) Sale of scrap unserviceable material (not debitabale to Depreciation Fund, Reserve Fund or Suspense).							
(v) Fines							
(vi) Refreshment and Canteen contracts							
(vii) Profit from sale of fully depreciated vehicles							
(viii) Interest account (interest on cash deposit with bank).							
(ix) Excess cash							
(x) Rent on electrical equipment							
(xi) Miscellaneous receipts							
Deduct amount transferred to stores obsolescence Fund.							
TOTAL—Income							

**B. Deduct working expenses :**

**A. Traffic**

**1. Salaries and allowances including D.A. and T.A.**

- (a) Officers . . . . .
- (i) Pay . . . . .
- (ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .
- (iii) Other allowances . . . . .
- (iv) Travelling allowance . . . . .

**TOTAL—I (a)** . . . . .

(b) Other staff . . . . .

**1. Traffic Office staff :**

- (i) Pay . . . . .
- (ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .
- (iii) City compensatory and House rent allowances . . . . .
- (iv) Travelling allowance . . . . .

**2. Ticket and cash staff** . . . . .

- (i) Pay . . . . .
- (ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .
- (iii) City compensatory and House rent allowances . . . . .
- (iv) Travelling allowance . . . . .

**3. Line Staff :**

- (i) Pay . . . . .
- (ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .
- (iii) City compensatory and House rent allowances . . . . .
- (iv) Travelling allowance . . . . .

**TOTAL—I (b)** . . . . .

(c) Drivers and Conductors . . . . .

- (i) Pay . . . . .
- (ii) Dearness allowances . . . . .
- (iii) City compensatory and House rent allowances . . . . .
- (iv) Travelling allowance including duty allowance . . . . .

**TOTAL—(c)** . . . . .

**TOTAL—I** . . . . .

## Part II—Revenue—contd.

	Actuals 19 —19	Actuals 19 —19	Actuals 19 —19	Revised Estimate 19 —19	Budget Estimate 19 —19	Estimate approved by Admini- strative Department	Estimate approved by Finance Department
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
2. Ticket and Traffic stationery : . . . . .							
(a) Tickets . . . . .							
(b) Traffic stationery . . . . .							
TOTAL A—2 . . . . .							
3. Uniforms (Traffic) :							
(a) Clothing including stitching charges . . . . .							
(b) Badges . . . . .							
TOTAL A—3 . . . . .							
4. Tools and equipment:							
(a) Ticket Issue Machines . . . . .							
(b) Punches, Punch straps and holders . . . . .							
(c) Ticket boxes, cash boxes, cash bags etc. . . . .							
TOTAL A—4 . . . . .							
5. Time Tables and Publicity . . . . .							
6. Other charges :							
(a) Drivers' and Conducts' Licence fees . . . . .							
(b) Pruning of trees . . . . .							
(c) Tents for Jatras etc. . . . .							
TOTAL—A. TRAFFIC . . . . .							



—Repairs and Maintenance :

1. Salaries and allowances :

(a) Running (Mechanical) Officer :

(b) Workshop Officer :	.	.	.	.	.
(i) Pay . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(ii) Dearness allowances . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(iii) Other allowances . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(iv) Travelling allowances . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.

(c) Maintenance staff (Depots):

(i) Pay . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(iii) City compensatory and House Rent allowances . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(iv) Travelling allowance . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.

(d) Workshop staff :

(i) Clerical staff . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
I Pay . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(iii) City compensatory and House rent allowance . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(iv) Travelling allowance . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.

(II) Workshop supervisory staff :

(i) Pay . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(iii) City compensatory and House rent allowance . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.
(iv) Travelling allowance . . . . .	.	.	.	.	.

## PART II—REVENUE—contd.

	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Revised Estimate	Budget Estimate	Estimate approved by Adminis- trative Department	Estimate approved by Finance Department
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
(III) Workshop Maintenance staff:							
(i) Pay . . . . .							
(ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .							
(iii) City compensatory and House rent allowances . . . . .							
(iv) Travelling allowance . . . . .							
(IV) Vulcanizing shop staff (including clerical staff):							
(i) Pay . . . . .							
(ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .							
(iii) City Compensatory and House rent allowance . . . . .							
(iv) Travelling allowance . . . . .							
TOTAL—B. I. . . . .							
2. Stores:							
(a) Spare parts . . . . .							
(i) For repairs and maintenance at Depots . . . . .							
(ii) For repairs and overhaul at work-shops . . . . .							
(b) Lubricants (oils and greases) . . . . .							
(c) Tyres and Tubes . . . . .							
(i) Replacement of tyres . . . . .							
(ii) Cost of retreading and recapping . . . . .							
(iii) Cost of vulcanizing material . . . . .							
(d) Batteries . . . . .							
(e) Other stores (consumables) . . . . .							
TOTAL—2 . . . . .							

3. Clothing:	
(a) Clothing including stitching charges . . . . .	
(b) Badges . . . . .	
4. Other charges :	
(i) Freight charges (other than fuel) . . . . .	
(ii) Cleaning material . . . . .	
(iii) Electricity charges at depots and workshops . . . . .	
(iv) Water charges . . . . .	
(v) Petroleum licences . . . . .	
(vi) Factory licences . . . . .	
TOTAL—B 4 . . . . .	
TOTAL—B . . . . .	
C. Power:	
Petrol and fuel oil for passenger vehicles . . . . .	
(i) Cost of Petrol . . . . .	
(ii) Cost of Diesel Oil . . . . .	
(iii) Rental of pumps and tanks . . . . .	
TOTAL—C . . . . .	
D. Licence and taxes on passenger vehicles:	
(a) Registration fees . . . . .	
(b) Fitness fees . . . . .	
(c) Motor vehicle taxes . . . . .	
(d) Permit fees . . . . .	
(e) Surcharge on passengers . . . . .	
(f) Municipal taxes . . . . .	
TOTAL—D . . . . .	
E. Welfare and superannuation:	
(a) Employees State Insurance . . . . .	
(b) Welfare and Medical:	
(i) Medical expenses . . . . .	
(ii) Canteens . . . . .	
(iii) Contribution to staff benefit fund . . . . .	
(iv) Compensation under Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923 (8 of 1923) . . . . .	
(c) Educational assistance to children of employees . . . . .	
(d) Employers' contribution to Provident Fund . . . . .	
(e) Leave and pension contribution . . . . .	
(f) Contribution to Gratuity Fund . . . . .	
TOTAL—E . . . . .	

## PART II—REVENUE—contd.

	Actuals 19	Actuals 19	Actuals 19	Actuals 19	Revised Estimate	Budget Estimate	Estimate approved by Administrative Department]	Estimate approved by Finance Department
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	

## F. General and Administrative Expenses :

## 1. Salaries and allowances (including T.A.)

## (a) Administrative Officers

- (i) Pay . . . . .
- (ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .
- (iii) Other allowances . . . . .
- (iv) Travelling allowance (including duty passes) . . . . .

## (b) Accounts

- (i) Pay . . . . .
- (ii) Dearness allowances . . . . .
- (iii) Other allowances . . . . .
- (iv) Travelling allowances (including duty passes) . . . . .

## (c) Central Stores

- (i) Pay . . . . .
- (ii) Dearness Allowance . . . . .
- (iii) Other allowances . . . . .
- (iv) Travelling allowances (including duty passes) . . . . .

## (d) Civil Engineering

- (i) Pay . . . . .
- (ii) Dearness allowance . . . . .
- (iii) Other allowances . . . . .
- (iv) Travelling allowances (including duty passes) . . . . .

(II) STAFF—

(a) Administrative Office staff

- (i) Pay
- (ii) Dearness allowance
- (iii) City compensatory and House-rent allowances
- (iv) Travelling allowance (including duty passes)

(b) Accounts staff :

- (i) Pay
- (ii) Dearness allowance
- (iii) City compensatory and House rent allowances (including duty passes)

(c) Central stores staff.

- (i) Pay
- (ii) Dearness allowance
- (iii) City Compensatory and House Rent allowances
- (iv) Travelling allowance

(d) Civil Engineering.

- (i) Pay
- (ii) Dearness allowance
- (iii) City compensatory and House-rent allowances
- (iv) Travelling allowance

TOTAL F—1

2. Rents, Rates and Taxes.

- (a) Rent
- (b) Rates and taxes on land and buildings

TOTAL 2

3 Insurance.

- (a) Third party risk
- (b) Employer's liability
- c and other Insurance

TOTAL 3



- (g) Sitting fees and travelling allowance to Corporation Board Members . . . . .
- (h) Books and periodicals . . . . .
- (i) Office contingencies . . . . .
- (j) Privilege passes and P.T.Os. . . . .
- (k) Hire on Hollerith machinery . . . . .
- (l) Honoraria . . . . .
- (m) Police charges . . . . .
- (n) Bans auctioners commission . . . . .
- (o) Carriage of Revenue stores . . . . .
- (p) Compensation for goods etc. lost or damaged . . . . .
- (q) Loss of stores :
  - (i) Physical loss . . . . .
  - (ii) Due to revaluation or sale . . . . .
- (r) Miscellaneous . . . . .

F. 8

TOTAL F.

G. Contribution to Stores Obsolescence Fund

TOTAL A to G.

H. Depreciation :

- (a) Vehicles . . . . .
- (b) Buildings . . . . .
- (c) Equipment . . . . .
- (d) Tools . . . . .
- (e) Plant . . . . .
- (f) Other assets . . . . .

TOTAL CONTRIBUTION TO DEPRECIATION FUND .

1. Payment of compensation made for the unexpired portion of permits of private operators written back from capital . . . . .

J. Debt Charges :

- 1. Interest . . . . .
- 2. Redemption . . . . .
- 3. Management on Bank charges relating to debts . . . . .

K. Interest :

- 1. Paid to State Government . . . . .
- 2. Paid to Central Government . . . . .
- 3. Others . . . . .

*Part II Revenue—Contd.*

	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Revised Estimate	Budget Estimate	Estimate approved by Administra- tive Deptt.	Estimate approved by Finance Deptt.
I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
L. Income Tax (If leviable) . . . . .							
M. Contribution to Reserve Fund . . . . .							
N. Contribution to Betterment Fund . . . . .							
O. Amount to be transferred to the Administrator under section 30 of the Road Transport Corpen., Act, 1950 for Road Development . . . . .							
<b>TOTAL A to O</b> . . . . .							



Part III

	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Revised Estimate 19 19	Budget Estimate 19 19	Estimate approved by Adminis- trative Deptt.	Estimate approved by Finance Deptt.
I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
(1) Capital contribution from the Central Government .							
(2) Capital contribution from the Administrator .							
(3) Other receipts of a Capital nature .							

*Annexur to Part III—Summary of the Amounts due to and by the Participating Government and Other Receipts of A Capital Nature*

	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Revised Estimate 19 19	Budget Estimate 19 19	Estimate approved by Adminis- trative Deptt.	Estimate approved by Finance Deptt.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
(1) Amounts due to administrator : Details . . . . .							
TOTAL . . . . .							
Deduct—Amounts due from the Administrator: Detail . . . . .							
TOTAL : NET AMOUNT . . . . .							
(2) Amounts due to the Central Government . . . . .							
Deduct—Amounts due from Central Government . . . . .							
TOTAL : NET AMOUNT . . . . .							
(3) Other Receipts of a Capital nature : Details . . . . .							
TOTAL . . . . .							

Part IV—Funds, Deposits and Advances

	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Revised Estimate 19 19	Budget Estimate 19 19	Estimate approved by Adminis- trative Deptt.	Estimate approved by Finance Deptt.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
FUNDS							
1. Depreciation Fund —							
(1) Amount brought forward from previous year (Opening balance)							
(2) Add—Amount transferred from the Revenue Account							
(3) Add—Interest realised on Investment							
TOTAL							
Deduct—Amount transferred to —							
(i) Capital Account							
(ii) Revenue Account							
NET AMOUNT							
2. Provident Fund :							
(1) Amount brought forward from previous year (Opening balance)							
(2) Add—Amount transferred from Revenue Account							
(3) Add—Interest realised on Investment							
TOTAL							
Deduct—Amount transferred to :							
(i) Capital Account							
(ii) Revenue Account							
NET AMOUNT							



5. Betterment Fund :

(1) Amount brought forward from the previous year  
(Opening balance)

(2) Add—Amount transferred from Revenue Account

(3) Add—Interest realised on Investment

TOTAL

Deduct—Amount transferred to :—

(i) Capital Account

(ii) Revenue Account

NET AMOUNT

6. Sundry Debtors

7. Deposits

8. Cash Imprest

*Annexure to Part IV*  
*Funds investment Accounts*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
(1) Depreciation Fund— Opening balance of investment Add—Amount invested							
TOTAL							
Deduct—Amount realised on sale of investment Net Amount							
Add—Cash balance							
TOTAL							
(2) Provident Fund— Opening balance of investment Add—Amount invested							
TOTAL							
Deduct—Amount realised on sale of investment							
Add—Cash balance							
TOTAL							
(3) Insurance Fund— Opening balance of investment Add—Amount invested							
Deduct—Amount realised on sale of investment Net Amount							
Add—Cash balance							
TOTAL							

(4) Reserve Fund—	
Opening balance on investment	
Add—Amount invested	
Deduct—Amount realised on sale of investment	
	Net Amount
Add—Cash balance	
	TOTAL
(5) Betterment Fund—	
Opening balance of investment	
Add—Amount invested	
Deduct—Amount realised on sale of investment	
	Net Amount
Add—Cash balance	
	TOTAL

*Part V*  
(Statement of the appropriation of Net Profit.  
Section 30 of Road Transport Corporations Act, 1950).

	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Revised Estimate 19 19	Budget Estimate 19 19	Estimate Approved by Administrative Department	Estimate approved by Finance Department
I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
(1) Amount transferred to Betterment Fund . . . . .							
(2) Amount transferred to the Administrator under section 30 for road development . . . . .							



*Part VI*  
*Statement of State Transport Working Fund*

	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Actuals 19 19	Revised Estimate	Budget Estimate	Estimate approved by Administra- tives Depart- ment	Estimate approved by Finance Department
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
(1) Opening balance . . . . .							
(2) Add—Receipts . . . . .							
TOTAL . . . . .							
(3) Deduct—Expenditure . . . . .							
(4) Closing balance . . . . .							

### Summary of Financial Results

Expenses  
Surplus (+)  
Deficit (-)

SCHEDULE II

[ See rule 36(2) (ii) ]

*Analysis of Vehicles position and performance for the quarter ending* \_\_\_\_\_

Item Serial number and particulars	Overall	
	Current quarter	Previous quarter
I	2	3
<b>A. Vehicles position—</b>		
1. No. of buses owned—		
(a) Fully depreciated . . . . .		
(b) Not fully depreciated . . . . .		
(c) Newly commissioned . . . . .		
(d) Total . . . . .		
2. Number of buses required for schedule services (without spares)		
3. Number of buses held with spares—		
4. (a) Average number of buses . . . . .		
(b) Percentage of item 4 (a) . . . . .		
total 1(d) . . . . .		
(c) Percentage of item 4 (a) . . . . .		
(d) Percentage of item 4 (a) to item 3 . . . . .		
5. Number of vehicles owned . . . . .		
6. (a) Number of other vehicles on road . . . . .		
(b) Percentage of item 4 (a) to item 5 . . . . .		
<b>B. Vehicles performance—</b>		
1. Mileage per bus per day—		
(a) Owned . . . . .		
(b) In use . . . . .		
2. Mileage per other vehicle per day—		
(a) Owned . . . . .		
(b) In use . . . . .		
3. Bus failures—		
(a) Per day (average) . . . . .		
(b) Per 10.00 (miles) . . . . .		

1	2	3
4. Miles per gallon of fuel—		
(a) Heavy duty buses . . . . .		
(b) Medium buses . . . . .		
(c) Light buses . . . . .		
(d) Other vehicles . . . . .		
5. Miles per gallon of lubricating Oil.—		
(a) Heavy duty buses . . . . .		
(b) Medium buses . . . . .		
(c) Light buses . . . . .		
(d) Other vehicles . . . . .		

SCHEDULE III

[See rule 36 (2) (ii)]

Analysis of operation (Traffic) for quarter ending . . . . .

Item Serial number and particulars	Overall	
	Current quarter	Previous quarter
1	2	3
<b>A. Routes—</b>		
1. Number of routes held :		
(a) On the last day of previous quarter . . . . .		
(b) New routes taken over . . . . .		
(c) Total . . . . .		
2. Route mileage —		
(a) On the last day of previous quarter . . . . .		
(b) New routes taken over . . . . .		
(c) Total . . . . .		
<b>B. Mileage operated—</b>		
1. Scheduled buses (Revenue)		
2. Actually operated by buses provided by (Revenue)		
3. Gross operated (Revenue) and (Non-revenue)		
4. Percentage of item 2 to—		
(a) 1 Schedule . . . . .		
(b) 3 Gross . . . . .		
<b>C. Number of seats—</b>		
1. Average number of seats provided for bus . . . . .		
2. Average number of seats occupied per bus . . . . .		
3. Percentage of (2) to (1) . . . . .		
<b>D. Earning—</b>		
1. Actual collection in rupees . . . . .		
2. Average in paise per mile . . . . .		

	1	2	3
<hr/>			
E. Number of passengers—			
1. Total number of passengers carried			
2. Average number of passengers carried per day			
3. Average mileage carried per passenger			
F. Accidents—			
1. Major			
2. Minor			
3. Insignificant			
4. Total			
G. Punctuality—			
1. Total number of trips operated			
2. Total number of trips cancelled			
3. Total number of trips ran to time			
4. Percentage of—			
(a) Item 2 to 1 }			
(b) Item 3 to 1 }			

SCHEDULE IV

[See rule 36(2)(ii)]

General Statistics for quarter ending

Item	Total	
	Current quarter	Previous quarter
Serial Number and particulars		
1	2	3
A. Staff Position—		
1. Administrative—		
(a) Officers . . . . .		
(b) Subordinates . . . . .		
(c) Others . . . . .		
(d) Total . . . . .		
2. Traffic—		
(a) Officers . . . . .		
(b) Subordinates . . . . .		
(c) Others . . . . .		
(d) Total . . . . .		
3. Workshops—		
(a) Officers . . . . .		
(b) Subordinates . . . . .		
(c) Others . . . . .		
(d) Total . . . . .		
4. Maintenance—		
(a) Officers . . . . .		
(b) Subordinates . . . . .		
(c) Others . . . . .		
(d) Total . . . . .		





SCHEDULE IV

[See rule 36(2)(ii)]

General Statistics for quarter ending . . . . .

Item Serial number and particulars	Total	
	Current quarter	Previous quarter.
1	2	3
<b>B. Progress of works—</b>		
(i) Total number of works sanctioned . . . . .		
(ii) Number of works in progress . . . . .		
(iii) Number of works completed . . . . .		
(iv) Percentage of—		
(a) Item (ii) to (i) . . . . .		
(b) Item (iii) to (i) . . . . .		
<b>C. Complaints:</b>		
(i) Number of complaints received . . . . .		
(ii) Number of complaints under investigations . . . . .		
(iii) Number of complaints disposed of . . . . .		
(iv) Percentage of—		
(a) Item (ii) to (i) . . . . .		
(b) Item (iii) to (i) . . . . .		

## SCHEDULE V.

[See rule 36(2)(ii)]

*Analysis of Revenue for quarter ending*

Item		Overall	
Serial number and particulars.		Current quarter	Previous quarter
I		2	3
<b>A. Traffic Earnings—</b>			
1. Passenger service	Rs.		
(a) Schedule service (sale of tickets)	Rs.		
(b) Special hire	Rs.		
(c) Luggage	Rs.		
(d) Parcels	Rs.		
(e) Postal	Rs.		
(f) Advertisements	Rs.		
(g) Bus passes	Rs.		
(h) Tiffin carriers	Rs.		
Total	Rs.		
2. Goods services	Rs.		
B. Sundry other earnings	Rs.		
C. (1) Total gross earnings	Rs.		
(2) Earnings per bus miles(effective) in paise			
(3) Earnings per bus in use	Rs.		
(4) Earnings per seat mile in paise			

SCHEDULE VI

[See rule 36(2)(ii)]

Analysis of prime costs of working for quarter ending .....

Serial number, Division and Central Workshops	Amount in Rupees				Personnel		
	Fuel	Lubricat- ing	Material	Tyres	Traffic	Mechanical	General
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
<b>A. Divisions</b>							
(i) Headquarters :							
(a) City and suburban	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(b) District	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(c) Total	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(ii)							
(a) City and suburban	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(b) District	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(c) Total	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(iii)							
(a) City and suburban	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(b) District	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(c) Total	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>B. Workshops</b>							
(a) Central	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(b)	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
(c)	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
TOTAL	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
GRAND TOTAL	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Serial number, Divisions and Central Workshops	Amount in Rupees				Cost per Vehicle in Rs.		Cost per vehicle mile in paise	
	Rates and taxes	Miscellaneous	Effective vehicle mileage	Number of vehicles }	Current quarter	Previous quarter	Current quarter	Previous quarter
I	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
<b>A. Divisions—</b>								
(i) Headquarters—								
(a) City and Suburbans . . . .								
(b) District . . . . .								
(c) Total . . . . .								
(ii)								
(a) City and suburbans . . . .								
(b) District . . . . .								
(c) Total . . . . .								
(iii)								
(a) City and suburbans . . . .								
(b) District . . . . .								
(c) Total . . . . .								
<b>B. Workshops—</b>								
(a) Central . . . . .								
(b) . . . . .								
(c) . . . . .								
TOTAL . . . . .								
GRAND TOTAL . . . . .								

# SCHEDULE VII

[See rule 36(2)(ii)].

*Analysis of Administrative and overhead costs for quarter ending .....*

Serial number and items	Amount		Total number of vehicles	Total effective vehicles miles	Per Vehicle		Per vehicle mile	
	Current quarter	Previous quarter			Current quarter	Previous quarter	Current quarter	Previous quarter
I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. Cost of personnel								
(i) Administrative . . . . .								
(ii) Stores and purchase . . . . .								
2. Cost of running of departmental vehicles . . . . .								
3. Welfare and superannuation benefits .								
4. General contingencies . . . . .								
5. Miscellaneous . . . . .								
6. Contribution to Depreciation Fund .								
7. Interest on Capital . . . . .								
8. TOTAL . . . . .								

# SCHEDULE VIII

[See rules 36(2)(ii)]

Summary of working costs of quarter ending.....

Division	Revenue miles	Amount		
		Prime costs of operation	Proportionate cost of workshop charges	Proportionate cost of administrative charges
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
I	2	3	4	5
A. District Services—				
(i) Headquarters	.	.	.	.
(ii) Total	.	.	.	.
B. Suburban Services—				
(i) Headquarters	.	.	.	.
(ii) TOTAL	.	.	.	.
GRAND TOTAL	.	.	.	.

Division	Total		Cost per mile and per vehicles			
	Current quarter	Previous quarter	Per vehicle		Per mile (Revenue)	
			Current quarter	Previous quarter	Current quarter paise	Previous quarter paise
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
I	6	7	8	9	10	11
A. District Services—						
(i) Headquarters	.	.	.	.	.	.
(ii) Total	.	.	.	.	.	.
B. Suburban Services—						
(i) Headquarters	.	.	.	.	.	.
(ii) Total	.	.	.	.	.	.
GRAND TOTAL	.	.	.	.	.	.

[No. 43-TAG (1)/66.]  
R.K. SHARMA, Dy. Secy.

**(Transport Wing)**

*New Delhi, the 28th November 1967*

**S.O. 4265.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1958 (44 of 1958), read with rule 3 of the National Shipping Board Rules, 1960, the Central Government hereby appoints Rear Admiral S. N. Kohli also as a member of the National Shipping Board, to represent the Central Government on that Board and makes the following amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Transport and Shipping (Transport Wing) S.O. 2319 dated the 6th July, 1967, namely:—

In the said Notification, in the list of members shown as Central Government representatives, after item 10 and the entry relating thereto, the following item and entry shall be inserted namely:—

“10. A. Rear Admiral S. N. Kohli.”

[No. 37-MD(4)/67.]

*New Delhi, the 1st December 1967*

**S.O. 4266.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1958 (44 of 1958), read with rule 3 of the National Shipping Board Rules, 1960, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Tulsidas Dasappa, M.P. as a member of the National Shipping Board, on election by the House of the People, and makes the following amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Transport & Shipping (Transport Wing) S.O. 2319 dated the 6th July, 1967, namely:—

In the said Notification, for the entry against serial number 4, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“Shri Tulsidas Dasappa.”

[No. F. 37-MD(4)/67.]

JASWANT SINGH, Under Secy.

**(Directorate General of Shipping)**

**MERCHANT SHIPPING**

*Bombay, the 28th November 1967*

**S.O. 4267.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 7 of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1958 (44 of 1958), read with the Notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Transport and Shipping No. S.O. 3539 dated the 25th September, 1967, the Director General of Shipping with the previous approval of the Central Government, hereby directs that the power to issue cargo ship safety construction certificates in respect of cargo ships of 500 GRT and over, engaged in international voyages, under sub-section (1) of section 299A of the said Act shall be exercisable with immediate effect also by the authorities mentioned below subject to the condition that the period of validity of the certificate shall not extend beyond six months from the date of its issue, in each case.

1. Lylods Register of Shipping.
2. Bureau Veritas.
3. Det Norske Veritas.
4. American Bureau of Shipping.
5. Germanischer Lloyd.
6. Nippon Kaiji Kyokai (Japan).

[No. 24-SL(2)/64.]

K. C. MADAPPA,

Director General of Shipping.



## MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 15th November 1967

**S.O. 4268.**—In pursuance of clause (e) of section 5 of the Post-Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh Act, 1966 (51 of 1966), the Central Government hereby nominates Dr. Baldev Prakash, Health Minister, Punjab as a member of the Post-Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, *vice* Shri G. S. Khalon, resigned.

[No. F. 29-29/66-ME(PG).]

R. N. MADHOK, Jt. Secy.

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 23rd November 1967

**S.O. 4269.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consultation with the Medical Council of India, hereby directs that the medical qualification M.D. (University of Vermont—U.S.A.) shall be a recognised medical qualification for the purposes of that Act.

[No. F. 19-36/67-MPT.]

**S.O. 4270.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consultation with the Medical Council of India, hereby directs that the medical qualification L.M. & S. (University of Madrid, Spain) shall be a recognised medical qualification for the purposes of that Act.

[No. F. 19-23/67-MPT.]

New Delhi, the 30th November 1967

**S.O. 4271.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 13 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consulting the Medical Council of India, hereby makes the following further amendments in Part II of the Third Schedule to the said Act, namely:—

In the said Part II, after the entry "L.S.M.B. (Burma), the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

"M.D. (University Catholique de Lille, France) M.D. (University of Napoli (Naples) Italy)"

[No. F. 19-36/66-MPT.]

### ORDERS

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 30th November 1967

**S.O. 4272.**—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health and Family Planning has, by Notification No. 19-36/67-MPT, dated 23rd November 1967 made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification M.D. (University of Vermont—USA) for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. Audrey Jean Opulski who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Kurji Holy Family Hospital, Patna to which she is attached for the time being for the purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. Audrey Jean Opulski shall be limited subject to the condition that during this period she continues to be enrolled as a medical practitioner in accordance with the law regulating to the registration of medical practitioners in her country.

[No. F. 19-36/67-MPT.]

**S.O. 4273.**—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health and (Family Planning) (Deptt. of Health) has by Notification No. 19-23/67-MPT, dated 23rd November 1967 made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification L.M. & S. (University of Madrid, Spain) for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. Luis Lopes Bravo who possesses the said qualification, continues to work with the Maharashtra Vikas Seva Mandal, Colaba-Bombay-4 to which he is attached for the time being for the purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. Luis Lopes Bravo shall be limited, subject to the condition that the said doctor continues to be entrolled as a medical practitioner for the said period in accordance with the law regulating the registration of medical practitioners for the time being in force in the country of his origin.

[No. F. 19-23/67-MPT.]

L. K. MURTHY, Under Secy.

### MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

*New Delhi, the 9th November 1967*

**S.O. 4274.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 4 of the Central Silk Board Act, 1948 (61 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri M. A. Rangaswamy, Textile Commissioner to the Government of India, Bombay, as Chairman of the Central Silk Board *vice* Shri R. Doraiswamy and makes the following amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce No. S.O. 1272 dated the 10th April, 1967, namely:—

In the said Notification, under heading "Chairman" for "Shri R. Doraiswamy," the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"Shri M. A. Rangaswamy".

[No. F. 22/1/67-Tex(G).]

K. SRINIVASAN, Dy. Secy.

### TEA CONTROL

*New Delhi, the 13th November 1967*

**S.O. 4275.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Tea Act, 1953 (29 of 1953), read with sub-clause (v) of clause (a) of sub-rule (1) and sub-rule (3) of rule 4 and sub-rule (2) of rule 5 of the Tea Rules, 1954, the Central Government hereby appoints the Director of Industries, Union Territory of Himachal Pradesh as a member of the Tea Board until the 31st March, 1969, in the place of the Director of Industries, Government of Punjab, Chandigarh, and makes the following further amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce No. S.O. 1143, dated the 6th April, 1966 namely:—

In the said Notification for item 5 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"5. The Director of Industries, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Simla (*ex-officio*)."

[No. 7(2)-Plant(A)/65.]

*New Delhi, the 29th November 1967*

**S.O. 4276.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Tea Act 1953 (29 of 1953) read with rules 4 and 5 of the Tea Rules, 1954, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Satyendra Prosad Ray, as a member of the

Tea Board for the period upto and inclusive of the 31st March, 1969 in the place of Dr. Shrimati Phulrenu Guha, who has been appointed as Minister of State in the Union Government and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the Notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Commerce, S.O. 1143 dated the 6th April, 1966, namely:—

In the said Notification, under the heading "Representing Parliament", for entry 33, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"33—Shri Satyendra Prosad Ray, Member, Rajya Sabha."

[No. 7(2)-Plant(A)/65.]

B. KRISHNAMURTHY, Under Secy.

*New Delhi, the 20th November 1967*

S.O. 4277.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Coir Industry Act, 1953 (45 of 1963), read with sub-rule (1) of rule 5 of the Coir Industry Rules, 1954, the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment to the Ministry of Commerce Notification No. 2672 dated the 31st August, 1966, namely:—

In item (f) "Governments of the principal coconut growing states":—

For items (1) and (4), the following shall be substituted:—

"(1) Special Officer (Coir), Trivandrum, Government of Kerala, Trivandrum", and

"(4) Industries Commissioner, Government of Maharashtra, Bombay."

[No. 21(1)/66-TEX. (E).]

A. G. V. SUBRAHMANIAM, Under Secy.

**(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)**

**ORDER**

*New Delhi, the 18th October 1967*

S.O. 4278.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause 9 of the Import Control Order, 1955, dated 7th December, 1955 as amended, the undersigned hereby cancels the Customs purpose and Exchange Control Purposes copy of Import licence No. G/RP/2441065/M/YY/24/C/H/24/CG.II, dated 15th March, 1967 for Rs. 5,848 (Rupees Five thousand eight hundred and forty-eight only) issued to the Additional Chief Engineer for Electricity, Madras State Electricity Board, 157, Mount Road, Madras-2. The original customs purposes and exchange Control Purposes copies of the licence were not utilised at all.

2. The reason for the cancelation is that the customs purposes and exchange control purposes copies of the licence have been lost/misplaced by the licensee who has requested for the issue of a duplicate licence in lieu thereof.

[No. CG.II/HEP/M-26/66-67.]

G. S. SHARMA,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports,  
for Chief Controller of Import & Exports.

**(Office of the Jt. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)**

**(Central Licensing Area)**

**ORDERS**

*New Delhi, the 4th November 1967*

S.O. 4279.—Whereas Messrs Pursharthi Metal Works, 6385, Gali Isheri Parshad, Bara Hindu Rao, Delhi-6 or any bank or any other person have not come forward furnishing sufficient cause, against Notice No. P-6/67/ENF/CLA, dated 20th September, 1967 proposing to cancel licence No. P/SS/1605627/C/XX/24/C/D/23-24,

dated 23rd March, 1967 for the import of Copper and Lead for Rs. 4387 granted to said M/s. Pursharathi Metal Works, 6385, Gali Isheri Parshad, Bara Hindu Rao, Delhi-6 by the Joint Chief Controller of Imports and Exports, (Central Licensing Area), New Delhi, Government of India, in the Ministry of Commerce, in exercise of the powers conferred by the Clause 9 of the Import (Control) Order, 1955, hereby cancel the said licence No. P/SS/1605627/C/XX/C/D/23-24, dated 23rd March, 1967 issued to M/s. Pursharathi Metal Works, 6385, Gali Isheri Parshad, Bara Hindu Rao, Delhi-6.

[No. P-6/67/ENF/CLA/3635.]

**S.O. 4280.**—Whereas Messrs. Omni Plastic and Chemicals (India), L/42, Industrial Area, Sonapat or any bank or any other person have not come forward furnishing sufficient cause, against Notice No. O-4/67/ENF/CLA, dated 22nd August, 1967 proposing to cancel licence No. P/SS/1506367/C/XX/23/C/D/23-24, dated 28th July, 1966 for the import of cellulose acetate butyrate Moulding Powder and Acrylic Plastic Sheets for Rs. 29,844 granted to said M/s. Omni Plastic and Chemicals (India), E-42, Industrial Area, Sonapat, by the Joint Chief Controller of Imports and Exports, (Central Licensing Area), New Delhi, Government of India, in the Ministry of Commerce, in exercise of the powers conferred by the Clause 9 of the Import (Control) Order, 1955, hereby cancel the said licence No. P/SS/1506367/C/XX/23/C/D/23-24, dated 28th July, 1966 issued to M/s. Omni Plastic and Chemicals (India), E/42, Industrial Area, Sonapat.

[No. O-4/67/ENF/CLA/3591.]

*New Delhi, the 18th November 1967*

**S.O. 4281.**—Whereas Messrs. Shri Mahavir Chemical Industries, 10, Manu Marg, Alwar (Raj.) or any bank or any other person have not come forward furnishing sufficient cause, against Notice No. M-11/67/ENF/CLA/3414, dated 21st October, 1967 proposing to cancel licence No. P/SS/1605461/C, dated 10th March, 1967 for the import of Cadmium Metal for Rs. 3000 granted to said M/s. Shri Mahavir Chemical Industries, 10, Manu Marg, Alwar (Raj.) by the Joint Chief Controller of Imports & Exports, (Central Licensing Area), New Delhi, Government of India, in the Ministry of Commerce in exercise of the powers conferred by the Clause 9 of the Import (Control) Order, 1955, hereby cancel the said licence No. P/SS/1605461/C, dated 10th March, 1967 issued to M/s. Shri Mahavir Chemical Industries, 10, Manu Marg, Alwar (Raj.).

[No. M-11/67/ENF/CLA/3767.]

RAM MURTI SHARMA,

Jt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

#### ORDERS

*New Delhi, the 21st November 1967*

**S.O. 4282.**—M/s. Bhagwati and Girdharilal Foundaries Pvt. Ltd., Ahmedabad were granted licence No. P/RM/2152956, dated 7th September 1966 for the import of Nickel 'F' Sheets etc. worth Rs. 1,50,000/- only from U.S.A. They have applied for issue of duplicate copy of this licence for Customs as well as Exchange Control copy of the same, on the ground that the original has been lost/misplaced. It is further stated that this licence was not registered with any Customs House and was not utilised at all.

2. In support of this contention M/s. Bhagwati and Girdharilal Foundries Pvt. Ltd., Ahmedabad have furnished an affidavit. I am satisfied that the original licence (both copies) have been lost/misplaced and direct that duplicate licence (both copies) should be issued to the party.

3. The original licence (both copies) is hereby cancelled.

[No. SO/41(A)/66-67/RM-I/1423.]

**S.O. 4283.**—M/s. The State Trading Corporation of India Ltd., New Delhi were granted that the original has been lost/misplaced. It is further stated that this Cartridges worth Rs. 1,000/- only from Czechoslovakia. They have applied for issue of duplicate copy of this licence for Exchange Control purposes only, on the ground that the original has been lost/misplaced. It is further stated that this licence was not registered with any Custom House and was not utilised at all.

In support of this contention the S.T.C. of India Ltd., New Delhi have furnished an affidavit. I am satisfied that the original licence (E.C. Copy) has been lost/misplaced and direct that duplicate licence (E.C. Copy) should be issued to the S.T.C.

The original licence (E.C. Copy) is hereby cancelled.

[No. STC./Zec.73/65-66/RMC/1340.]

P. C. VERMA,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.

(Office of the Chief Controller of Import & Exports)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 22nd November 1967

**S.O. 4284.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause 9 of the Import Control Order, 1955, dated the 7th December, 1955, as amended, the undersigned hereby authorises the following amendment in the Order No. 2b(75)/62-63/CG-IV/450, dated 23rd June, 1967:—

"for the value of Rs. 37,39,745/- (Rs. thirty seven lakhs thirty nine thousand seven hundred and forty-five only) shown as utilised, please read Rs. 56,90,426/- (Rupees fifty six lakhs ninety thousand four hundred and twenty six only)."

[No. 2b(75)/62-63/CG-IV/1823.]

New Delhi, the 23rd November 1967

**S.O. 4285.**—M/s. Mehra Textile Mills, Opp. Pacca Gharat P.O. Talab Tillo, Jammu (Tawi) were granted an import licence No. P/NA/AU/1249837C/XX/23/C/H21-22 dated 18th June 1966 for Rs. 62,889/- (Rupees Sixty Two Thousand Eight Hundred and Eighty Nine only) for the import of Wool Raw. They have now applied to this office for the issue of a duplicate Customs purposes copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Customs purposes copy has been misplaced or lost. It is further stated that the Original Customs purposes copy of the licence was registered with the Custom House, Calcutta and the licence was utilised to the extent of Rs. 59,334/-. The duplicate Customs purposes copy now required by the firm is to cover the balance of Rs. 3,555/-.

2. In support of this contention the applicant has filed an affidavit. I am accordingly satisfied that the Original Customs Purposes copy of the said licence has been lost. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred under sub clause 9 (CC) of the Imports (Control) order, 1955 dated 7th December 1955 (as amended), the Original Customs Purposes copy of the licence No. P/NA/AU/1249837C/XX/23/C/H/21-22 dated 18th June 1966 issued to M/s. Mehra Textile Mills, Opp. Pacca Gharat, P.O. Talab, Tillo, Jammu (Tawi) is hereby cancelled.

3. A duplicate Customs Purposes copy of the said licence is being issued separately to the licensee.

[No. NDRS/M-107/65-66/3510.]

Y. J. DENNISON,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.  
for Chief Controller of Import & Exports

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 25th November 1967

**S.O. 4286.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause 9 of the Imports Control Order 1955, dated the 7th December, 1955, as amended from time to time, the undersigned hereby cancels the Import Licence No. G/DG/2105174/C/XX/23/CH/22, dated the 25th May, 1966 (Both copies fully unutilised) for the import of

spares for Wilcox Vor Services valued at Rs. 6000 in favour of M/s. Motwane Pvt. Ltd., 127, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Fort, Bombay.

The reason for cancellation is that D.G.S&D., New Delhi have cancelled the A/T against which in licence was issued.

[No. 14-M/Cont/66-67/GLS/846.]

*New Delhi, the 28th November 1967*

**S.O. 4287.**—M/s Photophone Equipments Ltd. Bombay were granted an Custom Clearance Permit No. G/CC/2334106/N/YY/22/CH/21.22, dated 25th February, 1965 for Rs. 7055 for import of valves etc. falling under 289/IV of the I.T.C. Schedule. This Custom Clearance Permit which expired on 25th May, 1967, has not been utilised is hereby cancelled.

[183-P/Cont/65-66/GLS/862.]

S. A. SESHAN,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports,  
for Chief Controller of Import & Exports.

## MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

(Cultural Activities Division I)

### ARCHAEOLOGY

*New Delhi, the 23rd November 1967*

**S.O. 4288.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that the areas near or adjoining the protected monuments specified in the Schedule attached hereto, be declared to be a prohibited area for purposes of construction;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by rule 31 of the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Rules, 1959, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to declare the said area as a prohibited area.

Any objection made within one month of the date of issue of this notification by any person interested in the said area will be considered by the Central Government.

**The Schedule**

Sl. No.	State	District	Tehsil	Locality	Name of monument	Survey plot numbers to be declared as prohibited.	Area	Ownership	Details of modern structures if any in the area to be declared as prohibited.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Madhya Pradesh.	Raisen	Raisen	Sanchi	Buddhist Monument.	Survey plot No s. III, 110/1, 101/1, 94/1/1, 101/2, 93/1, 92, 91, 90, 82/3/2, 102/1/1, 103/1, 68/3, 69, 70, 272/88/1, 38/2/1, 89, 87/3/1, (66, 67, 72/3/1)/2, 62/1, 64 and (65, 37/3/1, 71/1)	44.79 acres.	Government—owned excepting survey plot Nos. (66-67, 72/3/1, 62/1, 64) and ( 65, 37/3/1, 71/1) which are privately owned.	Nil	

[No. F. 4/8/67-CAI(1).]  
**SHARDA RAO, (Mrs.),**  
 Assistant Educational Adviser.

*New Delhi, the 25th October 1967*

In the matter of Charitable Endowments Act 1890.

AND

In the matter of the Sarada Ranganathan Endowment for Library Science.

**S.O. 4289.**—It is hereby notified that the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Charitable Endowments Act, 1890 (60 of 1890), doth hereby order that the monies set out in schedule 'A' annexed hereto shall vest and be henceforth vested in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments for India to be held by him or his successors in office (subject to the provision of the Charitable Endowments Act, 1890, and the rules framed thereunder from time to time by the Central Government) upon trust to hold the said monies and the income thereof in accordance with the trusts and terms set out in the Scheme set forth in schedule 'B' of notification No. F. 16-22/63-C.2 dated 27th November 1963. This notification was cancelled by the Ministry of Education. The number will be of the original notification as amended.

#### SCHEDULE 'A'

(1) Contribution of Rs. 50,000/- made up of Rs. 27,416/- redeemed from the original investment of Rs. 28,900/- in the 4 per cent Treasury Savings Deposit Certificate for Rs. 3,900/- and the 4-1/2 per cent Defence Deposit Certificate for Rs. 25,000/- and further sum of Rs. 22,584/- invested in fixed Deposit with the Madras Industrial Investment Corporation Ltd., on 18th July 1967.

(2) Contribution of Rs. 25,000/- remitted by the fund authorities and invested in Fixed Deposit with the Madras Industrial Investment Corporation Ltd., on 13th December 1966.

[No. F. 3-11/67-CAI(3).]

(MRS.) S. RAO,

Assistant Educational Adviser.

### MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER

*New Delhi, the 21st November 1967*

**S.O. 4290.**—The notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Irrigation and Power No. EL-II-28(15)/67 dated the 31st October 1967 reconstituting the Central Electricity Authority shall come into force with effect from the 1st December, 1967.

[No. EL-II-28(15)/67 ]

K. P. MATHRANI, Secy.

#### ORDER

*New Delhi, the 22nd November 1967*

**S.O. 4291.**—The Central Government have the pleasure to consider the fresh approach made by the Neyveli Lignite Corporation Limited in their letter No. P&A/TW/C3/3595/67, dated the 29th March, 1967 to the Secretary, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi explaining the difficulties to be encountered for compliance of conditions (1) and (5) laid down in the Central Government Notification No. EL II-6(4)/66, dated 9th January, 1967.

In supersession therefore of the Notification No. EL. II. 6(4)/66, of 9th January, 1967 the Central Government hereby directs that in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-rule (2) of Rule 133 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956, the provisions of the Sub-rule (5) of Rule 123 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956 shall be relaxed in respect of the use of 2 lengths of 3 & 4 core unscreened flexible cables in connection with the special type of imported submersible pump and the flexible cables, intended by the designers of the said type of pump for the purpose of ground water control operation at the Neyveli Lignite mines subject to the conditions that—

1. The earth leakage protection of the 11 KV circuit breaker of the transformer which is exclusively used for feeding one unit of a submersible pump at 400 volts, 3 phase, 50 cycles should adequately protect the



- 400 volts installation in conjunction therewith and effectively disconnect supply on the incidence of an earth fault in the said 400 volts system.
2. The cable shall be tested for insulation to ground at frequent intervals by a competent person.
3. Any damage noticed on the cable during periodic overhaul shall be forthwith properly repaired by the technique of vulcanization, failing which the damaged cable should be replaced.
4. The length of cable provided as a part of equipment by the manufacturers if required to be extended shall be suitably jointed to similar type of cable also by the proper technique of vulcanization under a competent supervision.

This relaxation order may be amended or withdrawn at any time if considered necessary, in the interest of safety.

[No. EL. II.6(4)/66.]

*New Delhi, the 4th December 1967*

**S.O. 4292.**—In exercise of the power conferred by sub-rule (2) 133 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956, the Central Government hereby directs that the provisions of—

- (i) Rule 118(a),
- (ii) Rule 119(1)(a),
- (iii) Rule 118(c),
- (iv) Rule 130, and
- (v) Rule 123(7).

of the said Rules shall be relaxed in respect of the use of the following apparatus in conjunction with one 3.3 KV Russain Electric Excavator, Model EKG 4.6, Serial No. E-497:

- (1) One 100 amps 6.6 KV Oil circuit breaker made in U.S.S.R. Type 2KBE-6M-T, Serial No. 216,
- (2) One 250 K.W., 3.3 KV U.S.S.R. make 3 phase, A.C. Motor Serial No. 138699.
- (3) One 30 KVA, 3.3 KV/230 volts, 3 phase Star/Star transformer, neutral of 230 volts insulated, type TM-30/6-T, Serial No. 13323.
- (4) One length of 330 metres 6.6 KV grade, four core,  $3 \times 25$  sq. m.m. and  $1 \times 10$  sq. m.m. ground conductor not armoured, individually screened of sufficient current capacity in keeping with the rating of the equipments installed in the shovel made in U.S.S.R. Russian Standard Code 9388-60 flexible trailing cable receiving supply from one 400 amps C.T. ratio 200/5, 3.3 KV Oil circuit breaker Loan and Crawford's make serial No. 1495

in the Open Cast Mine at Gidi 'C' Colliery of M/s. National Coal Development Corporation Ltd., to the extent that (1) in relaxation of Rule 118(a), the portable motor driving generator set in the shovel may be used at 3.3KV, (2) in relaxation of Rule 119(1)(a), one 30 KVA, 3.3 KV/230 volts 3 phase Star/Star transformer with its associated equipment using energy at high voltage may not be fixed apparatus as being installed on the portable shovel moving from place to place, the same having a portable sense, (3) in relaxation of rule 118 (c), the 125 volts system of supply intended for use for lighting purposes within the shovel from 30KVA 3.3 KV/230 volts 3 phase transformer, the transformer having the neutral of the secondary insulated and as such the voltage of the system being obtained between a phase and insulated neutral and not between phases as contemplated in rule 118(c), the 125 volts system of supply is specially considered and may be used, (4) in relaxation of rule 130, the neutral point of 30KVA, 3.3KV/230 volts 3 phase transformer may remain insulated, and (5) in relaxation of Rule 123(7), the flexible cable not exceeding 330 metres in length may be used with the portable machine and that the relaxation shall be subject to the following conditions:—

- (1) 3.3KV supply to the flexible cable should be provided with earth leakage protection.
- (2) The over current trips of the circuit breaker controlling 3.3 KV supply to the flexible cable shall be in keeping with the rating of the 3.3 KV motor driving the generator set, installed in the portable machine.

- (3) The installation and wirings inside the shovel shall comply with the relevant provisions of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956, in particular Rules 115—117, 121, 124 and 125.
- (4) The flexible trailing cable should be connected to the electric supply system and the machine by properly constructed connector boxes or totally enclosed safe attachments.
- (5) The excavating Machine alongwith the flexible trailing cable shall be worked and handled with due care so as to avoid danger arising out of any electrical defect or in the use. The insulation resistance of the high voltage circuit including the driving motor shall at no time be less than 10 megohms.
- (6) The operators of the shovel shall be trained and authorised for operating the shovel with competency and due care to avoid danger.
- (7) The unarmoured flexible cable supplied by the manufacturer shall be replaced by pliable armoured flexible cable of adequate current carrying capacity at an early date under intimation to the Central Government through the Deputy Director of Mines Safety (Electrical), Dhanbad:

Provided that the aforesaid relaxation shall be valid for such time as the said machine is in use in the mine and due information shall be given to the Central Government through the Deputy Director of Mines Safety (Electrical), Dhanbad, as soon as the machine is taken out of the mine.

[No. EL-II-6(3)/65.]

M. RAMANATHAN,  
Deputy Director (P).

## MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 13th November 1967

**S.O. 4293.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Dutta's Central Kajora Colliery, Post Office Kajoramgram, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 8th November, 1967.

### CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 28 OF 1967

#### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Dutta's Central Kajora Colliery.

AND

Their workmen

#### PARTIES:

Shri S. K. Sen.—*Presiding Officer.*

#### APPEARANCES:

*On behalf of Employers.*—Shri H. P. Bhalotia, Director and Shri H. R. Rajpuria, Agent.

*On behalf of the Workmen.*—None.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

#### AWARD

By Order No. 6/96/66-LR.II, dated 13th April, 1967, the Central Government referred for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employers in relation

to the Dutta's Central Kajora Colliery, P. O. Kajoragram, Burdwan, and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the following schedule:—

"Whether the following workmen of Dutta's Central Kajora Colliery had actually resigned their jobs with effect from various dates in May-June, 1966?

1. Shri Kameshwar Pasman, U. G. Trammer.
2. Shri Baijnath Tewari, Machine Mazdoor.
3. Shri Janeswar Dasad, H/Khalasi.
4. Shri Muchu Ram Harijan, Loader.
5. Shri Rambachan Singh, Night Guard.

If not, to what relief are they entitled?"

2. The question for decision is whether the five workmen mentioned in the schedule resigned their jobs voluntarily, or they were arbitrarily stopped from work. The union—the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, Asansol—sought to make out before the A.L.C. (C) Raniganj that the workmen had not resigned but had been stopped from work arbitrarily. But before the tribunal the union has not appeared and has not even filed a written statement in spite of two notices by registered post and one by ordinary post issued by the tribunal. At the hearing the Management has examined a witness to prove that the five workmen sent their letter of resignation by post, stating that they wanted to be released for work elsewhere, and that the resignations were accepted and the workmen informed by registered post. One letter of resignation is dated 27th May, 1966; the other letters of resignation bear dates between the 1st June and 8th June, 1966. Four of the letters of acceptance are dated 13th June, 1966, and one is dated 14th June, 1966. As this *ex parte* evidence stands unchallenged and is *prima facie* acceptable. I cannot but find that the workmen concerned had resigned voluntarily.

3. Hence my Award is that the five workmen mentioned in the schedule had actually resigned their jobs in May or June, 1966, and so they are not entitled to any relief.

Dated Calcutta, the 4th November 1967.

(Sd/-) S. K. SEN,  
Presiding Officer.  
[No. 6/96/66-LRII.]

S.O. 4294.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Girimint Colliery of Messrs Bengal Coal Company Limited, Post Office Dishergarh, Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 8th November, 1967.

# CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 9 OF 1967

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Girimint Colliery of M/s. Bengal Coal Co. Ltd.,  
AND  
Thier workmen

## PRESENT:

Shri S. K. Sen—*Presiding Officer*.

## APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen—None.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

## AWARD

By Order No. 6/70/66-LR.II dated 3rd February, 1967, the Central Government referred for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Girimint Colliery of M/s. Bengal Coal Company Ltd., P.O. Dishergarh, Burdwan and their workmen arising out of the dismissal of Shri

Ch. Raghunath, Timber Mazdoor with effect from the 9th May, 1966. The question propounded in the reference order is whether the dismissal was an act of victimisation for trade union activities.

2. According to the Management, an order of transfer was passed on 14th March, 1966 in respect of Shri Raghunath, Timber Mazdoor, asking him to join at Bank-simulia 11 & 12 Pits Colliery on Monday the 21st March, 1966; a copy of this order was tendered to Raghunath on 17th March, 1966, but he refused to accept the same, a copy of this order was then sent by registered post. Raghunath however did not join at Bank-simulia as directed. Having ascertained from the Manager, Bank-simulia 11 & 12 Pits Colliery that Raghunath had not joined there, the Manager of Girimint Colliery issued a charge sheet on him dated 5th April, 1966, for disobedience of the order of transfer and absence from duty from 21st March, 1966. Raghunath sent a reply to the charge sheet, stating that on receiving the order of transfer by registered post, he went to Bank-simulia colliery but was not permitted to join there; and that from 21st March, 1966 he had been seeking every day to join his duty at Girimint Colliery, but had not been permitted to join. The Management was not satisfied with the reply, and issued a notice stating that an inquiry would be held on 20th April, 1966. The inquiry was held on 22nd April, 1966, to which date it has been verbally adjourned, by Shri Bagala Prosad Kabi, Security of Bengal Coal Company Ltd., in the presence of the workman Raghunath. After the inquiry, Shri Kabi submitted his report on 29th April, 1966, finding both the charges proved.

3. In the meantime, there was a disturbance at Girimint Colliery on the 23rd March, 1966. One Babulal, Loader had been suspended and charge sheeted on 23rd March, 1966; when he went to join his shift at 4 P.M., the order was served on him. A little later, about 60 loaders led by Sardha Singh and Baleswar approached the Manager, and demanded withdrawal of the order of suspension passed on Babulal. The Manager said that if on inquiry, Babulal was found not guilty, he would be paid full wages for the period of suspension. But Sardha Singh and Baleswar demanded immediate withdrawal of the suspension order, and began shouting slogans, and asked the loaders not to join the shift. Shri Kshudiram Roy, Labour Relation Officer, was informed by telephone about the trouble; he came over by car at about 6 P.M. and exhorted the workmen to give up their unreasonable attitude and join their work, but he failed to impress the workmen, Sardha Singh and Baleswar went on shouting slogans, and they were at that stage joined by Raghunath. When Shri Kshudiram Roy wanted to go back in his car, Sardha Singh, Baleswar and Raghunath stood before the car, and other workmen also surrounded the car, and prevented Shri Roy from going. Shri Roy went into the office, and phoned to the Deputy Superintendent of the Colliery, Shri Batra, and asked for assistance. Shri Batra informed the police; and a police force arrived at Girimint Colliery about 9-30 P.M. and having unable to persuade the workmen to disperse, the police requisitioned some armed police, who arrived with the officer-in-charge, Asansol Police Station, about midnight; thereafter the workmen dispersed, and Shri Roy was able to start for his residence. After consultation with the General Manager of the Company at Sanctoria, the Manager draw up charge sheet on 5th April, 1966 against Sarda Singh, Baleswar, and Raghunath for their part in the incident. Raghunath in his reply dated 8th April, 1966 stated that he had come to learn that some workmen wanted a charge sheet issued on one workmen to be withdrawn, and so as a trade union office-bearer, he thought it his duty to go and join the workmen in peacefully asking the Management to withdraw the charge sheet and permit the workmen to join his duty; he denied that any threat was used or anybody was prevented from going away. The Management was not satisfied with the reply and issued a notice of inquiry. A joint inquiry against Raghunath, Sarda Singh and Baleswar was held by the company's Security Officer, Shri Bagala Prosad Kabi, on 20/4, 21/4, 22/4 and 27th April, 1966 in the presence of the three workmen. The inquiry officer submitted his report on 29th April, 1966, finding the charges proved against all the three workmen. After obtaining the approval of the General Manager, all the three workmen were dismissed by Order of the Manager of Girimint Colliery dated 9th May, 1966; against Raghunath, the order was passed after considering the proceeding and the reports in connection with both the charge sheets.

4. No representative of the union, the colliery Mazdoor Sabha, Asansol, appeared at the hearing; no written statement was even filed, though at one stage, the union obtained time for filing its written statement. Before the A.L.C. (Central), Asansol, the union's case was that Raghunath was an active worker for the branch of the colliery Mazdoor Sabha at Girimint Colliery, and the Management, having failed to induce him to give up his connection with the union, had passed an illegal and *malafide* transfer order on him, and had

falsely charge sheeted him; the dismissal amounted, according to the union, to victimisation.

5. The union and the workmen concerned having absent no evidence has come before the tribunal to show that Raghunath took any part in the activities of the branch of the colliery Mazdoor Sabha at Girimint, other than the statement made by Raghunath in his reply to the charge sheet relating to the Gherao incident on 23rd March, 1966. (Ext. B) that as an office-bearer of the union, Raghunath felt it his duty to go and join the workers who were peacefully asking for the withdrawal of the charge sheet against a workman (Babulal). Ext. D, the proceeding of the inquiry relating to the incident of 23rd March, 1966, shows that for the Management, seven witnesses were examined, including Shri Kshudiram Roy, (L.R.O.), Shri A. K. Banerjee (Welfare Officer of Girimint Colliery), Shri W. N. Batra (Deputy Superintendent) and others; most of the witnesses were cross-examined by Raghunath and other charge sheeted workmen. That is also the evidence of Shri B. P. Kabi, the enquiry Officer who was examined before the Tribunal as a witness for the Management, the workmen were given the opportunity to state their defence and examine defence witness, though they did not examine any. The evidence shows that Sarda Singh and Baleswar were primarily responsible for the incident, but Raghunath who joined after some time took an active part in exhorting the workmen not to listen to the Labour Relation Officer, and in wrongfully restraining him. Hence the findings of the inquiry officer against Raghunath being based on evidence, cannot be challenged. The order of dismissal would be justified on the findings of the case alone; the dismissal was for proved misconduct and not for trade union activities.

6. At the other inquiry, two witnesses—the two welfare officers of Girimint Colliery,—were examined for the Management, and were cross-examined by Raghunath, as stated by Shri B. P. Kabi and as shown by the inquiry proceedings, Ext. DD. There was sufficient evidence to show that Raghunath received the order of transfer when sent by registered post, that he went one day to Bank-simulia colliery on receiving the order, but he did not join there; in a letter dated 28th March, 1966 addressed to the Manager, Girimint Colliery, he said that having gone to Bank-simulia colliery, he found that he would not be allotted quarters there, and that the working conditions there were altogether different; he asked that he might be permitted to resume work at Girimint Colliery. There is also a letter from the Manager of Bank-simulia 11 & 12 Pits Colliery that Raghunath went there on 25th March, 1966, but refused to join there. Hence the finding as to disobedience of the order of transfer, which was passed by the Manager, Girimint Colliery, under the direction of the Superintendent, Personnel, Bengal Coal Co. Ltd., must be held to be proper. As regards the finding of absence from work, it appears from the letters proved at the departmental inquiry that Raghunath was not allowed to join his duty at Girimint since 21st March, 1966 because of the order of transfer which had been passed; so the Manager Girimint Colliery could not really charge him for absence from duty. It would have been appropriate if the charge sheet for absence from duty had been drawn up by the General Manager of Bengal Coal Company; the charge sheet for such absence as drawn up by the Manager, Girimint Colliery, was misconceived.

7. But the order of dismissal was justified on the finding of his participation in the incident of the 23rd March, 1966. there can be no doubt in the case regarding the order which would have been passed even if there had been no change for unauthorised absence for duty. In any case, the dismissal was not an act of victimisation for Raghunath's trade union activities.

8. My award is that the dismissal of Shri Ch Raghunath, Timber Mazdoor, by the management of Girimint Colliery with effect from the 9th May, 1966 was not an act of victimisation for trade union activities; and so the workman is not entitled to any relief.

Calcutta Dated. the 4th November, 1967.

(Sd.) S. K. SEN,  
Presiding Officer

[No 670/66-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 15th November 1967

S.O. 4295.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the East Jambhad Colliery, Post Office Kajoragram, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 10th December, 1967.

## CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 6 OF 1967

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the East Jambad Colliery

AND

Their workmen.

## PRESENT:

Shri S. K. Sen—*Presiding Officer*.

## APPEARANCES:

*On behalf of Employers*—Shri R. Das Gupta, Labour Adviser.*On behalf of Workmen*—Shri P. Das Gupta, Advocate, with Shri Robin Chatterjee, Vice-President, Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, Raniganj.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

## AWARD

By Order No. 6/121/66-LR.II dated the 24th January, 1967, the Central Government referred for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the East Jambad Colliery, P.O. Kajoragram, Dist. Burdwan, and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the following schedule:

"Whether the action of the management of East Jambad Colliery in stopping, Shri Chintaman Brahman, Night Guard, from work, with effect from the 22nd July, 1966, was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled "

2. The Colliery belongs to the Amalgamated Jambad Syndicate (P) Ltd., but is under the control and management of a receiver appointed by the Calcutta High Court. Shri Ashim Ghosh is the representative of the Receiver in connection with the management of the colliery and Shri Robi Banerjee is the Administrative Officer of the Colliery. The workman concerned, Chintaman Brahman, had been working as a night guard at East Jambad Colliery for about 20 months, according to the Union, but he was described in the company's papers as a general mazdoor. The Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, Raniganj, opened a branch at East Jambad Colliery; on 8th June, 1966, the union submitted a Charter of Demands, and on 20th June, 1966, a general meeting of the workmen of East Jambad Colliery and two other neighbouring collieries was held to explain the demands to the workmen and discuss how the demands could be enforced. According to the Written Statement of the union, Chintaman Brahman took a prominent part in organising that meeting, and so incurred the displeasure of the management; and 18th July 1966, Shri Ashim Ghosh and Shri Robi Banerjee came to the Colliery at night in a drunken state, and beat up several workmen including Chintaman Brahman who was on duty; as a result, Chintaman could not attend for his duty on 19th July 1966, but he attended on 20th July 1966 and 21st July 1966; thereafter, from 22nd July 1966 he was suddenly stopped from work. A petition to the Manager brought no relief, and the union then took the case before the A.L.C. (Central), Raniganj; but there was no settlement in the conciliation proceedings. The union claimed reinstatement and full wages for the period of non-employment.

3. The Management did not file any Written Statement giving their version. On the date fixed for hearing, 6th November 1967, the parties filed a joint petition setting out the terms of settlement; by the terms, the Management agreed to pay Rs. 2000/- (Two thousand rupees) as compensation and expenses to Chintaman Brahman for the termination of service, and the workman along with the union gave up the claim for reinstatement. The terms being favourable to the workman were accepted. By one of the terms of settlement, the full sum agreed was to be paid on the very next day, 7th November 1967, before the tribunal, and thereupon, a receipt would be granted by the workman witnessed by Shri P. Das Gupta. So the matter was adjourned to 7th November 1967, when the original receipt for the money was produced and receipt of the money was acknowledged by the workman and by Shri P. Das Gupta. The original receipt for money was handed back to Shri R. Das Gupta, representative of the management, and a copy of the receipt has been kept in the Reference case file.

Thus the dispute has been settled in terms of the joint petition of settlement followed by the payment of the agreed compensation by the Management to the workman concerned. This is my Award. A copy of the joint petition of settlement is enclosed as part of the Award.

(Sd.) S. K. SEN.  
Presiding Officer.

Dated Calcutta, the 7th November, 1967.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 6 of 1967

In the matter of an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to East Jambad Colliery, P.O. Kajoragram, Distt. Burdwan and their workmen represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, Raniganj Burdwan.

The humble petition of both the parties above named most respectfully sheweth:

1. That the parties have amicably and jointly settled the dispute on terms and conditions stated hereunder
  - (i) The management will pay a consolidated amount of Rs. 2000/- (Rupees two thousand only) to Shri Chintaman Brahman, as compensation including dues and expenses, for termination of his service.
  - (ii) Shri Chintaman Brahman and the union on his behalf will not claim reinstatement in service and any other benefits with respect to his service under the management.
  - (iii) All the claims with respect to aforesaid case have been settled hereby.
  - (iv) Payment under clause (i) above will be made on 7th November 1967 at the Tribunal in presence of Shri Parimal Das Gupta, Advocate and the workman concerned will grant a receipt.
2. That the aforesaid dispute is settled in terms of this agreement.

It is prayed that your honour would be pleased to accept the terms of settlement and made an Award accordingly and pass such other order or orders which your honour would consider fit and necessary.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner shall ever pray.

*For the Union*

(L.T.I.) CHINTAMON BRAHAMAN.

ROBIN CHATTERJEE,  
Vice-President of the Union.

MONI BHUSAN BOSE, 6/11/67  
Secretary of North & East Jambad  
Colliery Branch of Colliery Mazdoor  
Sabha.

PARIMAL DAS GUPTA, 6/11/67  
Advocate on behalf of the Union.

*For the employers*

(Sd.) R. DAS GUPTA,  
Labour Adviser,

Authorised representative of the  
Company.

[No. 6/121/66-LRII.]

*New Delhi, the 24th November 1967*

**S.O. 4296.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Pure Sitalpur Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 1st November, 1967.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 50 of 1967

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Pure Sitalpur Colliery.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri S. K. Sen—*Presiding Officer*.

APPEARANCES:

*On behalf of Employers*—Shri B. K. Mukherjee, Advocate.

*On behalf of Workmen*—Shri Madhusudhan Roy.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/39/67/LRII, dated 3rd June, 1967, the Central Government referred for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employers in relation

to the Pure Sitalpur Colliery, P.O. Ukhra, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the following Schedule :—

“Whether the dismissal of Shri Ram Chandar Mishra, Machine Driver by the management of Pure Sitalpur Colliery, Post Office Ukhra, District Burdwan with effect from the 9th March, 1967 was justified?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

2. Shri Ram Chandar Mishra was employed as a coal cutting machine driver at Pure Sitalpur Colliery. He was on duty in the second shift of 22nd January, 1967 at level No. 30 Rise gallery No. 5 of the Colliery. There was a water logged section of the colliery on the other side of the coal face where Ram Chandar Mishra was working on that day. According to the management, the mining Sirdar Durgadas Banerjee asked Ram Chandar Mishra to put in advance bore holes before cutting the coal face with the coal cutting machine. The advance bore holes were to be of a depth of 10' and if the advance bore holes joined the water logged section the flow of water could be stopped by plugging the bore holes. Ram Chandar Mishra however without taking the precaution of putting in advance bore holes cut the coal face with his coal cutting machine and as soon as he had cut to a depth of  $1\frac{1}{2}'$  to  $2\frac{1}{2}'$ , water began to rush in from the water logged section and it was not possible to stop the water, with the result that the dip side of the mine was flooded and work of machine loaders and pick miners in that section had to be suspended for two weeks while the water was pumped out. This resulted in a good deal of loss to the company. Accordingly, on 9th February, 1967 Ram Chandar Mishra was charge-sheeted for disobeying the order of the Mining Sirdar and cutting with the coal cutting machine without taking the precaution of putting in advance bore holes and thus causing loss to the company. In his reply, Ram Chandar Mishra, stated that the big drill rod was broken and therefore no advance hole could be made even in the previous shift, and that the mining Sirdar at the second shift also did not bother to have the broken drill rod replaced, and only when as the result of cutting by Ram Chandar Mishra there was in rush of water and flooding, the blame was thrown on him by the Mining Sirdar. A domestic enquiry was held by Shri R. S. Singh, Welfare Officer of Pure Sitalpur Colliery on 4th March, 1967 and 6th March, 1967, at which apart from the witnesses from the management, the defence also examined 3 witnesses. The enquiry officer found that the charges had been proved, and the agent thereupon passed the order of dismissal on 9th March, 1967. According to the management the dismissal was the result of a fair enquiry on charges of misconduct and therefore the workman is not entitled to any relief.

3. According to the Union the mining Sirdar Durgadas Banerjee had made a false allegation against the workman Ram Chandar Mishra and the responsibility was really that of the Mining Sirdar himself. Further, according to the Union, the enquiry was invalid because it was held by the Welfare Officer in spite of a Government Notification that no disciplinary proceedings should be conducted by the Welfare Officer. The Union accordingly claimed that the workman should be reinstated and paid back wages.

4. According to the Notification No. G.S.R. 1511, dated 23rd September, 1966 of the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary, dated 1st October, 1966, there was an amendment made to Rule 73 of the Mines Rules 1955 defining duties of Welfare Officer. A sub-clause was added providing that no Welfare Officer shall deal with any disciplinary case against a person employed in a Coal Mine. This amendment came into force from 1st October, 1966. Accordingly, the enquiry which was held by the Welfare Officer on 4th March and 6th March, 1967 must be held to be illegal, being in contravention of the amended Rule 73 of the Mines Rules. Shri B. K. Mukherjee appearing for the management has referred to a decision of the Calcutta High Court, 1967 (15) FLR 122, Shri Hanuman Foundries Limited v. Labour Court, in which the High Court held that though the Presiding Officer of a Labour Court was not a Judicial Officer of 7 years standing and was therefore not qualified to be appointed as Presiding Officer of the Labour Court, still his jurisdiction not having been challenged at the hearing could not be subsequently challenged by a writ petition. On the analogy of that ruling, Shri Mukherjee has urged that since the jurisdiction of the Welfare Officer was not challenged at the domestic enquiry it cannot be challenged before the Industrial Tribunal. I do not however think that the case cited is analogous to the present case. The Presiding Officer of the Labour Court had been appointed by the Government and on the face of it he had jurisdiction. It was only when the writ petition was filed that it was found that he was not a Judicial Officer of 7 years' standing and it was held that his appointment as Presiding Officer was bad. In the



circumstances it was held that the jurisdiction of the Presiding Officer of the Labour Court could not be challenged by the writ petition. As regards the Welfare Officer who held the domestic enquiry, the Government provided by an amendment to Rule 73 of the Mines Rules that he shall not be concerned with any disciplinary proceedings against colliery workers since 1st October, 1966. On the face of it therefore the Welfare Officer had no jurisdiction to hold the domestic enquiry. The workman concerned not being acquainted with the rules may not have raised the objection at the domestic enquiry, but that cannot confer jurisdiction on the Welfare Officer to hold the enquiry in the face of the clear rule that the Welfare Officer shall not be concerned with disciplinary proceedings against workmen in Coal Mines.

5. I must therefore hold that the enquiry held by the Welfare Officer Shri R. S. Singh was invalid. Shri Mukherjee has urged that on the evidence given before the Tribunal it is also clear that the workman concerned had disobeyed the order of the Mining Sirdar and caused loss and damage to the Company. The Mining Sirdar Durgadas Banerjee was examined as a witness for the management. He stated that when Ram Chandar Mishra was preparing to work at Level No. 30 Rise gallery No. 5 on 22nd January, 1967 in the second shift he told Ram Chandar Mishra to put in advance bore holes before cutting with the coal cutting machine; that having given the instruction he went to another gallery for giving necessary instructions and after some time when the Mining Sirdar returned he saw that water was rushing into the working area through the cut which had been made by Ram Chandar Mishra and that the workmen running back. He directed the workmen to salvage the coal cutting machine i.e. take it away from the flooded area, and he informed the over man-in-charge, Mahitosh Nandi, who came and saw what had happened.

6. As against the evidence of the Mining Sirdar there is the evidence of 3 witnesses on the other side namely, PW 2-Ram Chandar Singh, PW 3-Ram Chandar Mishra and PW 4-Sudarsan Singh. A question was raised whether Ram Chandar Singh, a shot firer was present at the time when the incident occurred; therefore his evidence is discarded. There remains the evidence of two witnesses of whom Sudarsan Singh was working as coal cutting machine mazdoor along with Ram Chandar Mishra. Both of them have stated that the Mining Sirdar said that before starting cutting with the coal cutting machine, advance bore holes must be made, but that it was then brought to the attention of the Mining Sirdar that the drilling machine was out of order and then the Mining Sirdar took some measurements and said that there should be 15' or 16' depth of coal still in the coal face before reaching the water logged area and therefore Ram Chandar Mishra might cut with the coal cutting machine, but as soon as he had cut to a depth of about one feet and a half water began to rush out. The Mining Sirdar, Durgadas Banerjee denied that he estimated the remaining thickness of the coal face by measuring with a stick or that he gave an order or permission to cut with the coal cutting machine without drilling advance holes. But he admitted that the drilling machine was at a level below the level where Ram Chandar Mishra was working, i.e. about 80' below the level where Ram Chandar Mishra was working. He said further that drilling machine was not out of order, and if it were out of order it could be repaired within a short time as the Mistry is always available. In his reply to the chargesheet, Ext. B. Ram Chandar Mishra did not say that the Mining Sirdar had taken measurements with the stick and then given order or permission to use the cutting machine without drilling advance bore holes; therein the defence taken was that the big drill rod was broken and therefore no bore hole could be put even in the previous shift, and in the 2nd shift when Ram Chandar Mishra was working the Mining Sirdar did not bother to make arrangement for boring advance holes. My conclusion therefore is that the drilling machine or the big drill rod was out of order and therefore advance bore holes could not be made in the second shift on 22nd January, 1967, and the Mining Sirdar was aware of this position and he acquiesced in the machine driver making a cut with his machine without putting in advance bore holes. The story of the Mining Sirdar having taken measurements and giving the order to cut with the cutting machine appears to be an embellishment; but all the same the Mining Sirdar must have been aware that the advance bore holes could not be made for defect in the drilling machine or drilling rod, and he did not stop the machine driver from making a cut without putting in advance bore holes. Apparently making a cut with the coal cutting machine without making any advance bore hole was a risk taken by the machine driver with the acquiescence of the Mining Sirdar, because the big drill rod or drill machine was out of order. I come to this conclusion not only from the evidence of the two sides which I have discussed but also from the fact that there was delay in serving chargesheet on the machine driver. The incident took place on 22nd January, 1967 but the

chargesheet was drawn up and served on 9th February, 1967. The machine driver knew however that on the other side the coal face which was being cut there was a water logged section and that if the coal face was cut through, some dip sections of the Colliery would be flooded. Accordingly, Ram Chandar Mishra, machine driver must be found guilty of causing damage to the work in progress by rashly or negligently making the cut with his coal cutting machine without making advance bore holes but he must be found not guilty of the charge of having disobeyed the order of the Mining Sirdar. In the circumstances the order of dismissal cannot be sustained. It would be sufficient in the interest of justice to pass a sentence of suspension for 10 days on Ram Chandar Mishra, machine driver and to treat the period of non-employment after the period of suspension as leave without pay. In view of the loss caused by his action to the company, even though it was not deliberate, the workman cannot claim back wages.

7. My award therefore is that dismissal of Ram Chandar Mishra, machine driver, by the management of Pure Sitalpur Colliery with effect from 9th March, 1967 was not justified; Ram Chandar Mishra is found guilty of the charge of causing damage to the work in progress by rashly or negligently cutting the coal face with the coal cutting machine without putting in advance bore holes and he is sentenced to suspension for 10 days with effect from 9th March, 1967 and he is directed to be reinstated within one month of the publication of the award, the period after the end of the period of suspension until the date of reinstatement being treated as leave without pay.

Dated, the 15th November, 1967.

(Sd.) S. K. SEN,  
Presiding Officer.

[No. 6/39/67-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 25th November 1967

**S.O. 4297.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of Messrs Bamandiha Coal Co. Ltd., P.O. Kharkharee, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 22nd November, 1967

#### BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE No. 86 OF 1967

#### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of Messrs Bamandiha Coal Co. Ltd., P.O. Kharkharee, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen.

#### PRESENT:

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao—*Presiding Officer*.

#### APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—Shri Rabindra Nath Mukherjee, Office Manager

For the Workmen—Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 14th November 1967

#### AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of Messrs Bamandiha Coal Company Limited, P.O. Kharkharee, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2/105/65-LRII dated 17th November, 1965 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:—

#### SCHEDULE

- (I) Whether the action of the management of New Sinidih Colliery of M/s. Bamandiha Coal Company Ltd., P.O. Kharkharee in terminating the

services of S/Shri Sachindranath Guha, Coal Mines Provident Fund and Bonus Clerk and S. Kumar Sarkar, Register Keeper with effect from the 17th July, 1965 and 21st July, 1965 respectively, was legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

(2) If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

reference as reference No. 183 of 1965 on its file. Workmen filed their statement of demands. No statement of demands was filed by the employers. While it was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad the proceeding was transferred to this Tribunal, by the Central Government by its order No. 8/2067-LR/III dated 8th May, 1967 under Section 33(B)(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently, the reference is renumbered on the file of this Tribunal as reference No. 86 of 1967.

3. The workmen were represented by Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the employers by Shri Rabindra Nath Mukherjee, Office Manager. The Parties have filed a compromise memo standing that the affected workmen, S. Kumar Sarkar, Register Keeper and Sachindra Nath Guha, Coal Mines Provident Fund and Bonus Clerk will be treated as if retrenched from the employment with effect the 31st July, 1965 and 17th July, 1965 respectively, that the affected workmen will be paid compensation in terms of Section 25F(b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, that the said payment will be made within 30 days from the date of the award and that the parties will bear their respective costs of the proceedings. The compromise memo is duly verified. The terms of compromise appear to me fair and in the interest of the affected workmen. The compromise is, therefore, accepted. The award is made in terms of the compromise and submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The compromise memo is annexed hereto and made part of the award.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,

*Presiding Officer.*

Central Govt. Addl. Indl. Tribunal, Dhanbad.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT  
ADJUDICATION INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT DHANBAD CAMP—CALCUTTA.

A. T. REF. NO. 86 OF 1967

I. T. REF. NO. 188 OF 1965

#### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to New-Sinidih Colliery.

AND

Their workmen represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Dhanbad.

*Compromise petition of the parties concerned.*

The parties above-named most respectfully beg to submit as under:

(1) That the above matter is pending before this Hon'ble Tribunal for adjudication.

(2) That the parties, without prejudice to their respective contention, decides to settle this matter in terms mentioned hereunder:

(a) It is agreed that the concerned workmen Sri S. Kumar Sirkar, Regd. keeper and Sri Sachindra Nath Guha, P.F. & Bonus will be treated as if retrenched from the clerk employment with effect from 31st July, 1965, and 17th July, 1965 respectively.

(b) That the workman will be paid compensation in terms of section 25(f)(b) of the I. D. Act. 1947.

(c) That the said payment will be made within 30 days from the date of the Award.

(d) That the parties will bear their respective costs of the proceedings.

(3) That the parties above-named herein beg that this Hon'ble Tribunal may graciously be pleased to accept the terms of settlement as stated hereinabove and pass an order in terms thereof.

And for this the parties as in duty bound shall ever pray.

CALCUTTA:

Dated 24th October, 1967.

For Employers:

For Bamandiha Coal Co. Ltd's  
New Sinidih Colliery.

RABINDRA NATH MUKHERJEE,

Office Manager.

24-10-62

Concerned Workmen

SUDHIR KUMAR SARKAR.

SACHINDRA NATH GUHA.

For Workmen:

For Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

(Sd.) Illegible

Secretary.

24-10-67

[No. 2/105/67-LR.II.]

**S.O. 4298.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of M/s. Bamandiha Coal Co. Ltd., P.O. Kharkharee, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 22nd November, 1967.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
DHANBAD**

In the matter of a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

REFERENCE No. 72 OF 1967

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of Messrs Bamandiha Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kharkharee, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen.

**PRESENT:**

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao—*Presiding Officer.*

**APPEARANCES:**

For the Employers—Shri Rabindran Nath Mukherjee, Office Manager.

For the Workmen—Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 14th November, 1967

**AWARD**

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of Messrs Bamandiha Coal Company Limited, Post Office Kharkharee, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 1/15/65-LR.II, dated 23rd September, 1965 referred to The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:—

**SCHEDULE**

"Whether the management of the New Sinidih Babandiha Coal Company Limited was justified in stopping Shri Joylall Roy, Winding Engine Khalasi, from work from the 6th April, 1964? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 156 of 1965 on its file. Workmen filed their statement of demands. No statement of demands was filed by the Employers. While it was

pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad the proceeding was transferred to this Tribunal. by the Central Government by its order No. 8/25/67-LRII, dated 8th May, 1967 under Section 33(B) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently, the reference is renumbered on the file of this Tribunal as reference No. 72 of 1967.

3. The workmen were represented by Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the employers by Shri Rabindra Nath Mukherjee, Office Manager. The parties have filed a compromise memo stating that the affected workmen, Joylall Roy, Winding Engine Khalasi, will be treated as if retrenched from the employment with effect from the 6th April, 1964, that the affected workman will be paid compensation in terms of Section 25F(b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, that the said payment will be made within 30 days from the date of the award and that the parties will bear their respective costs of the proceeding. The compromise memo is duly verified. The terms of compromise appear to me fair and in the interest of the affected workman. The compromise is, therefore, accepted. The award is made in terms of the compromise and submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The compromise memo is annexed hereto and made part of the award.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,

Presiding Officer,

Central Government,

Additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT ADJUDICATION INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT  
DHANBAD CAMP, CALCUTTA

A.T. REF. No. 72 OF 1967

I.T. REF. No. 156 OF 1966

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to New Sinidih Colliery

AND

Their Workmen represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Dhanbad.

*Compromise Petition of the Parties concerned*

The parties abovenamed most respectfully beg to submit as under:

- (1) That the above matter is pending before this Hon'ble Tribunal for adjudication.
- (2) That the parties, without prejudice to their respective contention, decides to settle this matter in terms mentioned hereunder;
  - (a) It is agreed that the concerned workmen Sri Joylall Roy, W/E. Khalasi will be treated as if retrenched from the employment with effect from 6th April, 1964.
  - (b) That the workman will be paid compensation in terms of section 25(F)(b) of the I.D. Act. 1967.
  - (c) That the said payment will be made within 30 days from the date of the Award.
  - (d) That the parties will bear their respective costs of the proceedings.
- (3) That the parties abovenamed herein pray that this Hon'ble Tribunal may graciously be pleased to accept the terms of settlement as stated hereinabove and pass an order in terms thereof.

And for this the parties as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Calcutta, dated 24th October, 1967.

For Employers:

For Bamandiha Coal Co. Ltd.'s  
New Sinidih Colliery.

RABINDRA NATH MUKHERJEE,  
Office Manager.  
24-10-1967.

For Workmen:

For Colliery Mazdoor Sangh

(Sd.) Illegible  
Secretary.  
24-10-1967.

Concern Workmen.

[No. 1/15/65-LRII.]

**S.O. 4299.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of M/s. Bamandiha Coal Co., Ltd., P. O. Katrasgar, Dist., Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 22nd November, 1967.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT DHANBAD**

In the matter of a reference under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

**REFERENCE NO. 83 OF 1967.**

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of M/s. Bamandiha Coal Company Limited, Post Office Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad.

**AND**

Their Workmen

**PRESENT:**

Shri Nandagiri Venkata Rao,—Presiding Officer.

**APPEARANCES:**

*For the Employers:* Shri Rabindra Nath Mukherjee,—Office Manager.

*For the Workmen:*—Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary—Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

**STATE BIHAR.**

**INDUSTRY: COAL**

*Dhanbad, dated the 14th November, 1967*

**AWARD**

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the New Sinidih Colliery of Messrs Bamandiha Coal Company Limited, Post Office Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad and their workmen, by its order No. 2/107/65-LRII dated 16th November 1965 referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matter specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The schedule is extracted below:—

**SCHEDULE**

“(1) Whether the management of New Sinidih Colliery of Messrs Bamandiha Coal Company Limited, were justified in terminating the services of Sarvashri Upen Rewani, Prop Mistry and Nandu Dhoba, Pump Khalasi with effect from the 16th June, 1965?

(2) If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?”

2. The Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad registered the reference as reference No. 185 of 1965 on its file. Workmen filed their statement of demands. No statement of demands was filed by the employers. While it was pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad the proceeding was transferred to this Tribunal, by the Central Government by its order No. 8/25/67-LRII dated 8th May 1967 under Section 33(B) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently, the reference is renumbered on the file of this Tribunal as reference No. 83 of 1967.

3. The workmen were represented by Shri Shankar Bose, Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh and the employers by Shri Rabindra Nath Mukherjee, Office Manager. The parties have filed a compromise memo stating that the affected workmen, Upen Rewani, Prop Mistry and Nandu Dhoba, Pump Khalasi will be treated as if retrenched from the employment with effect from the 16th June, 1965, that the affected workmen will be paid compensation in terms of Section 25F(b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, that the said payment will be made within 30 days from the date of the award and that the parties will bear their respective costs of the proceedings. The compromise memo is duly verified. The terms of compromise appear to me fair and in the interest of the affected workmen. The compromise is therefore accepted. The award is made in terms

of the compromise and submitted under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The compromise memo is annexed hereto and made part of the award.

(Sd.) N. VENKATA RAO,  
Presiding Officer,  
Central Government

Additional Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE PRESIDING OFFICER  
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT ADJUDICATION INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT  
DHANBAD CAMP—CALCUTTA

A. T. REF. No. 83 OF 1967

I. T. REF. No. 185 OF 1965

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to New Sinidih Colliery

AND

Their workmen represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Dhanbad.

*Compromise Petition of the Parties Concerned*

The parties above-named most respectfully beg to submit as under:

(1) That the above matter is pending before this Hon'ble Tribunal for adjudication.

(2) That the parties, without prejudice to their respective contention, decides to settle this matter in terms mentioned hereunder:

(a) It is agreed that the concerned workman Sri Upen Rewani Prop. Mistry and Shri Nandu Dhoba Pump, Khalasi will be treated as if retrenched from the employment with effect from 16th June, 1965.

(b) That the workmen will be paid compensation in terms of section 25 (f) (b) of the I.D. Act 1947.

(c) That the said payment will be made within 30 days from the date, of the Award.

(d) That the parties will bear their respective costs of the proceedings.

(3) That the parties abovenamed herein prays that this Hon'ble Tribunal may graciously be pleased to accept the terms of settlement as stated hereinabove and pass an order in terms thereof.

And for this the parties as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Calcutta, Dated 24th October, 1967

For Employers

For Workmen.

For Bamandiha Coal Co. Ltd's New Sinidiha Colliery For Colliery Mazdoor Sangh

RABINDRA NATH MUKHERJEE,  
Office Manager.

(Sd.) Illegible

Concern Workman

Secretary.

[No. 2/107/65-LR-II.]

New Delhi, the 28th November 1967

**S.O. 4300.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the East Satgram Colliery, Post Office Jaykaynagar, Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th November, 1967.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 40 OF 1967:

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the East Satgram Colliery,

AND

Their workmen.

**PRESENT:**

Shri S. K. Sen—Presiding Officer..

## APPEARANCES:

*On behalf of Employers*—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

*On behalf of Workmen*—Shri K. C. Mitra, Advocate.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines

## AWARD

By Order No. 6/62/66-LRII, dated 18th May, 1967, the Central Government referred for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the East Satgram Colliery, P.O. ... rdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified ... schedule:

## SCHEDULE

"Whether the dismissal of the following 15 workmen by the management of East Satgram Colliery with effect from the 17th January, 1966, was justified:—

1. Sri Jagdeo Das, Pick Miner.
2. Sri Lochan Das, Pick Miner.
3. Sri Shew Das, Pick Miner.
4. Sri Charitar Das, Pick Miner.
5. Sri Ram Bachan Ahir, Pick Miner.
6. Sri Lakeswar Dusad, Pick Miner.
7. Sri Koil Dusad, Pick Miner.
8. Sri Nand Prasad Goar, Pick Miner.
9. Sri Iswar Din Passi, Pick Miner.
10. Sri Khiri Passi, Pick Miner.
11. Sri Remai Harijan, Pick Miner.
12. Sri Shyamdhani Das, Pick Miner.
13. Sri Bhagirat Ahir, Pick Miner.
14. Sri Sukhai Ahir, Pick Miner.
15. Sri Tejula Mia, Pick Miner.

If not, to what relief are they entitled?"

2. According to the written statement of the union, namely the Colliery Mazdoor Union, the 15 workmen concerned in the reference order were active union workers, many of them being office bearers of the branch of the union at East Satgram colliery; and in order to victimise them for trade union activities, the management wrongfully chargesheeted 12 of them on 16th November, 1965 for alleged stopping other miners from pushing tubs to their work faces and loading coal into them, and thereafter the management wrongfully chargesheeted all the 15 workmen on 18th November, 1965 for alleged wrongful assembly at the pit head and preventing willing workers from going down the mine for work under threat of violence. According to the union, further, no proper enquiry was held into the chargesheets and therefore the dismissal of the workmen cannot be sustained. The union claimed reinstatement and wages for the period of non-employment.

3. According to the written statement of the management, the management was not aware whether the workmen concerned were office bearers or active workers of the Colliery Mazdoor Union, but they were chargesheeted for misconduct which they actually committed, and a fair and impartial enquiry was held into both the chargesheets by officers deputed by the Agent for the purpose from another colliery, namely the Welfare Officer, East Katras colliery and the Senior Personnel Officer, Jharia. At the domestic enquiry full opportunities were given to the workmen to cross-examine the witnesses for the management and to produce defence witnesses, and the chargesheeted workmen availed of the opportunities and cross examined most of the management's witnesses and produced many defence witnesses and on a fair consideration of the evidence the enquiring officer found the charges proved and the management acted on the findings. The management denied that there was any victimisation for trade union activities and claimed that the workmen were not entitled to any relief.

4. After the case had been heard in part, the parties took time for an amicable settlement and they thereafter filed a memorandum of settlement signed by both the parties. According to the terms of settlement, the union does not



make any claim in respect of 4 of the workmen, namely No. 9-Iswar Din Passi, No. 10-Khiri Passi, No. 14-Sukhai Ahir and No. 15-Tejula Mia as they have already received their final dues from the company, and in respect of the remaining 11 workmen the employers have agreed to make an exgratia payment of Rs. 200/- to each of them, to be paid by the 30th November, 1967, and on receiving the payment the workmen undertake to vacate the colliery quarters in their possession. It may be mentioned that in the course of the hearing the management proved 4 letters of resignation, Exts. K to K3 which had been filed by workmen No. 9, 10, 14 and 15 of the Reference order and proved that they had taken their final payments and gone away. It is therefore quite natural that the union has given up the claim of relief to these 4 workmen and has sought to realise compensation in respect of the 11 workmen who are staying on in the company's quarters even though dismissed. The union on behalf of the workmen has given up claim for reinstatement in respect of the workmen. The terms of settlement must be considered satisfactory and are, therefore accepted. An award is made in terms of the petition of compromise which shall form part of the Award.

(Sd.) S. K. SEN,

Dated, 17th November, 1967.

Presiding Officer

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

REFERENCE No. 40 OF 1967

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the East Satgram Colliery;

AND

Their workmen.

That the above reference has been settled between the parties on the following terms:—

- (1) That the dismissal of the 15 workmen mentioned in the schedule of the terms of reference with effect from 17th January, 1966, will stand.
- (2) That the employers have agreed to make an exgratia payment of Rs. 200 (Rupees two hundred only) to each of the 11 workmen named below out of 15 concerned workmen. These workmen will also receive their other dues, if any.
  1. Shri Jagdeo Das, Pick Miner.
  2. Shri Lochan Das (Lochaman Das)
  3. Shri Shew Das.
  4. Shri Charltar Das.
  5. Shri Ram Bachan Ahir.
  6. Shri Lakeswar Dusad.
  7. Shri Koil Dusad.
  8. Shri Nand Prasad Goar.
  9. Shri Ramai Harijan.
  10. Shri Shyamdhani Das.
  11. Shri Bhagirat Ahir.
- (3) That the above payment will be made on or before 30th November, 1967.
- (4) That the above workmen will receive the amount in Para 2 above in full and final settlement of their claims against the employers and they will have no other claim or demand on any other account.
- (5) That the rest of the four workmen out of this reference namely S/Shri Iswar Din Passi, Khiri Passi (Khukri Passi), Sukhai Ahir and Tejula Mia (Tejuli Mia) have already received their dues and have left the colliery. As it appears, they are not interested in the present proceedings, the union does not want to contest the reference on their behalf.

- (6) That the above workmen will hand over the vacant possession of their colliery quarter occupied by them on receiving their above dues.

It is therefore, humbly prayed that the above terms of compromise may kindly be accepted and an award passed in terms thereof.

*For Workmen:*

K. C. MITRA, Advocate.

17-11-67

PROBHAT GOSWAMI,

Organising Secretary,

Colliery Mazdoor Union, (INTUC).

*For Employers:*

S. S. MUKHERJEE, Advocate.

17-11-67

S. D. PANDEY,

Senior Personnel Officer.

[No. 6/62/67-LRII.]

**S.O. 4301.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following Award of the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Jaipuria Kajora Colliery, Post Office Ondal, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th November, 1967.

### CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA.

REFERENCE NO. 47 OF 1967

#### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Jaipuria Kajora Colliery.

AND

Their workmen.

#### PRESENT:

Shri S. K. Sen—*Presiding Officer*

#### APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen—Shri Anil Das Chaudhury, Advocate.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines

#### AWARD

By Order No. 6/35/67-LRII dated 2nd June, 1967, the Central Government referred for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Jaipuria Kajora Colliery, P.O. Ondal, Dist. Burdwan, and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the following schedule:

#### SCHEDULE

"Whether the refusal to employ Lahasu, Rajbhar, Jagrup, Rajbhar, loaders and Lalit Chamar, Pick miner from the 29th November, 1966 onward by the management of Jaipuria Kajora Colliery, Post Office Ondal, District Burdwan, was justified? If not, to what relief are they entitled?"

2. According to the union, West Bengal Khan Mazdoor Sangh, the manager issued letters dated 13th October, 1966 on the 3 workmen stating that they appeared to have become weak and unfit to perform their duties and directed them to appear before the medical officer of the colliery for examination as to their fitness. The workmen did not appear before the medical officer for examination and they were stopped from work from 24th October, 1966. On 8th November, 1966 the workmen received letters from the manager informing them that he would not continue to employ them at the colliery if they did not produce fitness certificates within 3 days. The workmen replied on the next day, 9th November, 1966, protesting against the refusal to employ them without fitness certificate. Thereafter the workmen were allowed to work for one week and then they were again stopped from working and were not permitted to rejoin. Accordingly, the union represented the matter before the A.L.C., Central, Raniganj but there was no settlement before the A.L.C.

3. According to the management a number of workmen had become old and unfit for further work as loaders and pick miners, with the result that accidents at the mine had increased. The manager of the colliery did not consider the 3 workmen concerned to be fit for work because of old age and infirmity, and directed them by letters dated 13th October, 1966 to appear before the medical

officer of the colliery for examination as to their fitness. As the workmen failed to appear before the medical officer he informed them by letters dated 31st October, 1966/4th November, 1966 that unless they appeared before the medical officer within 3 days they would be stopped from work. The workmen did not obey the manager's order but in their reply made certain allegations against the management stating that the management wanted to deprive them of retrenchment benefit. Accordingly, the manager was constrained to issue letters terminating their services on 17th November, 1966. As the workmen refused to accept the letters when sent by Peon book, copies of the letters were sent by registered post but the registered covers were also returned unserved. According to the management the manager was entitled to terminate the services of the workmen because of their unfitness for work on account of old age and infirmity and the workmen therefore are not entitled to any relief.

4. The workmen admit the letters issued by the management directing the workmen to appear before the medical officer of the colliery for examination as to their fitness namely Exts. 3, 3a and 3b, dated 13th October, 1966 and the letters Ext. A, A1 and A2, dated 31st October, 1966/4th November, 1966, again directing them to appear before medical officer within 3 days. The reply given to the second letter of the management is the letter Ext. B, dated 9th November, 1966, which was drafted on behalf of the workmen by the Assistant Secretary of the union namely PW 1—Parashuram Panda. Therein the workmen stated that without any chargesheet they had been unlawfully stopped from work from 24th October, 1966 and that the manager's order seems to be intended to deprive the workmen of their legal retrenchment compensation. PW 1—Assistant Secretary of the union also proved the letter Ext. 2 dated 24th October, 1966, which was sent by registered post to the manager with the thumb impressions of the workmen, being drafted by the Assistant Secretary, namely that on 24th October, 1966, when they went for work they were stopped from work without any chargesheet. Ext. C is the office copy of the letter of termination of service addressed to the 3 workmen, dated 17th November, 1966; Ext. D, D1 and D2 are the registered covers which came back refused. On behalf of the workmen one of them has deposed before the tribunal, namely Lahasu Rajbhar, and he stated that a registered letter was never tendered to him. The covers however appear to have been duly delivered at the post office for issue by registered post on 17th November 1966 and they bear the endorsement of a postal employee "refused" with the date 30th November, 1966. It must be presumed that they were duly sought to be delivered and the evidence of Lahasu Rajbhar that the registered letter was never tendered to him by a postal peon cannot be accepted. But the point for consideration remains whether the issue of letters of termination was legally sufficient for terminating the services of these workmen. It appears from the evidence of Shri N. C. Das, Assistant Manager, in cross-examination that after the issue of the termination letters, the workmen were permitted to work for one week from 22nd November, 1966 to 28th November, 1966. The pay sheet registers Ext. F and F1 show that these workmen did not work from 24th October, 1966 and again worked from 21st November, 1966 to 26th November, 1966 and 28th November, 1966. 27th November, 1966 being a Sunday. There was no issue of any fresh termination letter after 28th November, 1966. The Assistant Manager said that the manager permitted out of his kindness, the workmen to work again for a week from 22nd November, 1966 as the workmen approached the manager and promised to appear before the medical officer and produce fitness certificate, and they were again stopped from work as they did not produce the medical certificate for fitness. But this conduct on the part of the management goes to show that the management was willing to waive the termination letters which had been issued on 17th November, 1966. In the circumstances it is difficult to hold that there was legal termination of service of the 3 workmen.

5. Shri S. S. Mukherjee, appearing for the management has referred to 1960 II ILJ 434 (*Burrakar Coal Co. v. Azimuddin Asra*) where it was held by the Division Bench of the Patna High Court that a workman's service could be terminated because of his incapacity to work because of old age and weakness, and such a case would not amount to retrenchment within Clause (oo) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act. He has also referred to a decision of the Single Bench of the Calcutta High Court 1967, FLR 204 (*India Rubber Manufacturers Ltd., v. State of West Bengal and others*) where it was held that there is no scope for the application of Section 25 F of the Industrial Disputes Act in case where employee is discharged in exercise of the power conferred by conditions of service express or implied, because the employer considers such an employee to be unsuitable for work, there being no question of surplusage involved. Shri Mukherjee relied on an observation in that decision, that under the ordinary law of Master and servant the service of a servant may be terminated without any cause by service of a reasonable notice. These cases were cited in reply to the argument by Shri Anil Das Chaudhury for the union that in any case the workmen would be entitled to retrenchment compensation. I

must agree that it was not a case of retrenchment even though there is evidence that the company had to lay off a number of workmen between July and November, 1966. But the question remains whether the termination of service was valid one. In this connection reference may be made to a case decided by the Labour Appellate Tribunal, namely 1955 Labour Appeal cases 211 (Laxmi Sugar Mills Ltd. v. Balgovind). In that case the management stopped a workman from his work when the workman failed to submit himself for medical examination for fitness after being asked by the manager to do so. The Labour Appellate Tribunal upheld the decision of the Industrial Tribunal that the termination of service was unjustified, though it altered the order of reinstatement into one of compensation for wrongful termination of service, as it appeared that the workman had really become old and infirm. In the present case the Assistant Manager, Shri N. C. Das, stated that he had to go underground for inspection and that he had seen the three workmen working and they were really infirm and unable to produce the normal output; and that from their appearance, he estimated their age as about 60 years. One of the workmen, Lahasu Rajbhar, appeared before the tribunal. He gave his age as 50 but he might be 60. But merely because he may have reached the age of 60 it does not follow that he has become unfit for further work in the absence of a medical examination testing the condition of his heart, lungs and bloodpressure. In the letters, Ext. 2 series and A series, it was the manager who stated that the workmen appeared to have become old and infirm and therefore they must appear before the medical officer. The manager Shri P. K. Palit has however not appeared before the tribunal as a witness, the evidence of Shri N. C. Das being that he had left Jaipuria Kajora colliery. But he appears to be working in another colliery in Raniganj coal field area and he might be produced as a witness if necessary. The conduct of the workmen in refusing to appear before the medical officer for examination constituted disobedience of manager's order, and the proper procedure for the manager would have to chargesheet the workmen for disobedience and dismiss them after an enquiry. The opinion of the manager alone without medical examination on the unfitness of the workmen cannot generally be considered as sufficient reason for terminating the service of the workmen. Accordingly it must be held that the termination of service was unjustified and the workmen are entitled to reinstatement. But in view of their conduct in disobeying the manager's order and the fact that the only one of them who appeared before the tribunal appears to be a quite old, the workmen can only be allowed a subsistence allowance equal to 1/4th of their total earning during the period of their non-employment.

6. My award therefore is that the refusal to employ Lahasu Rajbhar Jagrup Rajbhar, loaders and Lalit Chamar Pickminer from 20th November, 1966, by the management of Jaipuria Kajora colliery was not justified, and I direct that the workmen be reinstated within one month of the publication of the award and be paid subsistence allowance at the rate of 1/4th of their earning for the period of non-employment from 20th November, 1966. If the workmen are really unfit for further work it will be open to the management to ask the workmen, after they have joined, to appear before the colliery medical officer or the Medical officer of a central hospital like that of Kalla and to proceed against the workmen for disobedience if they fail to comply with the manager's order.

(Sd.) S. K. SEN,

Presiding Officer.

Dated, 20th November, 1967.

{No. 6/35/67-LRII.]

## ORDERS

New Delhi, the 13th November 1967

**S.O. 4302.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion, that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Kharkharee Colliery of Messrs Bharat Mining Corporation Limited, Post Office Kharkharee, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

## SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Kharkharee Colliery of Messrs Bharat Mining Corporation Limited, Post Office Kharkharee, District Dhanbad in stopping Shri Rabi Lochan Ghosh, Electrician from work with effect from the 19th April, 1967, is justified? If not, to what relief is the workmen entitled?

[No. 2/119/67-LRIL.]

New Delhi, the 14th November 1967

**S.O. 4303.**—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between employers in relation to the Rawanwara Khas Collieries of Messrs. Oriental Coal Company Limited Parasia and their workmen represented by the Madhya Pradesh Rashtriya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (INTUC), Chandametta.

And, whereas the said employers and workmen have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to arbitration by the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by it on the 2nd November, 1967

*Agreement*

(UNDER SECTION 10-A OF THE I.D. ACT, 1947)

Between

*Names of Parties:*

Representing the Employers: Shri R. L. Khullar, Manager, Rawanwara Khas Collieries M/s. Oriental Coal Company Ltd., Parasia.

Representing the Workmen: 1. Shri Baldev Prasad Sharma Vice-President, M. P. Rashtriya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (INTUC).  
2. Shri V. N. Dutta, General Secretary, M. P. Rashtriya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (INTUC) Chandametta.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri P. C. Rai, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Jabalpur.

*(i) Specific matters in dispute:*

Whether the notice of change in the service conditions given by the management on the 18th day of September, 1967 under Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in respect of the following workmen is just? If not to what relief are they entitled.

*Names of the Workmen:*

- (1) Shri Kamal-ud-din.
- (2) Shri Ramjan.
- (3) Shri Saligram.
- (4) Shri Chuttan.
- (5) Shri Sukhoo.

*(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.*

- (1) The management of M/s. Oriental Coal Company Ltd. in relation to their Rawanwara Khas Colliery, P.O. Parasia, Distt. Chhindwara (M.P.), and
- (2) Their workmen represented by M. P. Rashtriya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (INTUC), Chandametta P.O. Parasia (Distt. Chhindwara-MP).

*(iii) Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen M.P. Rashtriya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (INTUC), Chandametta.*

*(iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected—950.*

*(v) Estimated number of workmen affected—5.*

The arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 3 months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

*Signature of Parties:*

Representing Employers: (Sd.) R. L. KHULLAR,

23-10-1967.

Representing Workmen: (Sd.) V. N. DUTTA,

(Sd.) B. P. SHARMA.

Witness:

1. (Sd.) A. B. TARAN.

[No. 5/65/67-LRII.]

**S.O. 4304.**—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the Banki Colliery of Messrs National Coal Development Corporation Limited, Post Office Banki Mogra (District Bilaspur) (hereinafter referred to as the said company) and their workmen represented by the Khadan Mazdoor Union (hereinafter referred to as the Union), Post Office Banki Mogra (District Bilaspur).

And, whereas the said Company and the Union have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to the arbitration of the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by it on the 31st October, 1967.

**AGREEMENT**

(UNDER SECTION 10A OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947).

**BETWEEN**

**Names of Parties:**

Representing Employers: Shri S. P. Mathur, Dy. Supdt. of Collieries, Banki Colliery of M/s. N.C.D.C. Ltd., P.O. Banki Mogra (Distt. Bilaspur) M.P.

Representing Workmen: Shri K. R. N. Nair, Secretary, Khadan Mazdoor Union, P.O. Banki Mogra (Distt. Bilaspur) M.P.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri P. C. Rai, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Jabalpur.

(i) Specific matters in dispute.

(1) Whether the Khadan Mazdoor Union is competent to raise the dispute specified in item II below?

(2) If so, whether the retrenchment of Shri Rameshwar Singh, Watchman, w.e.f. 20th July, 1967 was legal and justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.

Employers in relation to the Banki Colliery of M/s. National Coal Development Corporation Limited, P.O. Banki Mogra (Distt. Bilaspur) M.P. and their workmen represented by the Khadan Mazdoor Union, P.O. Banki Mogra (District Bilaspur) (M.P.).

(iii) Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen in question.—Khadan Mazdoor Union, P.O. Banki Mogra (Distt. Bilaspur) M.P.

(iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected—1250.

(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute—1.

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 3 months or within such further time as is extended by the mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

*Representing Employers:*

(Sd.) MATHUR,  
24-10-1967

*Representing Workmen*

(Sd.) K. R. N. NAIK

*Witnesses:*

1. (Sd.) P. S. RAO,  
24-10-1967.

2. (Sd.) A. D. MATHUR,  
24-10-1967.

Bilaspur, Dated 24th September, 1967.

[No. 5/62/67-LRII.]

**S.O. 4305.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bhowrah Colliery of Bhowrah Kankanee Collieries Limited and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Rabi Mahato, Sand Conveyor by the management of Bhowrah Colliery of Bhowrah Kankanee Collieries Limited with effect from the 25th December, 1966, was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/27/67-LRII.]

**S.O. 4306.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the 6 and 7 Pits Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora (Dhanbad) and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of 6 and 7 Pits Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora (Dhanbad) in dismissing Shri Tripura Banerjee, Clerk Grade III, from service with effect from the 10th December, 1966, was an act of victimisation? If so, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/70/67-LRII.]

**S.O. 4307.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Jote Dhemo Colliery, Post Office Ukhra (Burdwan) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central

Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Jote Dhemu Colliery was justified in dismissing S/Shri Dipnarayan Singh, Sarju Singh and Rambarak Ahir from service with effect from the 8th February, 1967? If not to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

[No. 6/54/67-LRII.]

**S.O. 4308.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the 6 and 7 Pits, Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, P.O. Jealgora (District Dhanbad) and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of 6 and 7 Pits Jamadoba Colliery of M/s. Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jealgora (Dhanbad) in dismissing Shri S. M. Misra, Clerk Grade II, from service with effect from 10th December, 1966, was an act of victimisation? If so, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/61/67-LRII.]

*New Delhi, the 15th November 1967*

**S.O. 4309.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the West Barkuhi Colliery of Messrs J. A. Trivedi Brothers, Post Office Parasla, District Chhindwara, Madhya Pradesh and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Mohammad Yaqub Hanifi, Attendance clerk of West Barkuhi Colliery of Messrs J. A. Trivedi Brothers, by the management of the said Colliery, with effect from the 27th December, 1962, was act of victimisation? If so, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 5/45/67-LRII.]

*New Delhi, the 25th November 1967*

**S.O. 4310.**—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the management of Banki Colliery of Messrs National Coal Development Corporation Limited, Post Office Banki Mogra, District Bilaspur (hereinafter referred to as the said company) and their workmen represented by the Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation, Banki Post Office Banki Mogra, District Bilaspur (hereinafter referred to as the Union);

And whereas the said Company and the Union have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to the arbitration of the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;



Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by it on the 13th November, 1967.

### AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947).

#### BETWEEN

*Names of the Parties:*

*Representing Employer.*—Shri S. P. Mathur, Dy. Suptd. of Collieries, Banki Colliery of M/s. N.C.D.C. Limited, P.O. Banki Mogra, (Distt. Bilaspur) M.P.

*Representing Workmen.*—Shri Rambilas Sobnath Secretary, M.P. Colliery Workers' Federation, P.O. Banki Mogra, (Distt. Bilaspur) M.P.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri P. C. Rai, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Jabalpur.

(i) *Specific matters in dispute*

(I) Whether the Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation is competent to raise the dispute specified in item II below?

(II) If so whether the retrenchment of Shri Janakram s/o Baran Singh Markam, Trolley Fitter, Banki Colliery w.e.f., 3rd September 1966 was legal and justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

(ii) *Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.*

Employers in relation to the Banki Colliery of M/s. National Coal Development Corporation Limited, P.O. Banki Mogra (Distt., Bilaspur) M.P. and their workmen represented by the M.P. Colliery Workers' Federation, P.O. Banki Mogra (Distt. Bilaspur) M.P.

(iii) *Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen in question.*

Madhya Pradesh Colliery Workers' Federation, P.O. Banki Mogra (Distt. Bilaspur).

(iv) *Total Number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.*  
1250.

(v) *Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.*

1.

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator shall make his award within a period of 3 months or within such further time as is extended by the mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

*Representing Employers.*

(Sd/) S. P. MATHUR

7th November 1967.

(S. P. MATHUR)

*Representing workmen.*

(Sd/-) RAMBILAS SOBNATH,

(RAMBILAS SOBNATH)

*Witnesses:—*

1. (Sd/-) P. S. RAO

(P. S. RAO)

2. (Sd/-) A. D. MATHUR.

(A. D. MATHUR)

Bilaspur, dated the 7th November, 1967.

*New Delhi, the 1st December 1967*

**S.O. 4311.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of the Bird's Saunda Colliery P.O. Bhurkunda, District Hazaribagh, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Bird's Saunda 'D' Colliery, P.O. Bhurkunda, District Hazaribagh, Bihar was justified in stopping from work Sri Mahadeo, General Mazdoor with effect from the 26th July, 1967? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/130/67-LR-II.]

BALWANT SINGH, Under Secy.

#### (Department of Labour and Employment)

*New Delhi, the 14th November 1967*

**S.O. 4312.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Rajaram Cements Pipe Company, 2113, E. Nimbalkar Colony, Tarabal Park, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of April, 1966.

[No. 8(23)/66-PF-II.]

**S.O. 4313.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Jyoti Corporation, 99, Nainiappa Nalck Street, Madras-3, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the 30th day of November, 1967.

[No. 8/165/67/PF-II.]

**S.O. 4314.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Dalal Brothers, Calicut Street, Mehta Building, Fort, Bombay-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of September, 1967.

[No. 8/171/67/PF-II.]

**S.O. 4315.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as the Arumanal Co-operative Agricultural Bank Limited, No. Y-47, Arumanal P.O., Kanyakumari District (Madras State) have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the 30th day of November, 1967.

[No. 8/130/67/PF-II.]

**S.O. 4316.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Sri Balasubramaniam Talkies, Tiruvannamalai, North Arcot District, (Madras) have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the 30th day of November, 1967.

[No. 8/173/67/PF-II.]

**S.O. 4317.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Sri Krishna Foundry, Subramaniapuram, Madurai-11, (Madras State), have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment;

This notification shall come into force on the 30th day of November, 1967.

[No. 8/161/67/PF-II.]

**S.O. 4318.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Nagasamy Foundry, 23, Subramaniapuram, Madurai-11 (Madras State), have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the 30th day of November, 1967.

[No. 8/102/67/PF-II.]

**S.O. 4319.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Machine Tool and Ancillaries Castings Private Limited, B-17, Industrial Estate, Guindy, Madras-32, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the 30th day of November, 1967.

[No. 8/164/67/PF-II.]

**S.O. 4320.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Crescent Agencies (Private) Limited, 27/33, Meadows Street, Fort, Bombay-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of July, 1967.

[No. 8(124)/67/PF-II.]

**S.O. 4321.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Globe Accessories Private Limited, Shantinagar Industrial Estate, Wakola, Bombay-55, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of September, 1967.

[No. 8/172/67/PF-II.]

**S.O. 4322.**—Whereas the State Government of Orissa has in pursuance of clause (d) of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), nominated Shri Gananath Das, Secretary to the Government of Orissa, Labour, Employment and Housing Department, Bhubaneswar to represent that State on the Employees' State Insurance Corporation in place of Shri Amar Singh;

Now, therefore in pursuance of section 4 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation on (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2551, dated the 9th August, 1966, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "(Nominated by the State Governments, under clause (d) of section 4)" for the entry against item 17, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Shri Gananath Das, Secretary to the Government of Orissa, Labour Employment and Housing Department, Bhubaneswar."

[No. F. 3/18/66-HI.]

**S.O. 4323.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Zubaida Leather Company, 15, Kumarappa Chetty Street, Periamet, Madras-3, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the 30th day of November, 1967.

[No. 8/167/67/PF-II.]

*New Delhi, the 21st November 1967*

**S.O. 4324.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Commercial Agency, 5, Clive Ghat Street, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 30th day of September, 1967.

[No. 8/137/67/PF-II.]

HANS RAJ CHHABRA, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 15th November 1967

**S.O. 4325.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 5A of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. Gopalarathnam as a member of the Madras Dock Labour Board *vice* A. B. Ananthakrishnan resigned, and makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 310 dated the 23rd January, 1965, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading, “Members representing the employers of dock workers and shipping companies”, in item (1), for the existing entry, the entry “Shri P. Gopalarathnam” shall be substituted.

[No. 624/3/66-Fac.II.]

New Delhi, the 16th November 1967

**S.O. 4326.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 5A of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby appoints the Deputy Chairman, Vizagapatnam Dock Labour Board as a member of the Vizagapatnam Dock Labour Board *vice* Tariff Manager, Visakhapatnam Port Trust and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 754 dated the 22nd February, 1965:—

In the said notification, under the heading “Members representing the Central Government” for the entry against item (3), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“The Deputy Chairman, Vizagapatnam Dock Labour Board.”

[No. 526/42/65-Fac.II.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 15th November 1967

**S.O. 4327.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1390, dated the 3rd April, 1967:—

In the Table annexed to the said notification,

(i) after Sl. No. 3 and the entries relating thereto, the following serial number and entries shall be inserted, namely:—

1	2	3
“2A	Officer on special duty in the office of the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi.	Whole of India but with regard to the State of Jammu and Kashmir the jurisdiction extends only to industrial disputes concerning workmen employed under the Government of India.”;

(ii) in the entries relating to Sl. No. 35, in column 2, for the word “Shillong” the word “Gauhati” shall be substituted;

(iii) After serial No. 40 and the entries relating thereto, the following serial Nos. and entries shall be inserted, namely :—

1	2	3
41.	Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Patna.	The State of Bihar.
42.	Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Chaibasa.	The State of Bihar.
43.	Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Chhindwara.	The State of Madhya Pradesh.
44.	Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Vijayawada.	The State of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

[No. 1/95/67-LRI.]

*New Delhi, the 22nd November 1967*

**S.O. 4328.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following correction made by the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in pursuance of rule 28 of the Industrial Disputes (Central), Rules, 1957, in its award issued in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Associated Cement Companies at Balasiner, District Kaira and their workmen and published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii) at pages 3023 to 3035, with the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2889 dated the 8th August, 1967, namely:

For the words “3-4 C. yard capacity and above” occurring in the body of the Settlement dated 23rd June 1967 (Annexure ‘A’ to the Award), in para 2.1 against item No. 1: Shovels, Drag-lines, Clam-shell in page 3026 of the Gazette, read “3/4C. yard capacity and above”.

(Sd.) A. T. ZAMBRE, Presiding Officer.

[No. 36/1/66-LRI.]

*New Delhi, the 30th November 1967*

**S.O. 4329.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (1) and (2) of section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes a Labour Court with headquarters at Rohtak for the adjudication of industrial disputes relating to any matters specified in the Second Schedule to the said Act and for performing such other functions as may be assigned to it under the said Act, and appoints Shri P. N. Thukral as the Presiding Officer of that Court.

[No. F. 1/17/67-LRI.]

## ORDERS

*New Delhi, the 14th November 1967*

**S.O. 4330.**—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai (District Durg, Madhya Pradesh) in relation to Rajhara Iron Ore Mines (hereinafter referred as the said Company) and their workmen represented by the Steel Workers' Union, Rajhara Branch (hereinafter referred to as the Union):

And, whereas, the said Company and the Union have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration of the person mentioned therein and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by it on the 2nd November, 1967.

# AGREEMENT

(UNDER SECTION 10-A OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947)

BETWEEN

*Names of the Parties;—*

Representing Employers: 1. Shri Bankey Bihari Prasad, Sr. Labour Officer (Conciliation) for General Manager, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai (Dist. Durg).

2. Shri Shanti Kumar Seth, Asstt. Personnel Officer, Rajhara Iron Ore Mines, Bhilai Steel Plant, P.O. Dalli Rajhara, Durg Distt.

Representing Workmen: 1. Shri P. B. Chakravarthy, Secretary, Steel Workers Union, Rajhara (Distt. Durg).

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following Industrial Dispute to the Arbitration of Shri P. C. Rai, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Jabalpur.

1. *Specific matter in dispute.*

Whether Shri P. C. Sinha, Chargeman (Mech.), Prospecting Division, Rajhara (Distt. Durg) is entitled to the grade of Rs. 350—575 with effect from 1st October 1962? If not, to what relief he is entitled to?

2. *Details of the parties in the dispute.*

General Manager, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai (Distt. Durg)—*Employers.*

Shri P. B. Charavarthy Secretary, Steel Worker's Union, Rajhara (Distt. Durg)—*For the Workman.*

3. *Name of the Union representing the Workmen in question.*

Steel Worker's Union (I.N.T.U.C.) Rajhara Mines, P.O. Rajhara. (Distt. Durg) M.P.

4. *No. of workers employed in the undertaking affected—2100.*

5. *Estimated No. of Workmen affected or likely to be affected. viz. only one.*

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitration shall be binding on us, and it is requested that the Arbitrator shall make his award within 6 months, otherwise it will be open to parties to choose another arbitrator.

*Signature of the Parties:*

Representing Employers:

(Sd.) B. B. PRASAD, Sr. Labour Officer (Conciliation) for General Manager, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai.

Rajhara, dated 30th September, 1967: (Sd.) S. K. SETH, Asstt. Personnel Officer, Rajhara Iron Ore Mines.

Representing Workman:

(Sd.) P. B. CHAKRAVARTHY, Secretary, Steel Workers Union, Rajhara, Distt. Durg.

(Sd.) J. S. PATIL,  
*Officer Secretary.*

*Witness:*

1. (Sd.) M. P. SHUKLA  
30.9. 1967.

2. (Sd.) D. P. SRIVASTAVA.

[No. 37/18/67-LRI.]

New Delhi, the 15th November 1967

**S.O. 4331.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nandini Mines of Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the

Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

"Whether the claim of Shri K. K. G. Nair, Helper, Nandini Mines of Bhilai Steel Plant, to be regularised in the post of Registration Clerk carrying pay scale of Rs. 110—180 with effect from the 1st July, 1962, is justified? If so, to what relief is he entitled?"

[No. 37/5/67-LRI.]

New Delhi, the 18th November 1967

S.O. 4332.—Whereas the industrial dispute specified in the Schedule hereto annexed is pending before the Industrial Tribunal Jabalpur constituted by the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) S.O. No. 3596 dated the 3rd October, 1967;

And, whereas for the ends of justice and convenience of parties, the dispute specified in the Schedule hereto annexed should be disposed of without further delay;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said dispute from the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur and transfers the same to the Industrial Tribunal Bombay constituted under section 7A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for the disposal of the said proceedings and directs that the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, shall proceed with the said proceedings from the stage at which they are transferred to it and dispose of the same according to law.

No.	Parties to the dispute	Reference No. and date of the Industrial Tribunal	S. O. No. of Gazette
			Year of publication
I.	Messrs New Harbour Launch Service Private Limited, Bombay and their workmen represented by Motor Launch Employees Association, Bombay.	28 (109)/67-LRIII dated 3-10-67	S.O. No. 3596 dated 3-10-1967

[No. 28/109/67-LRIII.]

New Delhi the 20th November 1967

S.O. 4333.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Cantonment Board, Kanpur and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A, and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri Mithan Lal as the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Allahabad and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Industrial Tribunal.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of the Cantonment Board, Kanpur was justified in terminating the services of Sri Muzhar Hussain, ex-cattle round keeper with effect from the 27th April, 1966? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?

[No. 9/3/67/LRIII.]



*New Delhi the 21st November 1967*

**S.O. 4334.**—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Punjab Co-operative Bank Limited and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether having regard to the provisions of paragraph 6.39 of the Award of the National Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes) Bombay dated the 7th June, 1962, the demand for payment of enhanced conveyance allowance to (i) clearing clerk (ii) Shri Gian Prakash Gupta, Godown Keeper, Punjab Co-operative Bank Ltd., Chandni Chowk, Delhi for attending to Bank's work is justified? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled and from which date?

[No. 51/62/67-LRIII.]

*New Delhi, the 22nd November 1967*

**S.O. 4335.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of M/s Sesa Goa (Private) Ltd., Altinho, Panjim, Goa and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

(i) Whether the dismissal of Shri J. B. X. D'Cruz, Heavy Truck Driver, with effect from 29th May 1962 by the management of Messrs Sesa Goa Private Limited, Altinho, Panjim, Goa was justified?

(ii) If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 24/9/66-LRI.]

**S.O. 4336.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nandini Mines of Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai (District Durg) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management in charging rent from the employees of the Nandini Mines in respect of 70 quarters which have been renovated is justified and legal? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled?

[No. F. 37/12/67-LRI.]

*New Delhi, the 23rd November 1967*

**S.O. 4337.**—Whereas a Settlement was arrived at between the Bank Managements and their workmen on the 19th October, 1966;—

And whereas in the opinion of the Central Government doubts have arisen as to the interpretation of the said Settlement in respect of the question specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 36A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said question for decision to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

Having regard to the provisions of Chapter XIV of the Settlement dated the 19th October, 1966 arrived at between the Bank Management and their workmen whether the management of the Allahabad Bank Limited, Calcutta was justified in fixing a daily overtime limit by their Circular Staff No. 204/23/1345 dated the 18th November, 1966.

[No. F. 55/11/67/LRIII.]

*New Delhi, the 29th November 1967*

**S.O. 4338.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of the Rajhara and Nandini Mines of the Bhilai Steel Plant, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether in view of the application of the recommendations of the Wage Board for Iron and Steel Industry to the said mines, the workers in the mines are entitled to any mining allowance also?
- (2) Whether the wage structure contained in the recommendations of the Wage Board for Iron and Steel Industry applicable to the Steel Industry with effect from the 1st April 1965 and which the management have agreed to apply to the said mines from the 1st January 1967 should be made applicable to the mining establishments from the 1st April 1965?

[No. F. 36/28/67-LRI.]

**S.O. 4339.**—Whereas an industrial dispute between Iron and Steel handling Contractors and their workmen concerning the Visakhapatnam Port has been referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, by the order of the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 3857, dated 20th October, 1967;

And whereas the Central Government is of opinion that the said dispute is of such a nature that the establishments specified in the Schedule hereto annexed are likely to be interested in or affected by that dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby includes in the said reference, the establishments specified in the said Schedule.

#### SCHEDULE

- (1) Messrs Roy and Chatterjee (Private) Limited, Visakhapatnam.
- (2) Messrs P. V. Ramanamurty, Visakhapatnam-1.
- (3) Messrs Shreeram Shipping Service (P) Limited, Visakhapatnam-1.
- (4) Messrs La Rive and Company, Visakhapatnam-1.
- (5) Messrs G. S. Murty and Ch. Agasthaya and Company, Visakhapatnam-1.
- (6) Messrs International Shipping Corporation, Visakhapatnam-1.
- (7) Messrs A. V. Bhanojirow, G. P. Rammaya and Company (P) Limited, Visakhapatnam-1.

[No. 28(106)/67-LR-III.]

S. S. SAHASRANAMAN, Under Secy.

**(Department of Labour and Employment)***New Delhi, the 15th November 1967*

**S.O. 4340.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5D of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1069, dated the 21st March, 1967, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. Sadagopan as the Central Provident Fund Commissioner with effect from the 15th November, 1967 (afternoon).

[No. 17(47)/63-PF-I(i).]

**S.O. 4341.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1070 dated the 21st March, 1967, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. Sadagopan to be an Inspector for the territories to which the said Act extends for the purposes of the said Act or of any Scheme framed thereunder in relation to any establishment belonging to, or under the control of, the Central Government or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a major port, a mine or an oilfield, or a controlled industry.

[No. 17(47)/63-PF-I(ii).]

*New Delhi, the 20th November 1967*

**S.O. 4342.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 26th day of November, 1967 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except sub-section (1) of section 76 and sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following area of the State of Andhra Pradesh, namely:—

The revenue village of Kothavalasa in South Keta taluk in the Visakhapatnam District with the following boundaries:—

- (1) North: Addruvanipalem H/o Balighattam village.
- (2) East: Balighattam, Gotalapalem H/o Addannapalem village.
- (3) South: Mangalapalem, Mendivalasa-Ramchendrapuram village.
- (4) West: Rajapatrunipalem H/o Cheepurivalasa village.

[No. F. 13(19)/67-HI.]

*New Delhi, the 22nd November 1967*

**S.O. 4343.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Commercial Clearing Agencies Private Limited, 5, Clive Ghat Street, Calcutta-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 30th day of September, 1967.

[No. 8/137/67/PF.II.]

**S.O. 4344.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Hindustan Materials Private Limited, 231, Dr. D. N. Road, Bombay-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification should be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of October, 1967.

[No. 8/177/67-PF.II.]

**S.O. 4345.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Bhanu Stores, E-90, College Street Market, Calcutta-12, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 31st day of October, 1967.

[No. 8/176/67-PF.II.]

**S.O. 4346.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs The Associated Cement Companies Limited, Porbandar Cement Works, P.O. Porbandar (W. Rly), Gujarat, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. 8/126/67-PF.II.]

**S.O. 4347.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Industrial Development Corporation of Orissa Limited, Post Box No. 78, Bhubaneswar-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 31st day of August, 1964.

[No. 8/134/67-PF.II.]

**S.O. 4348.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as District Sports Council, Red Cross Building, Huam Road, Coimbatore, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the 30th day of November, 1967.

[No. 8/141/67-PF.II.]

*New Delhi, the 28th November 1967*

**S.O. 4349.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 10th day of December 1967 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except sub-section (1) of section 76 and sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Kerala, namely:—

“The areas within the revenue villages of Pallikkal, Madavoor, Kilimanoor, Pazhayakunnumel, Vellallur and Nagaroor in Chirayinkil Taluk of district Trivandrum.”

[No. F. 13(20)/67-HI.]

*New Delhi, the 29th November 1967*

**S.O. 4350.**—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Bangalore Gas Company, 13, Brigade Road, Bangalore-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of September, 1967.

[No. 8/151/67-PF-II.]

**S.O. 4351.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the location of the factory in an implemented area, hereby exempts the Diesel Power House owned by the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay, from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the Act for the period up to and including the 4th November, 1968.

[No. F. 6/74/67-HL.]

*New Delhi, the 30th November 1967*

**S.O. 4352.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and having regard to the location of the factory in an implemented area, the Central Government hereby exempts the Government Regional Press, Salem, from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 30th November, 1967.

[No. F. 6(59)/66-HI.]

MAHINDRA KISHORE, Under Secy.

**(Department of Labour and Employment)**

*New Delhi, the 16th November 1967*

**S.O. 4353.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Mines Act, 1952 (35 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri R. G. Deo to be the Chief Inspector of Mines for all the territories to which the said Act extends, with effect from the 19th November, 1967 *vice* Shri G. S. Jabbi.

2. The notification of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, No. S.O. 1692 dated the 20th May, 1965, relating to the appointment of Shri G. S. Jabbi, as Chief Inspector of Mines, is hereby cancelled with effect from the 19th November, 1967.

[No. 8/79/67-MI.]

J. D. TEWARI, Under Secy.

**(Department of Rehabilitation)**

**(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)**

*New Delhi, the 20th November 1967*

**S.O. 4354.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Karta Krishan, Regional Settlement Commissioner, Jullundur, as Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officers by or under the said Act with effect from the afternoon of 10th November, 1967.

[No. 5(6)AGZ/67.]

A. G. VASWANI,

Settlement Commissioner (A) & Ex-Officio Under Secy.

